RESULTS ON TAUBERIAN THEOREM FOR CESÀRO SUMMABLE DOUBLE SEQUENCES OF FUZZY NUMBERS
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Abstract. The paper aims to establish new results on Tauberian theorem for Cesàro summability of double sequences of fuzzy numbers, and thus to extend and unify several results in the available literature. Further, a number of special cases, corollaries and illustrative example in support of the investigation of this paper are also presented.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The notion of the fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh [19]. Matloka [10] has established bounded and convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers and proved that every convergent sequence is bounded. Nanda [12] has studied the spaces of bounded and convergent sequences of fuzzy numbers and proved that every Cauchy sequence of fuzzy numbers is convergent. Subrahmanyam [13] has presented Cesàro summability of sequences of fuzzy numbers and established Tauberian hypotheses identified with the Cesàro summability method. Talo and Çanak [15] introduced necessary and sufficient Tauberian conditions, under which convergence follows from Cesàro convergence of sequences of fuzzy numbers. Altın et al. [1] studied the concept of statistical summability by $(C, 1)$-mean for sequences of fuzzy numbers and obtained a Tauberian theorem on that basis. Talo and Başar [14] introduced the concept of slow decreasing sequence for fuzzy numbers and have shown that Cesàro summable sequence $(X_n)$ is convergent, if $(X_n)$ is slowly decreasing. Recently, Çanak [2] has established the concept of the slow oscillation (that is, both slowly decreasing and slowly increasing)
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sequences for fuzzy numbers and have shown that Cesàro summable sequence \((X_n)\) is convergent if \((X_n)\) is slowly oscillating.

Let \(D\) denote the set of all closed and bounded intervals \(X = [x_1, x_2]\) on the real line \(\mathbb{R}\). For \(X, Y \in D\), we define
\[
d(X, Y) = \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\},
\]
where
\[
X = [x_1, x_2], \quad Y = [y_1, y_2].
\]
It is surely understood that \((D, d)\) is a complete metric space.

A fuzzy number \(X\) is a fuzzy set on \(\mathbb{R}\) and is a mapping \(X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]\) associating each number \(t\) with its grade of membership \(X(t)\).

A fuzzy number \(X\) is said to be convex, if
\[
X(t) = \min\{X(s), X(r)\}, \quad s < t < r.
\]
If there exists \(t_0 \in \mathbb{R}\), such that \(X(t_0) = 1\), then the fuzzy number \(X\) is called normal.

A fuzzy number \(X\) is said to be upper semi-continuous if, for each \(\epsilon > 0\), we have
\[
X^{-1}(\{0, x + \epsilon\})
\]
for all \(x \in [0, 1]\), is open in the usual topology of \(\mathbb{R}\). The set of all upper semi-continuous, normal, convex fuzzy number is denoted by \(\mathbb{R}([0, 1])\). For \(\alpha \in (0, 1]\), the \(\alpha\)-level set of fuzzy number \(X\) denoted by \(X^\alpha\) is defined by
\[
X^\alpha = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : X(t) \geq \alpha\}.
\]
The set \(X^0\) is defined as the closure of the following set
\[
\{t \in \mathbb{R} : X(t) > 0\}.
\]
We define,
\[
\bar{d} : \mathbb{R}([0, 1]) \times \mathbb{R}([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\},
\]
by
\[
\bar{d}(X, Y) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} d(X^\alpha, Y^\alpha).
\]

2. Definitions and Motivation

A double sequence \((X_{mn})\) of fuzzy numbers is a function, \(X : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \times \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}([0, 1])\) and is said to be convergent to a fuzzy number \(X_0\) if, for every \(\epsilon > 0\), there exist a positive integer \(n_0\) such that
\[
\bar{d}(X_{mn}, X_0) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m, n \geq n_0.
\]

