

**CERTAIN CLASSES OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS OF  
COMPLEX ORDER ASSOCIATED WITH  
QUASI-SUBORDINATION INVOLVING  $(p, q)$ -DERIVATIVE  
OPERATOR**

Ş. ALTINKAYA<sup>1</sup> AND S. YALÇIN<sup>2</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this present paper, as applications of the post-quantum calculus known as the  $(p, q)$ -calculus, we construct a new class  $\mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k(\gamma, \zeta, \Psi)$  of bi-univalent functions of complex order defined in the open unit disk. Coefficients inequalities and several special consequences of the results are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The  $q$ -calculus as well as the fractional  $q$ -calculus provide important tools that have been used in the fields of special functions and many other areas. Historically speaking, a firm footing of the usage of the  $q$ -calculus in the context of Geometric Function Theory was actually provided and the basic (or  $q$ -) hypergeometric functions were first used in Geometric Function Theory in a book chapter by Srivastava (see, for details, [30]). In fact, the theory of univalent functions can be described by using the theory of the  $q$ -calculus. Moreover, in recent years, such  $q$ -calculus operators as the fractional  $q$ -integral and fractional  $q$ -derivative operators were used to construct several subclasses of analytic functions (see, for example, [3, 19, 21, 26]). In particular, Purohit and Raina [20] investigated applications of fractional  $q$ -calculus operators to define several classes of functions which are analytic in the open unit disk. On the other hand, Mohammed and Darus [14] studied approximation and geometric properties of these  $q$ -operators in regard to some subclasses of analytic functions in a compact disk.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Coefficient bounds, Bi-univalent functions, Quasi-subordination,  $q$ -calculus,  $(p, q)$ -derivative operator.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30C45. Secondary: 33D15.

*Received:* December 28, 2017.

*Accepted:* August 22, 2018.

Further the possibility of extension of the  $q$ -calculus to post-quantum calculus denoted by the  $(p, q)$ -calculus. The  $(p, q)$ -calculus which have many applications in areas of science and engineering was introduced in order to generalize the  $q$ -series by Gasper and Rahman [8]. The  $(p, q)$ -series is derived as corresponding extensions of  $q$ -identities (for example [2, 6]).

We begin by providing some basic definitions and concept details of the  $(p, q)$ -calculus which are used in this paper.

The  $(p, q)$ -number is given by

$$[n]_{p,q} = \frac{p^n - q^n}{p - q}, \quad p \neq q,$$

which is a natural generalization of the  $q$ -number (see [11]), that is

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 1} [n]_{p,q} = [n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}, \quad q \neq 1.$$

It is clear that the notation  $[n]_{p,q}$  is symmetric, that is,

$$[n]_{p,q} = [n]_{q,p}.$$

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be elements of complex numbers and  $D = D_{p,q} \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that  $x \in D$  implies  $px \in D$  and  $qx \in D$ . Here, in this investigation, we give the following two definitions which involve a post-quantum generalization of Sofonea's work [27].

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $0 < |q| < |p| \leq 1$ . A given function  $f : D_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called  $(p, q)$ -differentiable under the restriction that, if  $0 \in D_{p,q}$ , then  $f'(0)$  exists.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $0 < |q| < |p| \leq 1$ . A given function  $f : D_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called  $(p, q)$ -differentiable of order  $n$ , if and only if  $0 \in D_{p,q}$ , then  $f^{(n)}(0)$  exists.

**Definition 1.3** ([6]). The  $(p, q)$ -derivative of a function  $f$  is defined as

$$(D_{p,q}f)(x) = \frac{f(px) - f(qx)}{(p - q)x}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

and  $(D_{p,q}f)(0) = f'(0)$ , provided  $f'(0)$  exists.

As with ordinary derivative, the action of the  $(p, q)$ -derivative of a function is a linear operator. More precisely, for any constants  $a$  and  $b$ ,

$$D_{p,q}(af(z) + bg(z)) = aD_{p,q}f(z) + bD_{p,q}g(z).$$

The  $(p, q)$ -derivative fulfils the following product rules

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p,q}(f(z)g(z)) &= f(px)D_{p,q}g(z) + g(qz)D_{p,q}f(z), \\ D_{p,q}(f(z)g(z)) &= g(px)D_{p,q}f(z) + f(qz)D_{p,q}g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Further, the  $(p, q)$ -derivative fulfils the following product rules

$$D_{p,q} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right) = \frac{g(qz)D_{p,q}f(z) - f(qz)D_{p,q}g(z)}{g(pz)g(qz)},$$

$$D_{p,q} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right) = \frac{g(pz)D_{p,q}f(z) - f(pz)D_{p,q}g(z)}{g(pz)g(qz)}.$$

Let  $A$  indicate an analytic function family, which is normalized under the condition of  $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$  in  $\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$  and given by the following Taylor-Maclaurin series:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Further, by  $S$  we shall denote the class of all functions in  $A$  which are univalent in  $\Delta$ .

