Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics Volume 45(4) (2021), Pages 615–622.

# ON BERNSTEIN-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR RATIONAL FUNCTIONS WITH PRESCRIBED POLES

#### ABDULLAH MIR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we shall use a parameter  $\beta$  and obtain some Bernsteintype inequalities for rational functions with prescribed poles which generalize the results of Qasim and Liman and Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez and others.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  denote the class of all complex polynomials of degree at most n. If  $P \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , then concerning the estimate of |P'(z)| on |z| = 1, we have

(1.1) 
$$|P'(z)| \le n \sup_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

Inequality (1.1) is a famous result due to Bernstein [2], who proved it in 1912. Later, in 1969 (see [10]), Malik improved the above inequality (1.1) and established that if  $P \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , then for |z| = 1, we have

(1.2) 
$$|P'(z)| + |Q'(z)| \le n \sup_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

where 
$$Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(\frac{1}{z})}$$
.

It is worth mentioning that equality holds in (1.1) if and only if P(z) has all its zeros at the origin, so it is natural to seek improvements under appropriate assumption on the zeros of P(z). If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials

Key words and phrases. Rational function, polynomial, poles, zeros.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 30A10. Secondary: 30C10, 30D15.

Received: September 04, 2018.

Accepted: April 04, 2019.

P(z) having no zeros in |z| < 1, then (1.1) can be replaced by

(1.3) 
$$\sup_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le \frac{n}{2} \sup_{|z|=1} |P(z)|,$$

whereas if P(z) has no zeros in |z| > 1, then

(1.4) 
$$\sup_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge \frac{n}{2} \sup_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

Inequality (1.3) was conjectured by Erdös and later verified by Lax [9], whereas inequality (1.4) is due to Turán [12]. Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [14] gave a new perspective to the above inequalities and extended them to rational functions with prescribed poles. Essentially, in the inequalities referred to, they replaced the polynomial P(z) by a rational function r(z) with prescribed poles  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and  $z^n$  by a Blaschke product B(z). Before proceeding towards their results, let us introduce the set of rational functions involved.

For  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$  with j = 1, 2, ..., n, let

$$W(z) := \prod_{j=1}^{n} (z - a_j)$$

and let

$$B(z) := \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1 - \overline{a}_j z}{z - a_j} \right), \quad \mathcal{R}_n := \mathcal{R}_n(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \left\{ \frac{P(z)}{W(z)} : P \in \mathbb{P}_n \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}_n$  is the set of rational functions with poles  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  at most and with finite limit at  $\infty$ . Note that  $B(z) \in \mathcal{R}_n$  and |B(z)| = 1 for |z| = 1. For  $r(z) = \frac{P(z)}{W(z)} \in \mathcal{R}_n$ , the conjugate transpose  $r^*$  of r is defined by  $r^*(z) = B(z)\overline{r(\frac{1}{z})}$ . The rational function  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  is called self-inversive if  $r^*(z) = \lambda r(z)$  for some  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ .

As an extension of (1.2) to rational functions, Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [14, Theorem 2] showed that if  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$ , then

(1.5) 
$$|r'(z)| + |(r^*(z))'| \le |B'(z)| \sup_{|z|=1} |r(z)|, \quad \text{for } |z| = 1.$$

Equality holds in (1.5) for  $r(z) = \alpha B(z)$  with  $|\alpha| = 1$ .

For  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  to be self-inversive, Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [14, Corollary 4] proved that

(1.6) 
$$|r'(z)| \le \frac{|B'(z)|}{2} \sup_{|z|=1} |r(z)|.$$

In the same paper, Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [14] showed that inequality (1.6) also holds for rational functions  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$  having no zeros in |z| < 1 with prescribed poles. The latest development of further results along this line can be found in the monographs and papers [3–5,7,8,11].

More recently, Qasim and Liman [6] proved several results by considering a specialized class of rational functions r(t(z)), defined by

$$(r \circ t)(z) = r(t(z)) := \frac{P(t(z))}{W(t(z))},$$

where t(z) is a polynomial of degree m and  $r \in \mathcal{R}_n$ , so that  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$ , and

$$W(t(z)) = \prod_{j=1}^{mn} (z - a_j).$$

Also the Blaschke product is given by

$$B(z) = \frac{\left(W(t(z))\right)^*}{W(t(z))} = \frac{z^{mn}\overline{W(t(\frac{1}{z}))}}{W(t(z))} = \prod_{j=1}^{mn} \left(\frac{1 - \overline{a}_j z}{z - a_j}\right).$$

Assume that the mn poles of r(t(z)) are denoted by  $a_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., mn, and  $|a_j| > 1$ . They proved the following Bernstein-type inequality for rational functions  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$  with restricted zeros.