We define,
\[
\Delta_n X_{mn} = \bar{d}(X_{mn}, X_{m,n-1}), \quad \Delta_m X_{mn} = \bar{d}(X_{mn}, X_{m-1,n})
\]
and
\[
\Delta_{m,n} X_{mn} = \bar{d}(X_{mn}, X_{m-1,n}) - \bar{d}(X_{m,n-1}, X_{m-1,n-1}), \quad X_{-1} = 0.
\]
A double sequence \( (X_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers is said to be bounded, if there exists a positive number \( K > 0 \) such that
\[
\bar{d}(X_{mn}, X_0) \leq K, \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.
\]
The Cesàro means \((C, 1)\) of sequence \((X_n)\) of fuzzy numbers are defined by
\[
\sigma_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} X_j, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.
\]
A sequence \((X_n)\) of fuzzy numbers is Cesàro summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) if, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have (see [2])
\[
\bar{d}(\sigma_n, L) < \epsilon, \quad n \to \infty.
\]
Similarly, the Cesàro means \((C, 1, 1)\) of double sequences \((X_{mn})\) of fuzzy numbers are defined by
\[
(2.1) \quad \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(X) = \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n} X_{pq} = \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{X_{pq}^{(1,1)}}{pq} + X_{00}
\]
(see [11]). Analogous to equation (2.1), we may define the \((C, 1, 0)\) and \((C, 0, 1)\)-means of sequences \((X_{mn})\) are
\[
(2.2) \quad \sigma_{mn}^{(1,0)}(X) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{p=0}^{m} X_{pn} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{mn}^{(0,1)}(X) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{q=0}^{n} X_{mq},
\]
respectively.
Then we say that, a double sequence \( X = (X_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) if, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[
\bar{d}\left(\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(X), L\right) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m, n \to \infty.
\]
Similarly, we say that it is \((C, 1, 0)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) if, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[
\bar{d}\left(\sigma_{mn}^{(1,0)}(X), L\right) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m, n \to \infty
\]
and \((C, 0, 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) if, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[
\bar{d}\left(\sigma_{mn}^{(0,1)}(X), L\right) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m, n \to \infty.
\]
Now, for each non-negative integers \( k \) and \( r \), we may define \( \sigma_{mn}^{(kr)}(X) \) as follows:
\[
\sigma_{mn}^{(kr)}(X) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n} \sigma_{pq}^{(k-1,r-1)}, & k, r \geq 1, \\
X_{mn}, & k, r = 0
\end{cases}
\]
A double sequence \( X = (X_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers is said to be \((C, k, r)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) if, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[
\bar{d}\left(\sigma_{mn}^{(kr)}(X), L\right) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m, n \to \infty.
\]
Remark 2.1. If $k = 1$ and $r = 1$, then $(C,k,r)$-summability reduces to $(C,1,1)$-summability. Furthermore, if $k \neq 0$ and $r = 0$ then $(C,k,r)$-summability reduces to $(C,k,0)$-summability. Finally, if $k = 0$ and $r \neq 0$ then $(C,k,r)$-summability reduces to $(C,0,r)$-summability.

Note that here, Cesàro summability of $X = (X_{mn})$ refers $(C,1,1)$ and $(C,k,r)$-summability of $X = (X_{mn})$.

It may also be noted that, the convergence of a double sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers implies the Cesàro summability of $X = (X_{mn})$, but the converse is not generally true.

For example, consider a function $f(x,y) = e^{2x} \sin(3y)$; the sequence $(X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers which is the sequence of coefficients in the Taylor’s series expansion of the function $f(x,y)$ about origin is Cesàro summable but not convergent.

For the proof of converse part, certain conditions are presented in terms of oscillatory behavior of double sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers.

Let us define $(X_{mn})$ as

$$(2.3)\quad X_{mn} = Y_{mn}^{(1,1)} + \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{Y_{pq}^{(1,1)}}{pq} + X_{00}, \quad m,n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$(2.4)\quad X_{mn} - \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(X) = Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}(\Delta X) = \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n} pq (\Delta_{p,q}X_{pq})$$

(see [9]). Moreover, in analogy to Kronecker identity for a single sequence of fuzzy numbers, we can write

$$(2.5)\quad Y_{mn}^{(1,0)}(\Delta X) = \frac{1}{(m+1)} \sum_{p=0}^{m} p(\Delta_{p}X_{p,n})$$

and

$$(2.6)\quad Y_{mn}^{(0,1)}(\Delta X) = \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{q=0}^{n} q(\Delta_{q}X_{m,q}),$$

as the $(C,1,0)$-mean of the sequence $(m\Delta_{m}X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers and the $(C,0,1)$-mean of the sequence $(n\Delta_{n}X_{mn})$ fuzzy number respectively.

