

## STABILITY OF NONLINEAR NEUTRAL MIXED TYPE LIVEN-NOHEL INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

KARIMA BESSIOUD<sup>1</sup>, ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI<sup>1</sup>, AND AHCENE DJOUDI<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we use the contraction mapping theorem to obtain asymptotic stability results about the zero solution for a nonlinear neutral mixed type Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation. An asymptotic stability theorem with a necessary and sufficient condition is proved. An example is also given to illustrate our main results.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Lyapunov direct method has been very effective in establishing stability results and the existence of periodic solutions for wide variety of ordinary, functional and partial differential equations. Nevertheless, in the application of Lyapunov's direct method to problems of stability in delay differential equations, serious difficulties occur if the delay is unbounded or if the equation has unbounded terms. In recent years, several investigators have tried stability by using a new technique. Particularly, Burton, Furumochi, Zhang and others began a study in which they noticed that some of this difficulties vanish or might be overcome by means of fixed point theory, see [1–28] and the references therein. The fixed point theory does not only solve the problems on stability but have other significant advantage over Lyapunov's direct method. The conditions of the former are often average but those of the latter are usually pointwise, see [8] and the references therein.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Asymptotic stability, contraction mapping theorem, neutral integro-differential equations, mixed type.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 34K20. Secondary: 45J05, 45D05.

*Received:* February 08, 2020.

*Accepted:* March 17, 2020.

In paper, we consider the following nonlinear neutral mixed type Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}x(t) = & - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t,s)x(s)ds - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t,s)x(s)ds \\ & + \frac{d}{dt}g(t, x(t-\tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t-\tau_m(t))), \end{aligned}$$

with an assumed initial condition

$$x(t) = \phi(t), \quad t \in [m(t_0), t_0],$$

where  $\phi \in C([m(t_0), t_0], \mathbb{R})$  and

$$m_j(t_0) = \inf \{t - \tau_j(t)\}, \quad m(t_0) = \min \{m_j(t_0) : 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

Throughout this chapter, we assume that  $a_j \in C([t_0, +\infty) \times [m(t_0), +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ ,  $b_j \in C([t_0, +\infty) \times [t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$  and  $\tau_j, \sigma_j \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^+)$ , with  $t - \tau_j(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $t + \sigma_j(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , ( $1 \leq j \leq m$ ). The functions  $g$  is globally Lipschitz continuous in  $x$ . That is, there are positive constants  $E_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , such that

$$(1.2) \quad |g(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - g(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m E_j |x_j - y_j|, \quad g(t, 0, \dots, 0) = 0.$$

In this paper, our purpose is to use the contraction mapping theorem [26] to show the asymptotic stability of the zero solution for (1.1). An asymptotic stability theorem with a necessary and sufficient condition is proved. In the special case  $b_j(t, s) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $g(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_j(t, x_j)$ , Bessiou, Ardjouni and Djoudi [5] proved the zero solution of (1.1) is asymptotically stable with a necessary and sufficient condition by using the contraction mapping theorem. Then, the results presented in this paper extend the main results in [5]. An example is also given to illustrate our main results.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

For each  $t_0$ , we denote  $C(t_0)$  the space of continuous functions on  $[m(t_0), t_0]$  with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{t_0}$ . For each  $(t_0, \phi) \in [0, +\infty) \times C(t_0)$ , denote by  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \phi)$  the unique solution of (1.1).

**Definition 2.1.** The zero solution of (1.1) is called

- (i) stable if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x(t, t_0, \phi)| < \epsilon$  for all  $t \geq t_0$  if  $\|\phi\|_{t_0} < \delta$ ,
- (ii) asymptotically stable if it is stable and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x(t, t_0, \phi)| = 0$ .

In order to be able to construct a new fixed mapping, we transform the Levin-Nohel equation into an equivalent equation. For this, we use the variation of parameter formula and the integration by parts.