If  $f$  is of the form (1.1), then

$$(D_{p,q}f)(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_{p,q} a_n z^{n-1}.$$

With a view to recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions, let the functions  $f$  and  $g$  be analytic in  $\Delta$ . Then we say that the function  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  if there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , analytic in  $\Delta$  with

$$w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

We denote this subordination by

$$f \prec g \text{ or } f(z) \prec g(z), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

In particular, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\Delta$ , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0), \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

In the year 1970, Robertson [23] introduced the concept of quasi-subordination. For two analytic functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the function  $f$  is said to be quasi-subordinate to  $g$  in  $\Delta$  and written as

$$f(z) \prec_{\rho} g(z), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

if there exists an analytic function  $|h(z)| \leq 1$  such that  $\frac{f(z)}{h(z)}$  analytic in  $\Delta$  and

$$\frac{f(z)}{h(z)} \prec g(z), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

that is, there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$  such that  $f(z) = h(z)g(w(z))$ . Observe that if  $h(z) = 1$ , then  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  so that  $f(z) \prec g(z)$  in  $\Delta$ . Also notice that if  $w(z) = z$ , then  $f(z) = h(z)g(z)$  and it is said that is majorized by  $g$  and written  $f(z) \ll g(z)$  in  $\Delta$ . Hence it is obvious that quasi-subordination is a generalization

of subordination as well as majorization (see, e.g., [13, 22, 23] for works related to quasi-subordination).

The Koebe-One Quarter Theorem [7] ensures that the image of  $\Delta$  under every univalent function  $f \in A$  contains a disk of radius  $1/4$ . Thus every univalent function  $f$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  satisfying  $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$  and  $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$  ( $|w| < r_0(f)$ ,  $r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ ), where

$$(1.2) \quad f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots$$

A function  $f \in A$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $\Delta$  if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of bi-univalent functions in  $\Delta$  given by (1.1). For a brief history and interesting examples in the class  $\Sigma$ , see [29] (see also [4, 5, 12, 16]). Furthermore, judging by the remarkable flood of papers on the subject (see, for example, [10, 17, 28]). Not much is known about the bounds on the general coefficient  $|a_n|$ . In the literature, there are only a few works determining the general coefficient bounds  $|a_n|$  for the analytic bi-univalent functions ([1, 9, 15, 31]). The coefficient estimate problem for each of  $|a_n|$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ) is still an open problem.

Recently for  $f \in A$ , Selvaraj et al. [25] defined and discussed  $(p, q)$ -analogue of Salagean differential operator as given below:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p,q}^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D_{p,q}^1 f(z) &= z (D_{p,q} f(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ D_{p,q}^k f(z) &= z D_{p,q} (D_{p,q}^{k-1} f(z)) \\ D_{p,q}^k f(z) &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_{p,q}^k a_n z^n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, z \in \Delta. \end{aligned}$$

If we let  $p = 1$  and  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ , then  $D_{p,q}^k f(z)$  reduces to the well-known Salagean differential operator (see [24]).

Making use of the differential operator  $D_{p,q}^k$ , we introduce a new class of analytic bi-univalent functions as follows.

**Definition 1.4.** A function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class

$$D_{p,q}^k(\gamma, \zeta, \Psi), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, 0 \leq \zeta < 1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 < q < p \leq 1, z, w \in \Delta,$$

if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{z (D_{p,q}^k f(z))'}{(1 - \zeta) D_{p,q}^k f(z) + \zeta z (D_{p,q}^k f(z))'} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(z) - 1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{w \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w) \right)'}{(1-\zeta) \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w) + \zeta w \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w) \right)'} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(w) - 1),$$

where the function  $g$  is given by (1.2).