Furthermore, as the sequence $Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}(\Delta_{mn}X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers is the $(C,1,1)$ mean of the sequence $mn(\Delta_{mn}X_{mn})$ of fuzzy number, the sequence $mn(\Delta_{mn}X_{mn})$ is $(C,1,1)$-summable to a fuzzy number $L$, whenever

$$\bar{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}(\Delta_{mn}X_{mn}), L \right) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all} \ m,n \to \infty.$$

For each non-negative integers $k$ and $r$, let us define $Y_{mn}^{(k,r)}(\Delta X)$ as follows:

$$Y_{mn}^{(k,r)}(\Delta X) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n} Y_{pq}^{(k-1,r-1)}, & k,r \geq 1, \\ mn(\Delta_{m,n}X_{mn}), & k,r = 0. \end{cases}$$
The sequence $mn(\Delta_{mn}X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers is said to be $(C, k, r)$-summable to a fuzzy numbers $L$ if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\bar{d}\left(Y_{mn}^{(kr)}(\Delta_{mn}X_{mn}), L\right) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m, n \to \infty.$$  

**Remark 2.2.** If $k = 1$ and $r = 1$, then $(C, k, r)$-summability reduces to $(C, 1, 1)$-summability. Furthermore, if $k \neq 0$ and $r = 0$, then $(C, k, r)$-summability reduces to $(C, k, 0)$-summability. Finally, if $k = 0$ and $r \neq 0$, then $(C, k, r)$-summability reduces to $(C, 0, r)$-summability.

Next, we present the De la Vallée Poussin mean of double sequence $(X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers for sufficiently large nonnegative integers $m, n$ for $\lambda > 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$

$$\tau_{mn}(X) = \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} X_{ij}$$

and

$$\tau_{mn}(X) = \frac{1}{(m - [\lambda m])(n - [\lambda n])} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m} \sum_{j=n+1}^{n} X_{ij},$$

respectively.

A single sequence $X = (X_{n})$ of fuzzy numbers is slowly oscillating (in the sense of Stanojević) if, (see [18])

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1^+} \limsup_{n} \max_{m+1 \leq k \leq [\lambda n]} \bar{d}(X_{k}, X_{n}) = 0.$$  

Similarly, we may write a double sequence $X = (X_{mn})$ of fuzzy numbers is slowly oscillating (in the sense of Stanojević) if,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1^+} \limsup_{m, n} \max_{m+1, n+1 \leq i, j \leq [\lambda n], [\lambda m]} \bar{d}\left(\sum_{u=m+1}^{i} \sum_{v=n+1}^{j} \Delta_{uv}X_{uv}, 0\right) \leq \epsilon.$$  

Recently, few researchers have investigated on sequences and sequences of fuzzy numbers for proving Tauberian theorems. Different classes of sequences and sequences of fuzzy numbers have been introduced and studied by Tripathy *et al.* [17], Dutta [3], Dutta [4], Dutta and Bilgin [6], Tripathy and Debnath [16], Dutta and Başar [5], Jena *et al.* [7], Jena *et al.* [8] and many others. Recently, Çanak [2] has introduced Tauberian theorem for Cesàro summability of sequences of fuzzy numbers.

Motivated essentially by the above-mentioned works, here we wish to present the (presumably new) the notion of $(C, 1, 1)$-summability of a double sequences of fuzzy numbers defined in (2.3).

### 3. Tauberian Theorems for Cesàro Mean

**Theorem 3.1.** If the double sequence $(X_{mn})$ of fuzzy number is $(C, 1, 1)$-summable to a fuzzy number $L$ and $(X_{mn})$ is slowly oscillating (in the sense of Stanojević), then

$$\bar{d}(X_{mn}, L) < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } m, n \to \infty.$$
To prove the above theorem, we need the help of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** A double sequence \( X = (X_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers is slowly oscillating if and only if \((Y_{mn}^{(1,1)})\) is slowly oscillating and bounded.