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$  and all the mn zeros of r(t(z)) lie in  $|z| \geq 1$ , then for |z| = 1

(1.7) 
$$|r'(t(z))| \le \frac{|B'(z)|}{2m\mu} \sup_{|z|=1} |r(t(z))|,$$

where t(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$  and  $\mu = \inf_{|z|=1} |t(z)|$ .

# 2. Lemmas

For the proofs of our theorems we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $r \in \mathbb{R}_n$  has n zeros all lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then

$$|r'(z)| \ge \frac{1}{2}|B'(z)||r(z)|, \quad for \ |z| = 1.$$

The above lemma is due to Li, Mohapatra and Rodriguez [14].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let A and B be any two complex numbers, then

- (i) if  $|A| \ge |B|$  and  $B \ne 0$ , then  $A \ne \delta B$  for all complex numbers  $\delta$  satisfying  $|\delta| < 1$ ;
- (ii) conversely, if  $A \neq \delta B$  for all complex numbers  $\delta$  satisfying  $|\delta| < 1$ , then  $|A| \geq |B|$ .

The above lemma is due to Li [13].

**Lemma 2.3.** If r(t(z)),  $s(t(z)) \in \mathbb{R}_{mn}$  and all the mn zeros of s(t(z)) lie in  $|z| \leq 1$  and  $|r(t(z))| \leq |s(t(z))|$  for |z| = 1. Then for every  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \left| B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z)) \right| \le \left| B(z)s'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)s(t(z)) \right|.$$

The result is sharp and equality holds in (2.1) for  $r(t(z)) = \alpha s(t(z))$ , with  $|\alpha| = 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is identical to the proof of Theorem 3.2 of Li [13], but for the sake of completeness we give the brief outlines of its proof. First assume that no zero of s(t(z)) are on the unit circle |z|=1 and therefore, all the mn zeros of s(t(z)) are in |z|<1. By Rouche's theorem, the rational function  $\lambda r(t(z)) + s(t(z))$  has all its zeros in |z|<1 for  $|\lambda|<1$  and has no poles in  $|z|\leq 1$ . On applying Lemma 2.1 to  $\lambda r(t(z)) + s(t(z))$ , we get on |z|=1

(2.2) 
$$2|B(z)||\lambda(r(t(z)))' + (s(t(z)))'| \ge |B'(z)||\lambda r(t(z)) + s(t(z))|.$$

Now, note that  $B'(z) \neq 0$  (e.g. see formula (14) in [14]). So, the right hand side of (2.2) is non zero. Thus, by using (i) of Lemma 2.2, we have for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $|\beta| < 1$ ,

$$2B(z)\bigg(\lambda r'(t(z))t'(z) + s'(t(z))t'(z)\bigg) \neq -\beta B'(z)\bigg(\lambda r(t(z)) + s(t(z))\bigg),$$

for |z| = 1. Equivalently, for |z| = 1,

$$\lambda \left(2B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \beta B'(z)r(t(z))\right) \neq -\left(2B(z)s'(t(z))t'(z) + \beta B'(z)s(t(z))\right),$$

for  $|\lambda| < 1$  and  $|\beta| < 1$ . Using (ii) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(2.3) |2B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \beta B'(z)r(t(z))| \le |2B(z)s'(t(z))t'(z) + \beta B'(z)s(t(z))|$$

for |z| = 1 and  $|\beta| < 1$ . Now, using the continuity in zeros and  $\beta$ , we can obtain the (2.3), when some zeros of s(t(z)) lie on the unit circle |z| = 1 and  $|\beta| \le 1$ .  $\square$ 

Applying Lemma 2.3 to the rational function r(t(z)) and  $B(z) \sup_{|z|=1} |r(t(z))|$ , we get the following.

**Lemma 2.4.** If  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$ , then for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$\left| B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z)) \right| \le |B(z)| \left| 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \left| \sup_{|z|=1} |r(t(z))| \right|.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , then (2.4)  $\inf_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \ge n \inf_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$ 

The result is best possible and equality in (2.4) holds for polynomials, having all zeros at the origin.

The above lemma is due to Aziz and Dawood [1].

## 3. Main Results

In this note, we shall use a parameter  $\beta$  and obtain generalizations of (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7). We shall always assume that all the poles of  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$  lie in |z| > 1.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $r(t(z)) \in \mathbb{R}_{mn}$  and |z| = 1, then for every  $\beta$ , with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z)) \right| + \left| B(z) \left[ (r(t(z)))^* \right]' + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)(r(t(z)))^* \right|$$
(3.1)

$$\leq |B'(z)| \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{\beta}{2} \right| \right\} \sup_{|z|=1} |r(t(z))|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $M:=\sup_{|z|=1}|r(t(z))|$ . Therefore, for every  $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$ , with  $|\lambda|>1$ ,  $|r(t(z))|<|\lambda MB(z)|$  for |z|=1.