**Lemma 2.1.** *x is a solution of (1.1) if and only if*

$$(2.1) \quad x(t) = (\phi(t_0) - G_\phi(t_0)) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z) dz} + G_x(t) - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) + A(s) G_x(s)] e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds - \int_{t_0}^t N_x(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds,$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad G_x(t) = g(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_m(t))),$$

$$(2.3) \quad L_x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) \left( \int_s^t \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u a_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} b_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu \right) du + G_x(s) - G_x(t) \right) ds,$$

$$(2.4) \quad N_x(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) \left( \int_s^t \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u a_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} b_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu \right) du + G_x(s) - G_x(t) \right) ds,$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad A(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) ds.$$

*Proof.* Obviously, we have

$$x(s) = x(t) - \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} x(u) du.$$

Inserting this relation into (1.1), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) \left( x(t) - \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} x(u) du \right) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) \left( x(t) - \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} x(u) du \right) ds - \frac{d}{dt} G_x(t) = 0,$$

where  $G_x$  is given by (2.2). Or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} x(t) + x(t) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) ds \right) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) \left( \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} x(u) du \right) ds \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) \left( \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} x(u) du \right) ds - \frac{d}{dt} G_x(t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$  from (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + x(t) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) ds \right) \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) \left[ \int_s^t \left( - \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u a_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} b_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} G_x(u) \right) du \right] ds \\
 & - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) \left[ \int_s^t \left( - \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u a_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu \right. \right. \\
 (2.6) \quad & \left. \left. - \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} b_k(u, \nu) x(\nu) d\nu + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} G_x(u) \right) du \right] ds - \frac{d}{dt}G_x(t) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

By performing the integration, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \int_s^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} G_x(u) du = G_x(t) - G_x(s).$$

Substituting (2.7) into (2.6), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) + A(t)x(t) + L_x(t) + N_x(t) - \frac{d}{dt}G_x(t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where  $A$  and  $L_x$  and  $N_x$  are given by (2.5) and (2.3) and (2.4) respectively. By the variation of constants formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad x(t) &= \phi(t_0) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z) dz} - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) + N_x(s)] e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
 &+ \int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_x(s) \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} G_x(s) \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\
 &= G_x(t) - G_x(t_0) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z) dz} - \int_{t_0}^t A(s) G_x(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain (2.1) by substituting (2.9) in (2.8). Since each step is reversible, the converse follows easily. This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let (1.2) holds and suppose that the following two conditions hold*

$$(2.10) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t A(z) dz > -\infty,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m E_j + \int_0^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \right) = \alpha < 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(s) = & \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |a_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^{s+\sigma_j(s)} |b_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw + |A(s)| \sum_{j=1}^m E_j. \end{aligned}$$

Then the zero solution of (1.1) is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$(2.12) \quad \int_0^t A(z) dz \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

*Proof. Sufficient condition.* Suppose that (2.12) holds. Denoted by  $C$  the space of continuous bounded functions  $x : [m(t_0), +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(t) = \phi(t)$ ,  $t \in [m(t_0), t_0]$ . It is known that  $C$  is a complete metric space endowed with a metric  $\|x\| = \sup_{t \geq m(t_0)} |x(t)|$ . Define the operator  $P$  on  $C$  by  $(Px)(t) = \phi(t)$ ,  $t \in [m(t_0), t_0]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (Px)(t) = & (\phi(t_0) - G_\phi(t_0)) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z) dz} + G_x(t) \\ & - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) + A(s)G_x(s)] e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds - \int_{t_0}^t N_x(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $Px$  is continuous for each  $x \in C$ . Moreover, it is a contraction operator. Indeed, let  $x, y \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| \\ & \leq |G_x(t) - G_y(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t [|L_x(s) - L_y(s)| + |N_x(s) - N_y(s)| \\ & \quad + |A(s)| |G_x(s) - G_y(s)|] e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x(t) = y(t) = \phi(t)$  for all  $t \in [m(t_0), t_0]$ , this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & |L_x(s) - L_y(s)| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |a_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw \|x - y\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |N_x(s) - N_y(s)| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^{s+\sigma_j(s)} |b_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it holds for all  $t \geq t_0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^m E_j \|x - y\| + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |a_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^{s+\sigma_j(s)} |b_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw + |A(s)| \sum_{j=1}^m E_j \\ & \quad \times e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows from (2.11) that

$$|(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Thus  $P$  is a contraction operator on  $C$ . We now consider a closed subspace  $S$  of  $C$  that is defined by

$$S = \{x \in C : |x(t)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty\}.$$

We will show that  $P(S) \subset S$ . To do this, we need to point out that for each  $x \in S$ ,  $|(Px)(t)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let  $x \in S$ , by the definition of  $P$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Px)(t) &= (\phi(t_0) - G_\phi(t_0)) e^{-\int_{t_0}^t A(z) dz} + G_x(t) \\ &\quad - \int_{t_0}^t [L_x(s) + A(s) G_x(s)] e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds - \int_{t_0}^t N_x(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} ds \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