**Proof.** Let \( X = (X_{mn}) \) is slowly oscillating. Initially, let us show that

\[
\bar{d}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}, 0) = O(1).
\]

We have by definition of slow oscillation, for \( \lambda > 1 \)

\[
\lim_{\lambda \to 1^+} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \max_{m+1 \leq i,j \leq [\lambda m, \lambda n]} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{u=m+1}^{i} \sum_{v=n+1}^{j} \Delta_{u,v}X_{uv}, 0 \right) \leq \epsilon
\]

and let us rewrite the finite sum

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} ij \Delta X_{ij},
\]

as the series

\[
\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\frac{m}{2u+v} \leq i, j \leq \frac{n}{2u+v}} ij \Delta X_{ij}.
\]

Clearly,

\[
\bar{d} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} ij \Delta X_{ij}, 0 \right) \leq \bar{d} \left( \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\frac{m}{2u+v} \leq i, j \leq \frac{n}{2u+v}} ij \Delta X_{ij}, 0 \right)
\]

\[
\leq \bar{d} \left( \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} mn \Delta X_{uv}, 0 \right)
\]

and

\[
\max_{\frac{m}{2u+v} \leq i, j \leq \frac{n}{2u+v}} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{u=\frac{m}{2u+v}+1}^{i} \sum_{v=\frac{n}{2u+v}+1}^{j} \Delta_{u,v}X_{uv}, 0 \right)
\]

\[
\leq mn C \left( \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2u+v} \right) = mn C^*, \quad C^* > 0.
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
\bar{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}(\Delta X), 0 \right) = \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{p=0}^{m} \sum_{q=0}^{n} pq(\Delta_{p,q}X_{pq}), 0 \right) = O(1), \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]

Since,

\[
\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(X) = \sum_{p=1}^{m} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{Y_{pq}^{(1,1)}}{pq} + X_{00}
\]

is slowly oscillating; so \((Y_{mn}^{(1,1)})\) is oscillating slowly.
To prove the converse part, consider \( (X^{(1,1)}_{mn}) \) is bounded and slowly oscillating. Now the boundedness of \( (X^{(1,1)}_{mn}) \) implies that \( (\sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X)) \) is slowly oscillating. Furthermore, \( (X^{(1,1)}_{mn}) \) being oscillating slowly, so by Kronecker identity (2.4), \( (X_{mn}) \) is oscillating slowly. Which completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Next, we represent \( \tilde{d}(X_{mn}, \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X)) \) under two different cases in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( X = (X_{mn}) \) be a sequence of fuzzy numbers with \( m, n \) sufficiently large, then we have the following.

(i) For \( \lambda > 1 \)

\[
\tilde{d}(X_{mn}, \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X)) = \frac{([\lambda m] + 1)([\lambda n] + 1)}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \left\{ \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn,[\lambda m]n}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn,[\lambda n]n}(X)) \right. \\
- \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{m,\lambda n}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X)) \left. \right\} \\
+ \frac{[\lambda m] + 1}{[\lambda n] - m} \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn,[\lambda n]n}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{m,\lambda n}(X)) \\
+ \frac{[\lambda n] + 1}{[\lambda m] - m} \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{m,\lambda n}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X)) \\
- \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=m+1}^{\lambda m} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\lambda n} (X_{ij}, X_{mn}) \right)
\]

(ii) For \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \)

\[
\tilde{d}(X_{mn}, \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X)) = \frac{([\lambda m] + 1)([\lambda n] + 1)}{(m - [\lambda m])(n - [\lambda n])} \left\{ \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn}(X)) \right. \\
- \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{m,\lambda n}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn,[\lambda m]n}(X)) \left. \right\} \\
+ \frac{[\lambda m] + 1}{m - [\lambda m]} \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn,[\lambda m]n}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{m,\lambda n}(X)) \\
+ \frac{[\lambda n] + 1}{n - [\lambda n]} \tilde{d}(\sigma^{(1,1)}_{m,\lambda n}(X), \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn,[\lambda m]n}(X)) \\
- \frac{1}{(m - [\lambda m])(n - [\lambda n])} \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=[\lambda m]+1}^{m} \sum_{j=[\lambda n]+1}^{n} (X_{mn}, X_{ij}) \right)
\]

