Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics Volume 46(3) (2022), Pages 471–475.

## ON THE LIE CENTRALIZERS OF QUATERNION RINGS

### MOHAMMAD ALI BAHMANI¹ AND FATEME GHOMANJANI²

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the problem of describing the form of Lie centralizers on quaternion rings. We provide some conditions under which a Lie centralizer on a quaternion ring is the sum of a centralizer and a center valued map.

#### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let R be a ring with the center Z(R). For  $a, b \in R$  denote the Lie product of a, b by [a, b] = ab - ba and the Jordan product of a, b by  $a \circ b = ab + ba$ . Let  $\phi : R \to R$  be an additive map. Recall that  $\phi$  is said to be a right (left) centralizer map if  $\phi(ab) = a\phi(b)(\phi(ab) = \phi(a)b)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . It is called a centralizer if  $\phi$  is both a right centralizer and a left centralizer. We say that  $\phi$  is a Jordan centralizer if  $\phi(a \circ b) = a \circ \phi(b)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ . An additive map  $\phi : R \to R$  is called a Lie centralizer if

$$\phi[a, b] = [\phi(a), b]$$
 (or  $\phi[a, b] = [a, \phi(b)]$ ),

for each  $a, b \in R$ . We say that  $\phi: R \to R$  is a center valued map if  $\phi(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ .

In the recently years, the structure of Lie centralizers on rings has been studied by some authors. An important question that naturally arises in this setting is under what conditions on a quaternion ring, a Lie centralizer can be decomposed into the sum of a centralizer and a center valued map. Jing [9] was the first one who introduced the concept of Lie centralizer and showed that every Lie centralizer on some triangular algebras is the sum of a centralizer and a center valued map. The authors [6] proved that a Lie centralizer under some conditions on some trivial extention algebras is the sum of a centralizer and a center valued map. Fošner and Jing [3] studied this result on triangular rings and nest algebras.

Key words and phrases. Centralizer, Lie centralizer, quaternion ring.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Pimary: P15A78. Secondary: 16W25, 47B47.

Received: September 24, 2019.

Accepted: January 28, 2020.

Let S be a ring with identity. Set

$$H(S) = \{s_0 + s_1 i + s_2 j + s_3 k : s_i \in S\} = S \oplus Si \oplus Sj \oplus Sk,$$

where  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$  and ij = -ji. Then, with the componentwise addition and multiplication subject to the given relations and the conventions that i, j, k commute with S elementwise, H(S) is a ring called the quaternion ring over S.

In this paper, we suppose that S be an unital ring in which 2 is invertible. We describe the Lie centralizers on H(S), we show that if S is commutative or semiprime, then every Lie centralizer on H(S) decomposes into the sum of a centralizer and a center valued map. Among the reasons for studying the mappings on quternion rings, we cite the recently published books and papers ([1,2,8]), in which the authors have considered the important roles of quaternion algebras in other branches of mathematics, such as differential geometry, analysis and quantum fields.

# 2. Lie Centralizers of Quaternion Rings

Our aim is to study a Lie centralizer map on a quaternion ring. We give conditions under which it is a sum of a centralizer and a center valued map. In the following, we establish a theorem which will be used to prove the fundamental results. From now on, we assume that S is a 2-torsion free ring with identity such that  $\frac{1}{2} \in S$  and R = H(S).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f: R \to R$  be a Lie centralizer. Then there exists a Lie centralizer  $\alpha$  on S and a Jordan centralizer  $\beta$  on S such that  $f(t) = \alpha(x) + \beta(y)i + \beta(z)j + \beta(w)k$  for every element  $t = x + yi + zj + wk \in R$ .

*Proof.* Assume that f(i) = a + bi + cj + dk and f(j) = a' + b'i + c'j + d'k for some suitable coefficients in S. Since f is a Lie centralizer, we have

$$f(k) = \frac{1}{2}f[i,j] = \frac{1}{2}[f(i),j] = bk - di.$$

Furthermore,

$$a + bi + cj + dk = f(i) = \frac{1}{2}f[j,k] = \frac{1}{2}[f(j),k] = -b'j + c'i.$$

Therefore, we get a = d = 0, b' = -c and c' = b. Hence, f(i) = bi + cj and f(k) = bk. Since f is a Lie centralizer, we have

$$f(j) = \frac{1}{2}f[k, i] = \frac{1}{2}[f(k), i] = bj.$$

After renaming the constants, we obtain

(2.1) 
$$f(i) = ai + bj, \quad f(j) = aj, \quad f(k) = ak,$$

for suitable  $a, b, c \in S$ . Now, assume that f(1) = t = x + yi + zj + wk. We have

$$0 = f[1, i] = ti - it = 2wj - 2zk.$$

Thus, w=z=0. On the other hand, we have

$$0 = f[1, j] = tj - jt = 2yk - 2wi.$$

Hence, y = w = 0. Therefore, we have  $f(1) = x \in S$ . Let  $s \in S$ , we have

$$0 = f[1, si] = (xs - sx)i.$$

Therefore, we get xs = sx. Hence,  $f(1) \in Z(S)$ . Let  $s \in S$  and set f(si) = x + yi + zj + wk. Applying f on [si, i] = 0, we get w = z = 0 and hence f(si) = x + yi. Now, applying f on the identities  $sk = \frac{1}{2}[si, j]$ ,  $sj = \frac{1}{2}[sk, i]$  and  $si = \frac{1}{2}[sj, k]$ , and putting  $y = \beta(s)$ , we obtain

$$(2.2) f(si) = \beta(s)i, f(sj) = \beta(s)j, f(sk) = \beta(s)k,$$

where  $\beta: S \to S$  is an additive map uniquely determined by f.