By Rouche's theorem, all the mn zeros of  $G(z)=r(t(z))+\lambda MB(z)$  lie in |z|<1. If  $H(z)=B(z)\overline{G(\frac{1}{z})}$ , then |H(z)|=|G(z)| for |z|=1 and hence, for any  $\gamma$ , with  $|\gamma|<1$ , the rational function  $\gamma H(z)+G(z)$  has all mn zeros in |z|<1. By applying Lemma 2.1 to  $\gamma H(z)+G(z)$ , we have

(3.2) 
$$2|B(z)(\gamma H'(z) + G'(z))| \ge |B'(z)||\gamma H(z) + G(z)|, \text{ for } |z| = 1.$$

Since  $B'(z) \neq 0$  therefore, the right hand side of (3.2) is non zero. Thus, by using (i) of Lemma 2.2, we have for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , with  $|\beta| < 1$ ,

$$2B(z)(\gamma H'(z) + G'(z)) \neq -\beta B'(z)(\gamma H(z) + G(z)), \text{ for } |z| = 1.$$

Equivalently, for |z| = 1,

$$(3.3) \qquad -\gamma \Big(2B(z)H'(z) + \beta B'(z)H(z)\Big) \neq -\Big(2B(z)G'(z) + \beta B'(z)G(z)\Big),$$

for  $|\gamma| < 1$ ,  $|\beta| < 1$ . Using (ii) of Lemma 2.2 in (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) |2B(z)G'(z) + \beta B'(z)G(z)| \le |2B(z)H'(z) + \beta B'(z)H(z)|,$$

for |z| = 1,  $|\beta| < 1$ . Now, using  $G(z) = r(t(z)) + \lambda MB(z)$  and since

$$H(z) = B(z)\overline{G\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)} = B(z)\left(\overline{r\left(t\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)\right)} + \overline{\lambda}M\overline{B\left(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}\right)}\right) = (r(t(z)))^* + \overline{\lambda}M,$$

for |z| = 1 in (3.4), we get, for  $|\beta| < 1$  and |z| = 1,

$$\left|2B(z)\left[\left(r(t(z))\right)^*\right]' + \beta B'(z)\left(r(t(z))\right)^* + \overline{\lambda}\beta MB'(z)\right|$$

$$(3.5) \qquad \leq \Big|2B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \beta B'(z)r(t(z)) + \lambda B(z)B'(z)\Big(2+\beta\Big)M\Big|.$$

By choosing a suitable argument of  $\lambda$  and applying Lemma 2.4 on the right hand side of (3.5), we get, for |z| = 1 and  $|\beta| < 1$ ,

$$|2B(z)[(r(t(z)))^*]' + \beta B'(z)(r(t(z)))^*| - |\lambda| |\beta B'(z)| M$$
(3.6) 
$$\leq |\lambda| |B(z)B'(z)(2+\beta)| M - |2B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \beta B'(z)r(t(z))|.$$

Note that |B(z)| = 1 for |z| = 1. Making  $|\lambda| \to 1$  and using continuity for  $|\beta| = 1$  in (3.6), we get (3.1) and this proves the desired result.

For t(z) = z, Theorem 3.1 reduces to the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $r \in \mathbb{R}_n$  and |z| = 1, then for every  $\beta$ , with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| B(z)r'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(z) \right| + \left| B(z)(r^*(z))' + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r^*(z) \right|$$

$$(3.7) \qquad \leq |B'(z)| \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{\beta}{2} \right| \right\} \sup_{|z|=1} |r(z)|.$$

Remark 3.1. For  $\beta = 0$ , (3.7) reduces to (1.5).

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$  is self-inversive and |z| = 1, then for every  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , we have

$$(3.8) \left| B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z)) \right| \le \frac{|B'(z)|}{2} \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{\beta}{2} \right| \right\} \sup_{|z|=1} |r(t(z))|.$$

*Proof.* Since r(t(z)) is self-inversive, therefore, we have  $(r(t(z)))^* = \lambda r(t(z))$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Hence, for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

(3.9)

$$\left| B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z)) \right| = \left| B(z) \left[ (r(t(z)))^* \right]' + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)(r(t(z)))^* \right|.$$

Combining Theorem 3.1 and (3.9), we have for every  $\beta$ , with  $|\beta| \le 1$  and |z| = 1,

$$2\left|B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z))\right| = \left|B'(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z))\right| + \left|B(z)\left[(r(t(z)))^*\right]' + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)(r(t(z)))^*\right| \le |B'(z)|\left\{\left|1 + \frac{\beta}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right\} \sup_{|z|=1}|r(t(z))|,$$

which proves Theorem 3.2 completely.