*Remark 1.1.* For  $p = 1$  and  $q \rightarrow 1$ , a function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $\mathbf{D}^k(\gamma, \zeta, \Psi)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{z \left( \mathbf{D}^k f(z) \right)'}{(1-\zeta) \mathbf{D}^k f(z) + \zeta z \left( \mathbf{D}^k f(z) \right)'} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(z) - 1), \quad z \in \Delta$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{w \left( \mathbf{D}^k g(w) \right)'}{(1-\zeta) \mathbf{D}^k g(w) + \zeta w \left( \mathbf{D}^k g(w) \right)'} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(w) - 1), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $0 \leq \zeta < 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and the function  $g$  is given by (1.2).

*Remark 1.2.* For  $\zeta = 0$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , a function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $\mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k(\gamma, \Psi)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{z \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k f(z) \right)'}{\mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(z) - 1), \quad z \in \Delta$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{w \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w) \right)'}{\mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w)} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(w) - 1), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $0 < q < p \leq 1$  and the function  $g$  is given by (1.2).

*Remark 1.3.* For  $\zeta = k = 0$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , a function  $f \in \Sigma$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $S_{\Sigma}(\gamma, \Psi)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(z) - 1), \quad z \in \Delta$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{wg'(w)}{g(w)} - 1 \right) \prec_{\rho} (\Psi(w) - 1), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where the function  $g$  is given by (1.2).

## 2. MAIN RESULT AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

Firstly, we will state the Lemma 2.1 to obtain our result.

**Lemma 2.1** ([18]). *If  $s \in P$ , then  $|s_i| \leq 2$  for each  $i$ , where  $P$  is the family of all functions  $s$ , analytic in  $\Delta$ , for which*

$$\operatorname{Re}(s(z)) > 0,$$

where

$$s(z) = 1 + s_1z + s_2z^2 + \dots.$$

Through out this paper it is assumed that  $\Psi$  is analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $\Psi(0) = 1$  and let

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi(z) = 1 + C_1z + C_2z^2 + \dots, \quad C_1 > 0.$$

Also let

$$(2.2) \quad h(z) = D_0 + D_1z + D_2z^2 + \dots, \quad |h(z)| \leq 1, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

We begin this section by finding the estimates on the coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for functions in the class  $\mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k(\gamma, \zeta, \Psi)$  proposed by Definition 1.4.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f$  of the form (1.1) be in the class  $\mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k(\gamma, \zeta, \Psi)$ . Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |D_0| C_1 \sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt{(1-\zeta) \left| 2 [3]_{p,q}^k \gamma C_1^2 D_0 - [2]_{p,q}^{2k} [(1-\zeta)(C_2 - C_1) + (1+\zeta)\gamma C_1^2 D_0] \right|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma D_0|^2 C_1^2}{(1-\zeta)^2 [2]_{p,q}^{2k}} + \frac{|\gamma D_1| C_1}{2(1-\zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k} + \frac{|\gamma D_0| C_1}{2(1-\zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k}.$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k(\gamma, \zeta, \Psi)$  then, there are two analytic functions  $u, v : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  with  $u(0) = v(0) = 0$ ,  $|u(z)| < 1$ ,  $|v(w)| < 1$  and a function  $h$  given by (2.2), such that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{z \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k f(z) \right)'}{(1-\zeta) \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k f(z) + \zeta z \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k f(z) \right)' - 1} - 1 \right) = h(z) (\Psi(u(z)) - 1)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{w \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w) \right)'}{(1-\zeta) \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w) + \zeta w \left( \mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k g(w) \right)' - 1} - 1 \right) = h(w) (\Psi(v(w)) - 1).$$

Determine the functions  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in  $P$  given by

$$s_1(z) = \frac{1 + u(z)}{1 - u(z)} = 1 + t_1z + t_2z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$s_2(w) = \frac{1 + v(w)}{1 - v(w)} = 1 + q_1w + q_2w^2 + \dots.$$

Thus,

$$(2.5) \quad u(z) = \frac{s_1(z) - 1}{s_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( t_1 z + \left( t_2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right)$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad v(w) = \frac{s_2(w) - 1}{s_2(w) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left( q_1 w + \left( q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} \right) w^2 + \dots \right).$$