**Proof.** We have by De la Vallée Poussin mean of double sequence \( (X_{mn}) \) of fuzzy numbers

\[
\tau_{mn}(X) = \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \sum_{i=m+1}^{\lambda m} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\lambda n} X_{ij}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \left\{ \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=0}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{i=0}^{[\lambda n]} \sum_{j=0}^{[\lambda m]} X_{ij} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \times X_{ij} \\
&= \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \left\{ \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} \right\} - \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \left\{ \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) [\lambda n] + 1 \right\} \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} - \left\{ \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) [\lambda n] + 1 \right\} \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} - \left\{ \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) [\lambda n] + 1 \right\} \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \sigma_{m,n}^{(1,1)} - \left\{ \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \sigma_{m,n}^{(1,1)} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) [\lambda n] + 1 \right\} \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \sigma_{m,n}^{(1,1)} - \left\{ \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \sigma_{m,n}^{(1,1)} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) [\lambda n] + 1 \right\} \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \sigma_{m,n}^{(1,1)} - \left\{ \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \sigma_{m,n}^{(1,1)} \right\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Which implies
\[
\tau_{mn} - \sigma_{m,n}^{(1,1)} = \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) [\lambda n] + 1 \right\} \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \left\{ \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)}, \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} \right) - \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)}, \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} \right) \right\} \\
+ \left( [\lambda m] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda n] + 1 \right) \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \left( X_{ij} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} X_{ij} \right).
\]

Also,
\[
X_{mn} = \tau_{mn} - \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=m+1}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} X_{ij}, X_{mn} \right).
\]

Subtracting \( \left( \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} \right) \) from the above identity, we have
\[
\tilde{d} \left( X_{mn}, \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)} \right) = \left( [\lambda m] - m \right) \left( [\lambda n] - n \right) \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=m+1}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} X_{ij}, X_{mn} \right).
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \left(\frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - m(\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - n) + 1}\right) \left\{ \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{m,[\lambda m],n}(X), \sigma_{m,[\lambda n]n}(X) \right) - \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{m,[\lambda m]n}(X), \sigma_{m,[\lambda n]n}(X) \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - m} - 1 \right) \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{m,[\lambda n],n}(X), \sigma_{m,n}(X) \right) + \left(\frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - m} \right) \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{m,[\lambda n]n}(X), \sigma_{mn}(X) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{(\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - m)(\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - n)} \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=m+1}^{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} (X_{ij}, X_{mn}) \right).
\end{align*}
\]
Which establish (i). Next, the proof of (ii) is similar to (i).

\[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 1.**

*Proof. Let \((X_{mn})\) is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \(L\), this implies \(\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}\) is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \(L\). Now from equation (2.4), we have \(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}\) is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to zero. Thus by Lemma 3.1, \((Y_{mn}^{(1,1)})\) oscillating slowly. Again by Lemma 3.2 (i), we get*

\[
\tilde{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)} \right) = \left(\frac{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - m(\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - n) + 1}\right) \left\{ \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{m,[\lambda m],n}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{m,[\lambda n]n}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right) \right\} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - m} - 1 \right) \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{m,[\lambda n],n}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{m,n}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - m} \right) \tilde{d} \left( \sigma_{m,[\lambda n]n}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{(\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - m)(\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor - n)} \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=m+1}^{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} (Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}, Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right).
\]

*It is easy to verify that for \(\lambda > 1\) and sufficiently large \(n\)*

\[
\frac{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - m} < \frac{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor - 1 - m} < \frac{4\lambda^2}{(\lambda - 1)^2}.
\]

*Next, by (3.3)*

\[
\tilde{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)} \right) \leq \frac{4\lambda^2}{(\lambda - 1)^2} \tilde{d} \left( \tau_{mn}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right)
\]

\[
\text{max}_{m+1,n+1\leq i,j\leq \lfloor \lambda m \rfloor, \lfloor \lambda n \rfloor} \tilde{d} \left( \sum_{i=n+1}^{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor} Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}, Y_{mn}^{(1,1)} \right).
\]
Taking $\lim\sup$ both sides of (3.4), we have
\[
\lim\sup_{m,n} \bar{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}, \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right) \leq \frac{4\lambda^2}{(\lambda - 1)^2} \lim\sup_{m,n} \bar{d} \left( \tau_{mn}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right)
\]

(3.5)
\[
= \lim\sup_{m,n} \max_{m+1, n+1 \leq i, j \leq [\lambda m], [\lambda n]} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} (Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}, Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right).
\]