The first term  $I_1$  tends to 0 by (2.12) and  $I_2$  tends to 0 by (1.2) and  $t - \tau_j(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $t + \sigma_j(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . For any  $T \in (t_0, t)$ , we have the

following estimate for the third term

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_3| &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^T [L_x(s) + A(s)G_x(s)] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_T^t [L_x(s) + A(s)G_x(s)] e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \right| \\
 &\leq \left[ \int_{t_0}^T \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |a_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} b_k(u, \nu) d\nu \right) du \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw + |A(s)| \sum_{j=1}^m E_j \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \right] (\|x\| + \|\phi\|_{t_0}) \\
 &\quad + \int_T^t \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |a_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| |x(\nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| |x(\nu)| d\nu \right) du \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m E_k |x(s - \tau_k(s))| + \sum_{k=1}^m E_k |x(w - \tau_k(w))| \right) dw \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + |A(s)| \sum_{j=1}^m E_j |x(s - \tau_j(s))| \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds \\
 &= I_{31} + I_{32}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t - \tau_j(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $t + \sigma_j(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , this implies that  $u - \tau_k(u) \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $u + \sigma_k(u) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $T \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus, from the fact  $|x(\nu)| \rightarrow 0, \nu \rightarrow +\infty$ , we can infer that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $T_1 = T > t_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{32} &< \frac{\epsilon}{2\alpha} \int_{T_1}^t \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |a_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m E_k \right) dw + |A(s)| \sum_{j=1}^m E_j \right) e^{-\int_s^t A(z)dz} ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence,  $I_{32} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $t \geq T_1$ . On the other hand,  $\|x\| < +\infty$  because  $x \in S$ . This combined with (2.12) yields  $I_{31} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . As a consequence, there exists  $T_2 \geq T_1$  such that  $I_{31} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $t \geq T_2$ . Thus,  $I_3 < \epsilon$  for all  $t \geq T_2$ , that is,  $I_3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Similarly,  $I_4 \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . So,  $P(S) \subset S$ .

By the Contraction Mapping Principle,  $P$  has a unique fixed point  $x$  in  $S$  which is a solution of (1.1) with  $x(t) = \phi(t)$  on  $[m(t_0), t_0]$  and  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \phi) \rightarrow 0$  as

$t \rightarrow +\infty$ . To obtain the asymptotic stability, we need to show that the zero solution of (1.1) is stable. By condition (2.10), we can define

$$(2.13) \quad K = \sup_{t \geq 0} e^{-\int_0^t A(z) dz} < +\infty.$$

Using the formula (2.1) and condition (2.11), we can obtain

$$|x(t)| \leq K \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m E_j \right) \|\phi\|_{t_0} e^{\int_0^{t_0} A(z) dz} + \alpha (\|x\| + \|\phi\|_{t_0}), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

which leads us to

$$(2.14) \quad \|x\| \leq \frac{K \left( 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m E_j \right) e^{\int_0^{t_0} A(z) dz} + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \|\phi\|_{t_0}.$$

Thus, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\phi\|_{t_0} < \delta$  implies that  $\|x\| < \epsilon$ . This shows that the zero solution of (1.1) is stable and hence, it is asymptotically stable.

**Necessary condition.** Suppose that the zero solution of (1.1) is asymptotically stable and that the condition (2.12) fails. It follows from (2.10) that there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{t_n} A(z) dz$  exists and is finite. Hence, we can choose a positive constant  $L$  satisfying

$$(2.15) \quad -L < \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{t_n} A(z) dz < L, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Then condition (2.11) gives us

$$c_n = \int_0^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds \leq \alpha e^{\int_0^{t_n} A(z) dz} \leq e^L.$$

The sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is increasing and bounded, so it has a finite limit. For any  $\delta_0 > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 > 0$  such that

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds < \frac{\delta_0}{2K}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0,$$

where  $K$  is as in (2.13). We choose  $\delta_0$  such that  $\delta_0 < \frac{1-\alpha}{K(1+\sum_{j=1}^m E_j)^{e^{L+1}}}$ , and consider

the solution  $x(t) = x(t, t_{n_0}, \phi)$  of (1.1), with the initial data  $\phi(t_{n_0}) = \delta_0$  and  $|\phi(s)| \leq \delta_0$ ,  $s < t_{n_0}$ . It follows from (2.14) that

$$(2.17) \quad |x(t)| \leq 1 - \delta_0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_{n_0}.$$