The fact that  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $s_1(0) = s_2(0) = 1$ . Since  $u, v : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ , the functions  $s_1, s_2$  have a positive real part in  $\Delta$ , and the relations  $|t_i| \leq 2$  and  $|q_i| \leq 2$  are true. Using (2.5) and (2.6) together with (2.1) and (2.2) in the right hands of the relations (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad h(z) (\Psi(u(z)) - 1) = \frac{1}{2} D_0 C_1 t_1 z + \left( \frac{1}{2} D_1 C_1 t_1 + \frac{1}{2} D_0 C_1 \left( t_2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} D_0 C_2 t_1^2 \right) z^2 + \dots$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad h(w) (\Psi(v(w)) - 1) = \frac{1}{2} D_0 C_1 q_1 w + \left( \frac{1}{2} D_1 C_1 q_1 + \frac{1}{2} D_0 C_1 \left( q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} D_0 C_2 q_1^2 \right) w^2 + \dots$$

In the light of (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{(1 - \zeta) [2]_{p,q}^k a_2}{\gamma} = \frac{D_0 C_1 t_1}{2},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{2(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k a_3 - (1 - \zeta^2) [2]_{p,q}^{2k} a_2^2}{\gamma} = \frac{D_1 C_1 t_1}{2} + \frac{D_0 C_1}{2} \left( t_2 - \frac{t_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{D_0 C_2 t_1^2}{4}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad -\frac{(1 - \zeta) [2]_{p,q}^k a_2}{\gamma} = \frac{D_0 C_1 q_1}{2},$$

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{2(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k (2a_2^2 - a_3) - (1 - \zeta^2) [2]_{p,q}^{2k} a_2^2}{\gamma} = \frac{D_1 C_1 q_1}{2} + \frac{D_0 C_1}{2} \left( q_2 - \frac{q_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{D_0 C_2 q_1^2}{4}.$$

Now, (2.9) and (2.11) give

$$(2.13) \quad t_1 = -q_1$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad 8(1 - \zeta)^2 [2]_{p,q}^{2k} a_2^2 = \gamma^2 D_0^2 C_1^2 (t_1^2 + q_1^2).$$

Adding (2.10) and (2.12), we get

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{4(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k - 2(1 - \zeta^2) [2]_{p,q}^{2k}}{\gamma} a_2^2 = \frac{D_0 C_1 (t_2 + q_2)}{2} + \frac{D_0 (C_2 - C_1) (t_1^2 + q_1^2)}{4}.$$

By using (2.13), (2.14) and Lemma 2.1 in (2.15), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |D_0| C_1 \sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt{(1 - \zeta) \left| 2 [3]_{p,q}^k \gamma C_1^2 D_0 - [2]_{p,q}^{2k} [(1 - \zeta)(C_2 - C_1) + (1 + \zeta)\gamma C_1^2 D_0] \right|}}.$$

Next, to find the bound on  $|a_3|$ , by subtracting (2.12) from (2.10), we have

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{4(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k}{\gamma} (a_3 - a_2^2) = \frac{D_0 C_1 (t_2 - q_2)}{2} + \frac{D_1 C_1 (t_1 - q_1)}{2}.$$

It follows from (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) that

$$a_3 = \frac{\gamma^2 D_0^2 C_1^2 (t_1^2 + q_1^2)}{8(1 - \zeta^2) [2]_{p,q}^{2k}} + \frac{\gamma D_1 C_1 (t_1 - q_1)}{8(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k} + \frac{\gamma D_0 C_1 (t_2 - q_2)}{8(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 once again for the coefficients  $t_1, t_2, q_1$  and  $q_2$ , we readily get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma D_0|^2 C_1^2}{(1 - \zeta)^2 [2]_{p,q}^{2k}} + \frac{|\gamma D_1| C_1}{2(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k} + \frac{|\gamma D_0| C_1}{2(1 - \zeta) [3]_{p,q}^k}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $f$  of the form (1.1) be in the class  $\mathbf{D}^k(\gamma, \zeta, \Psi)$ . Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |D_0| C_1 \sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt{(1 - \zeta) \left| 2\gamma C_1^2 D_0 3^k - 2^{2k} [(1 - \zeta)(C_2 - C_1) + (1 + \zeta)\gamma C_1^2 D_0] \right|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma D_0|^2 C_1^2}{(1 - \zeta)^2 2^{2k}} + \frac{|\gamma D_1| C_1}{2(1 - \zeta) 3^k} + \frac{|\gamma D_0| C_1}{2(1 - \zeta) 3^k}.$$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $f$  of the form (1.1) be in the class  $\mathbf{D}_{p,q}^k(\gamma, \Psi)$ . Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |D_0| C_1 \sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt{\left| 2 [3]_{p,q}^k \gamma C_1^2 D_0 - [2]_{p,q}^{2k} [(C_2 - C_1) + \gamma C_1^2 D_0] \right|}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma D_0|^2 C_1^2}{[2]_{p,q}^{2k}} + \frac{|\gamma D_1| C_1}{2 [3]_{p,q}^k} + \frac{|\gamma D_0| C_1}{2 [3]_{p,q}^k}.$$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $f$  of the form (1.1) be in the class  $S_\Sigma(\gamma, \Psi)$ . Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma D_0| C_1 \sqrt{C_1}}{\sqrt{|C_1 - C_2 + \gamma C_1^2 D_0|}}$$