Our next aim is to find f(s) for arbitrary  $s \in S$ . Set f(s) = x + yi + zj + wk. Applying f on [s, i] = 0, we obtain -2zk + 2wj = 0. So, z = w = 0. Now, applying f on [s, j] = 0, we obtain that y = 0. Therefore, we have f(s) = x. Putting  $x = \alpha(s)$ , we have

$$(2.3) f(s) = \alpha(s),$$

where  $\alpha: S \to S$  is a map determined by f. Since f is a Lie centralizer, (2.3) implies that  $\alpha$  is a Lie centralizer on S.

Let  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . It is obvious that  $[s_1i, s_2j] = (s_1 \circ s_2)k$ ,  $[s_1i, s_2i] = [s_2, s_1]$  and  $[s_1, s_2i] = [s_1, s_2]i$ . Now, applying f on this identities and using (2.2) and (2.3), we find, respectively, that

$$\beta(s_1 \circ s_2) = \beta(s_1) \circ s_2,$$

(2.5) 
$$\alpha[s_1, s_2] = [\beta(s_1), s_2],$$

$$\beta[s_1, s_2] = [\alpha(s_1), s_2].$$

(2.4) shows that  $\beta$  is a Jordan centralizer on S. Now, let t = x + yi + zj + wk be an arbitrary element in R. By (2.2) and (2.3), we get  $f(t) = \alpha(x) + \beta(y)i + \beta(z)j + \beta(w)k$ , as desired.

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, we have the following results.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let S be a 2-torsion free commutative ring with identity such that  $\frac{1}{2} \in S$ . If  $f: H(S) \to H(S)$  be a Lie centralizer, then f is the sum of a centralizer and a center valued map.

*Proof.* Since S is 2-torsion free and commutative, the Jordan centralizer  $\beta$  is a centralizer on S. Let  $t = x + yi + zj + wk \in H(S)$ . Define  $\Gamma : H(S) \to H(S)$  by  $\Gamma(t) = \beta(x) + \beta(y)i + \beta(z)j + \beta(w)k$ . It is easily verified that  $\Gamma$  is a centralizer. By Theorem 2.1, we have  $f(t) = \Gamma(t) + \alpha(x) - \beta(x)$ . It remains to show that the mapping  $\tau : H(S) \to H(S)$  given by  $\tau(t) = \alpha(x) - \beta(x)$  is a center valued map. Obviously,  $\tau$  is a well-defined additive map such that  $\tau(H(S)) \subseteq S$ . By [4, Lemma 2.1], we

have Z(H(S)) = S. Therefore, we have  $\tau(H(S)) \subseteq Z(H(S))$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let S be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with identity such that  $\frac{1}{2} \in S$ . If  $f: H(S) \to H(S)$  be a Lie centralizer, then f is the sum of a centralizer and a center valued map.

*Proof.* Since S is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, the Jordan centralizer  $\beta$  is a centralizer on S by [10]. Now, let  $\Gamma$  and  $\tau$  be the mappings defined in Corollary 2.1. It is easily verified that  $\Gamma$  is a centralizer. It remains to show that the mapping  $\tau$  is a center valued map. Let  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ . Since  $\beta$  is a centralizer on S, from (2.6), we obtain

$$[\tau(s_1), s_2] = [\alpha(s_1) - \beta(s_1), s_2] = 0.$$

Let t = x + yi + zj + wk,  $t' = x' + y'i + z'j + w'k \in H(S)$ . Using (2.7), we have

$$\begin{split} [\tau(t),t'] = & [\alpha(x) - \beta(x),t'] \\ = & [\tau(x),x'] + [\tau(x),y']i + [\tau(x),z']j + [\tau(x),w']k \\ = & 0 \end{split}$$

Therefore, we have  $\tau(H(S)) \subseteq Z(H(S))$ . This completes the proof.

### References

- S. L. Adler, Quaternionic Quantum Mechanics and Quantum Fields, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [2] O. P. Agrawal, *Hamilton operators and dual-number-quaternions in spatial kinematics*, Mechanism and Machine Theory **22**(6) (1987), 569–575.
- [3] A. Fošner and W. Jing, *Lie centralizers on triangular rings and nest algebras*, Adv. Oper. Theory 4 (2019), 342–350.
- [4] H. Ghahramani, M. N. Ghosseiri and L. Heidari Zade, On the Lie derivations and generalized Lie derivations of quaternion rings, Comm. Algebra 47(3) (2019), 1215–1221.
- [5] H. Ghahramani, M. N. Ghosseiri and S. Safari, Some questions concerning superderivations on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded rings, Aequationes Math. 91(4) (2017), 725–738.
- [6] F. Ghomanjani and M. A. Bahmani, A note on Lie centralizer maps, Palest. J. Math. 7(2) (2018), 468–471.
- [7] B. Hvala, Generalized Lie derivations in prime rings, Taiwanese J. Math. 11(5) (2007), 1425– 1430
- [8] M. Jafari and Y. Yayli, Generalized quaternions and their algebraic properties, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1. Math. Stat. **64**(1) (2015), 15–27.
- [9] W. Jing, Additivity of Lie centralizers on triangular rings, Math and Computer Science Working Papers (2011), 1–10.
- [10] B. Zalar, On centralizers of semiprime rings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 32 (1991), 609–614.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pure Mathematics, Mashhad, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran

 $Email\ address{:}\ {\tt mohamadali\_bahmani@yahoo.com}$ 

 $^2\mathrm{Department}$  of Mathematics, Kashmar Higher Education Institute, Kashmar, Iran

 $Email~address: \verb|fatemeghomanjani@gmail.com| \\ Email~address: \verb|f.ghomanjani@kashmar.ac.ir| \\$