Furthermore,
\[
\sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \to 0, \quad m, n \to \infty,
\]
so first term in the right hand side of equation (3.5), must vanish. This implies,
\[
\lim\sup_{m,n} \bar{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}, \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right) \leq \lim\sup_{m,n} \max_{m+1, n+1 \leq i, j \leq [\lambda m], [\lambda n]} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} (Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}, Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right).
\]

(3.6)
\[
\leq \lim\sup_{m,n} \max_{m+1, n+1 \leq i, j \leq [\lambda m], [\lambda n]} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} (Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}, Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right).
\]

As $\lambda \to 1^+$ in (3.6), so we get
\[
\lim\sup_{m,n} \bar{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}, \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \right) \leq 0.
\]

(3.7)
It implies that,
\[
\bar{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}, 0 \right) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]

Since $(X_{mn})$ is summable to a fuzzy number $L$ by $(C, 1, 1)$ mean and
\[
\bar{d} \left( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}, 0 \right) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty,
\]
so
\[
\bar{d} \left( X_{mn}, L \right) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]

Which completes the proof of the Theorem 3.1. □

**Corollary 3.1.** If $(X_{mn})$ is $(C, k, r)$-summable to a fuzzy number $L$ and $(X_{mn})$ is slowly oscillating (in the sense of Stanojević), then
\[
\bar{d}(X_{mn}, L) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]

**Proof.** Let $X = (X_{mn})$ be slowly oscillating, then $\sigma_{mn}^{(k,r)}(X)$ is slowly oscillating (by Lemma 1). Furthermore, since $X = (X_{mn})$ is $(C, k, r)$-summable to a fuzzy number $L$, so by Theorem 3.1
\[
\bar{d} \left( \sigma_{mn}^{(k,r)}(X), L \right) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]

(3.8)
Next from the definition,
\[
\sigma_{mn}^{(k,r)}(X) = \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}(X) \left( \sigma_{mn}^{(k-1,r-1)}(X) \right).
\]

(3.9)
Clearly, equation (3.8) and (3.9) implies \( X = (X_{mn}) \) is \((C, k - 1, r - 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \). Again \( (\sigma_{mn}^{(k-1,r-1)}(X)) \) is also slowly oscillating (by Lemma 3.1); thus by Theorem 3.1, we have
\[
\bar{d} (\sigma_{mn}^{(k-1,r-1)}(X), L) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]
Continuing in this way, we obtain
\[
\bar{d}(X_{mn}, L) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]
Which completes the proof of the Corollary 3.1.

**Remark 3.1.** If \( k = 0 \), and \( r \neq 0 \), then \((C, k, r)\)-summability reduces to \((C, 0, r)\)-summability. Again for \( k \neq 0 \) and \( r = 0 \), \((C, k, r)\)-summability reduces to \((C, k, 0)\)-summability.

**Theorem 3.2.** If the double sequence \( X = (X_{mn}) \) of fuzzy number is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) and \( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}(\Delta_{mn}u_{mn}) \) is slowly oscillating, then
\[
\bar{d}(X_{mn}, L) < \epsilon, \quad m, n \to \infty.
\]

**Proof.** As \((X_{mn})\) is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \), so \((\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)})\) is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \). Therefore, \( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)} \) is \((C, 1, 1)\)-summable to zero by equation (2.4). Using identity (2.4) to \( Y_{mn}^{(1,1)} \), we get \( Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \) is Cesàro summable to zero. So that \( Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}) \) is oscillating slowly by Lemma 3.1. Now by Lemma 3.2(i),
\[
\bar{d}(Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)})) = \left( \frac{[\lambda m] + 1}{[\lambda m] - m}[\lambda n] - [\lambda n] - n \right) \left( \bar{d} (\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)})) \right)
\]
\[
- \bar{d} (\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}))
\]
\[
+ \frac{[\lambda m] + 1}{[\lambda m] - m} \bar{d} (\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}))
\]
\[
+ \frac{[\lambda n] + 1}{[\lambda m] - m} \bar{d} (\sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)}Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}))
\]
\[
(3.10) \quad - \bar{d} (\sum_{i=m+1}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} Y(Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}), Y(Y_{mn}^{(1,1)}))
\]