Applying the fundamental inequality  $|a - b| \geq |a| - |b|$  and then using (2.17), (2.16) and (2.15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(t_n) - G_x(t_n)| \\ & \geq \delta_0 e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} - \int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^{t_n} A(z) dz} ds \\ & \geq e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} \left( \delta_0 - e^{-\int_0^{t_{n_0}} A(z) dz} \int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds \right) \\ & \geq e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} \left( \delta_0 - K \int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} \omega(s) e^{\int_0^s A(z) dz} ds \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 e^{-\int_{t_{n_0}}^{t_n} A(z) dz} \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta_0 e^{-2L} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction because, then  $(x(t_n) - G_x(t_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Letting  $G_x(t_n) = 0$ , we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Suppose that the following two conditions hold:*

$$(2.18) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t A_0(z) dz > -\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \geq 0} \int_0^t \left[ \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{s-\tau_j(s)}^s |a_j(s, w)| \left( \int_w^s \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du \right) dw \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^{s+\sigma_j(s)} |b_j(s, w)| \int_w^s \left( \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{u-\tau_k(u)}^u |a_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_u^{u+\sigma_k(u)} |b_k(u, \nu)| d\nu \right) du \right) dw \right] e^{-\int_s^t A_0(z) dz} ds \\ (2.19) \quad & = \alpha < 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_0(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{z-\tau_j(z)}^z a_j(z, s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_z^{z+\sigma_j(z)} b_j(z, s) ds.$$

Then the zero solution of

$$\frac{d}{dt} x(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t-\tau_j(t)}^t a_j(t, s) x(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_t^{t+\sigma_j(t)} b_j(t, s) x(s) ds = 0$$

is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$(2.20) \quad \int_0^t A_0(z) dz \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

*Example 2.1.* Consider the following nonlinear neutral mixed type Levin-Nohel integro-differential equation

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{d}{dt}x(t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t,s) ds + \int_t^{t+\sigma(t)} b(t,s) x(s) ds + \frac{d}{dt}g(t, x(t-\tau(t))),$$

where  $a(t,s) = \frac{2}{t^2+1}$ ,  $\tau(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ ,  $b(t,s) = \frac{1}{t^2+1}$ ,  $\sigma(t) = t$ ,  $g(t,x) = 0.08(x \cos t + 3) + 0.09x \sin t^2$ . Then the zero solution of (2.21) is asymptotically stable.

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \int_{t-\tau(t)}^t a(t,s) ds + \int_t^{t+\sigma(t)} b(t,s) ds = 2\frac{t}{t^2+1}, \quad \int_0^t A(z) dz = \ln(t^2+1), \\ \omega(s) &= \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^s \frac{2}{s^2+1} \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{\frac{u}{2}}^u \frac{2}{u^2+1} d\nu + \int_u^{2u} \frac{1}{u^2+1} d\nu \right) du + 0.34 \right) dw \\ &\quad + \int_s^{2s} \frac{1}{s^2+1} \left( \int_w^s \left( \int_{\frac{u}{2}}^u \frac{2}{u^2+1} d\nu + \int_u^{2u} \frac{1}{u^2+1} d\nu \right) du + 0.34 \right) dw + \frac{0.34s}{s^2+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{s^2+1} \left[ s \ln(s^2+1) + 4 \arctan \frac{s}{2} - 2 \arctan s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + s \ln \left( \frac{s^2}{4} + 1 \right) - 2 \arctan 2s - 2s \ln(4s^2+1) + 5.02s \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2+1} \int_0^t \left[ s \ln(s^2+1) + 4 \arctan \frac{s}{2} - 2 \arctan s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + s \ln \left( \frac{s^2}{4} + 1 \right) - 2 \arctan 2s - 2s \ln(4s^2+1) + 5.02s \right] ds \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2+1} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (t^2+3) \ln(t^2+1) + 2 \left( \frac{t^2}{4} - 1 \right) \ln \left( \frac{t^2}{4} + 1 \right) - \frac{1}{4} (4t^2-1) \ln(4t^2+1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2t \arctan t + 4t \arctan \frac{t}{2} - 2t \arctan 2t + 2.51t^2 \right] \\ &\leq 0.43056. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left( E + M + \int_0^t \omega(s) e^{-\int_s^t A(z) dz} dz \right) \leq 0.60.$$