Remark 3.2. If we take  $\beta = 0$  in inequality (3.8) and make use of the Lemma 2.5, after supposing that t(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , we get the following result.

Corollary 3.2. If  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$  is self-inversive, where t(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for |z| = 1,

(3.10) 
$$|r'(t(z))| \le \frac{|B'(z)|}{2m\mu} \sup_{|z|=1} |r(t(z))|,$$

where  $\mu = \inf_{|z|=1} |t(z)|$ .

Remark 3.3. For t(z) = z, (3.10) reduces to (1.6).

We end this section by proving the following interesting generalization of (1.7).

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$  and all the mn zeros of r(t(z)) lie in  $|z| \ge 1$ . Then for every  $\beta$ , with  $|\beta| \le 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

(3.11)

$$\left| B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z)) \right| \le \frac{|B'(z)|}{2} \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \right| + \left| \frac{\beta}{2} \right| \right\} \sup_{|z|=1} |r(t(z))|.$$

*Proof.* Since  $r(t(z)) \in \mathcal{R}_{mn}$  has all its mn zeros in  $|z| \geq 1$  and  $(r(t(z)))^* = B(z)\overline{r(t(\frac{1}{z}))}$ , therefore, all the zeros of  $(r(t(z)))^*$  lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ . Also,  $|r(t(z))| = |(r(t(z)))^*|$  for |z| = 1. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, it follows for every  $\beta$ , with  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and |z| = 1,

(3.12)

$$\left| B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z)) \right| \le \left| B(z) \left[ (r(t(z)))^* \right]' + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)(r(t(z)))^* \right|.$$

Combining Theorem 3.1 and (3.12), we have for every  $\beta$ , with  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and |z| = 1,

$$2\left|B(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z))\right| \le \left|B'(z)r'(t(z))t'(z) + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)r(t(z))\right| + \left|B(z)\left[(r(t(z)))^*\right]' + \frac{\beta}{2}B'(z)(r(t(z)))^*\right| \le |B'(z)|\left\{\left|1 + \frac{\beta}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right\} \sup_{|z|=1}|r(t(z))|,$$

which is equivalent to (3.11) and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Remark 3.4. If we take  $\beta = 0$  in (3.11) and assume that t(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , we get (1.7) by virtue of Lemma 2.5.

**Acknowledgements.** The author is extremely grateful to the anonymous referee for many commendable suggestions and comments.

### References

- [1] A. Aziz and Q. M. Dawood, *Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative*, J. Approx. Theory **54** (1988), 306–313.
- [2] S. Bernstein, Sur l'ordre de la meilleure approximation des fonctions continues par des polynômes de degré donné, Mem. Cl. Sci. Acad. Roy. Belg. 4 (1912), 1–103.
- [3] P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [4] M. I. Ganzburg, Sharp constants in V. A. Markov-Bernstein type inequalities of different metrics, J. Approx. Theory 215 (2017), 92–105.
- [5] M. I. Ganzburg and S. Y. Tikhonov, On sharp constants in Bernstein-Nikolskii inequalities, Constr. Approx. 45 (2017), 449–466.
- [6] I. Qasim and A. Liman, Bernstein type inequalities for rational functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (2015), 337–348.
- [7] S. Kalmykov and B. Nagy, Higher Markov and Bernstein inequalities and fast decreasing polynomials with prescribed zeros, J. Approx. Theory 226 (2018), 34–59.
- [8] S. Kalmykov, B. Nagy and V. Totik, Bernstein- and Markov-type inequalities for rational functions, Acta Math. 219 (2017), 21–63.
- [9] P. D. Lax, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdös on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944), 509–513.
- [10] M. A. Malik, On the derivative of a polynomial, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 1 (1969), 57–60.
- [11] G. V. Milovanović, D. S. Mitrinović and Th. M. Rassias, Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
- [12] P. Turán, Über die Ableitung von Polynomen, Compos. Math. 7 (1939), 89–95.
- [13] Xin Li, A comparison inequality for rational functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (2011), 1659–1665.
- [14] X. Li, R. N. Mohapatra and R. S. Rodriguez, Bernstein-type inequalities for rational functions with prescribed poles, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **51** (1995), 523–531.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KASHMIR,

HAZRATBAL SRINAGAR, INDIA

 $Email\ address:\ mabdullah\_mir@yahoo.co.in$