It is easy to verify that for \( \lambda > 1 \) and sufficiently large \( n \)
\[
\frac{([\lambda m] + 1)([\lambda n] + 1)}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} < \frac{([\lambda m] + 1)([\lambda n] + 1)}{([\lambda m] - 1 - m)([\lambda n] - 1 - n)} < \frac{4\lambda^2}{(\lambda - 1)^2}.
\]
Next, by (3.10)
\[
\bar{d}(Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)})) \leq \frac{4\lambda^2}{(\lambda - 1)^2} \bar{d}(\tau_{mn} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}))
\]
(3.11)
\[
- \max_{m+1,n+1 \leq i,j \leq [\lambda m],[\lambda n]} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} Y(Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}), Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}) \right) \] .

Taking \( \limsup \) both sides of (3.11) we have
\[
\limsup_{m,n} \bar{d}(Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)})) \leq \frac{4\lambda^2}{(\lambda - 1)^2} \limsup_{m,n} \bar{d}(\tau_{mn} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}))
\]
(3.12)
\[
- \limsup_{m,n} \max_{m+1,n+1 \leq i,j \leq [\lambda m],[\lambda n]} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} Y(Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}), Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}) \right) .
\]

Furthermore, as \( \sigma_{[\lambda m],[\lambda n]}^{(1,1)} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}) \) converges, so first term in the right hand side of equation (3.12), must vanish.

This implies,
\[
\limsup_{m,n} \bar{d}(Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)})) \leq \limsup_{m,n} \max_{m+1,n+1 \leq i,j \leq [\lambda m],[\lambda n]} \bar{d} \left( \sum_{j=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} Y(Y_{ij}^{(1,1)}), Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}) \right) .
\]
(3.13)

As \( \lambda \rightarrow 1^+ \) in (3.13), so we get
\[
\limsup_{m,n} \bar{d}(Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), \sigma_{mn}^{(1,1)} Y(Y_m^{(1,1)})) \leq 0.
\]
(3.14)

It implies that,
\[
\bar{d}(Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), 0) < \epsilon, \ m,n \to \infty.
\]

Since \( (X_{mn}) \) is summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) by \( (C, 1, 1) \) mean and
\[
\bar{d}(Y(Y_m^{(1,1)}), 0) < \epsilon, \ m,n \to \infty
\]
so,
\[
\bar{d}(X_{mn}, L) < \epsilon, \ m,n \to \infty.
\]

Which completes the proof of the Theorem 3.2. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.2.** If \( (X_{mn}) \) is \( (C, k, r) \)-summable to a fuzzy number \( L \) and \( Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X) \) is slowly oscillating, then
\[
\bar{d}(X_{mn}, L) < \epsilon, \ m,n \to \infty.
\]
Proof. As $Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)$ is slowly oscillating, setting $X = (X_{mn})$ in place of $Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)$, then $\sigma^{(k,r)}_{mn} \left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right)$ is slowly oscillating by Lemma 3.1. Again as $Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)$ is $(C,k,r)$-summable to a fuzzy number $L$, so by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$d \left(\sigma^{(k,r)}_{mn} \left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right), L\right) < \epsilon, \quad m,n \to \infty. \tag{3.15}$$

By definition,

$$\sigma^{(k,r)}_{mn} \left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right) = \sigma^{(1,1)}_{mn} \left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right) \left[\sigma^{(k-1,r-1)}_{mn} \left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right)\right]. \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.15) and (3.16) we have $Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)$ is $(C,k-1,r-1)$-summable to a fuzzy number $L$. Again by Lemma 3.1, since $\sigma^{(k-1,r-1)}_{mn} \left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right)$, is slowly oscillating, so we have

$$d \left(\sigma^{(k-1,r-1)}_{mn} \left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right), L\right) < \epsilon \quad \text{(by Theorem 3.2).}$$

Continuing in this way, we obtain

$$d \left(\left(Y_m^{(1,1)}(\Delta X)\right), L\right) < \epsilon, \quad m,n \to \infty.$$ 

Which completes the proof of the Corollary 3.2. \hfill \Box

Remark 3.2. If $k = 0$, and $r \neq 0$, then $(C,k,r)$- summability reduces to $(C,0,r)$-summability. Again for $k \neq 0$ and $r = 0$, $(C,k,r)$-summability reduces to $(C,k,0)$-summability and consequently the following corollaries are generated from the main result.
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