It is easy to see that all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold for  $\alpha = 0.60 < 1$ . Thus, Theorem 2.1 implies that the zero solution of (2.21) is asymptotically stable.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors gratefully acknowledge the reviewers for their helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Stability in nonlinear neutral integro-differential equations with variable delay using fixed point theory*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. **44** (2014), 317–336.
- [2] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Fixed point and stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with variable delays*, Opuscula Math. **32** (2012), 5–19.
- [3] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi and I. Soualhia, *Stability for linear neutral integro-differential equations with variable delays*, Electron. J. Diff. Equ. **2012**(172) (2012), 1–14.
- [4] L. C. Becker and T. A. Burton, *Stability, fixed points and inverse of delays*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **136A** (2006), 245–275.
- [5] K. Bessiod, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Asymptotic stability in nonlinear neutral Levin-Nohel integro-differential equations*, Journal of Nonlinear Functional Analysis **2017** (2017), 1–12.
- [6] E. Bicer, *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of neutral mixed type differential equations*, Results Math. **73**(144) (2018), 1–12.
- [7] T. A. Burton, *Fixed points and stability of a nonconvolution equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 3679–3687.
- [8] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
- [9] T. A. Burton, *Liapunov functionals, fixed points, and stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem*, Nonlinear Stud. **9** (2001), 181–190.
- [10] T. A. Burton, *Stability by fixed point theory or Liapunov's theory, a comparison*, Fixed Point Theory **4** (2003), 15–32.
- [11] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of functional differential equations by fixed point theorems*, Dynam. Systems Appl. **11** (2002), 499–519.
- [12] T. A. Burton and T. Furumochi, *Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and stability*, Nonlinear Anal. **49** (2002), 445–454.
- [13] I. Derrardjia, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem in totally nonlinear neutral differential equation*, Opuscula Math. **33** (2013), 255–272.
- [14] L. Ćirić, *Some Recent Results in Metrical Fixed Point Theory*, University of Belgrade, Beograd, Serbia, 2003.
- [15] N. T. Dung, *Asymptotic behavior of linear advanced differential equations*, Acta Math. Sci. **35** (2015), 610–618.
- [16] N. T. Dung, *New stability conditions for mixed linear Levin-Nohel integro-differential equations*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 1–11.
- [17] C. H. Jin and J. W. Luo, *Stability of an integro-differential equation*, Comput. Math. Appl. **57** (2009), 1080–1088.
- [18] C. H. Jin and J. W. Luo, *Stability in functional differential equations established using fixed point theory*, Nonlinear Anal. **68** (2008), 3307–3315.
- [19] C. H. Jin and J. W. Luo, *Fixed points and stability in neutral differential equations with variable delays*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 909–918.
- [20] R. Klen, V. Manojlović, S. Simić and M. Vuorinen, *Bernoulli inequality and hypergeometric functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 559–573.
- [21] E. Malkowski and V. Rakocević, *Advanced Functional Analysis*, CRS Press, Taylor and Francis Group, Boca Raton, FL, 2019.

- [22] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Study of the stability in nonlinear neutral differential equations with functional delay using Krasnoselskii-Burton's fixed-point*, Appl. Math. Comput. **243** (2014), 492–502.
- [23] M. B. Mesmouli, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, *Stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay using Krasnoselskii-Burton's fixed-point*, Nonlinear Stud. **21** (2014), 601–617.
- [24] S. Pinelas, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to mixed type differential equations*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2014**(210) (2014), 1–9.
- [25] B. Zhang, *Fixed points and stability in differential equations with variable delays*, Nonlinear Anal. **63** (2005), e233–e242.
- [26] D. R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **66**, Cambridge University Press, London, New York, 1974.
- [27] S. Thenmozhi, M. Marudai and S. Radenović, *Existence of positive solution for the eighth-order boundary value problem by Leray-Schauder alternative fixed point theorem*, Axioms **8**(129) (2019), 1–13.
- [28] V. Todorčević, *Harmonic Quasiconformal Mappings and Hyperbolic Type Metrics*, Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland, 2019.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUK AHRAS,  
P.O. BOX 1553, SOUK AHRAS, ALGERIA  
*Email address:* karima\_bess@yahoo.fr  
*Email address:* abd\_ardjouni@yahoo.fr

<sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF ANNABA,  
P.O. BOX 12, ANNABA, ALGERIA  
*Email address:* adjoudi@yahoo.com