and

$$|a_3| \leq |\gamma D_0|^2 C_1^2 + \frac{(|D_1| + |D_0|) |\gamma| C_1}{2}.$$

### 3. CONCLUDING REMARK

Various choices of  $\Psi$  as mentioned above and suitably choosing the values of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , we state some interesting results analogous to Theorem 2.1 and the Corollaries 2.1 to 2.3. For example, the function  $\Psi$  is given by

$$\Psi(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\theta = 1 + 2\theta z + 2\theta^2 z^2 + \dots, \quad 0 < \theta \leq 1,$$

which gives

$$C_1 = 2\theta \text{ and } C_2 = 2\theta^2.$$

By taking

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\mu)z}{1 - z} = 1 + 2(1 - \mu)z + 2(1 - \mu)^2 z^2 + \dots, \quad 0 \leq \mu < 1,$$

we have

$$C_1 = C_2 = 2(1 - \mu).$$

On the other hand, for  $-1 \leq B \leq A < 1$ , if we let

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} = 1 + (A - B)z - B(A - B)z^2 + \dots, \quad 0 < \theta \leq 1,$$

then we have

$$C_1 = (A - B) \text{ and } C_2 = -B(A - B).$$

The details involved may be left as an exercise for the interested reader.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Ş. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **353** (2015), 1075–1080.
- [2] S. Araci, U. Duran, M. Acikgoz and H. M. Srivastava, *A certain  $(p, q)$ -derivative operator and associated divided differences*, J. Inequal. Appl. **301** (2016), 2016, 8 pages.
- [3] S. M. Aydoğan, Y. Kahramaner and Y. Polatoğlu, *Close-to-convex functions defined by fractional operator*, Appl. Math. Sci. **7** (2013), 2769–2775.
- [4] D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, in: *Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute*, University of Durham, New York, 1979.
- [5] D. A. Brannan and T. S. Taha, *On some classes of bi-univalent functions*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **31** (1986), 70–77.
- [6] R. Chakrabarti and R. Jagannathan, *A  $(p, q)$ -oscillator realization of two-parameter quantum algebras*, J. Phys. A. **24** (1991), 711–718.
- [7] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **259**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1983.
- [8] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1990.
- [9] S. G. Hamidi and J. M. Jahangiri, *Faber polynomial coefficients of bi-subordinate functions*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **354**(2016), 365–370.

- [10] T. Hayami and S. Owa, *Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions*, Pan-American Mathematical Journal **22** (2012), 15–26.
- [11] F. H. Jackson, *On  $q$ -functions and a certain difference operator*, Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **46** (1908), 253–281.
- [12] M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 63–68.
- [13] T. H. MacGregor, *Majorization by univalent functions*, Duke Math. J. **34** (1967), 95–102.
- [14] A. Mohammed and M. Darus, *A generalized operator involving the  $q$ -hypergeometric function*, Mat. Vesnik **65** (2013), 454–465.
- [15] F. M. Saker and H. Ö. Güney, *Faber polynomial coefficient bounds for analytic bi-close-to-convex functions defined by fractional calculus*, J. Fract. Calc. Appl. **9** (2018), 64–71.
- [16] E. Netanyahu, *The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in  $|z| < 1$* , Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **32** (1969), 100–112.
- [17] T. Panigarhi and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions analytic functions associated with Hohlov operator*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc. **16** (2013), 91–100.
- [18] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975.
- [19] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, *Certain subclass of analytic functions associated with fractional  $q$ -calculus operators*, Math. Scand. **109** (2011), 55–70.
- [20] S. D. Purohit and R. K. Raina, *Fractional  $q$ -calculus and certain subclass of univalent analytic functions*, Mathematica (Cluj) **55** (2013), 62–74.
- [21] R. K. Raina and P. Sharma, *Subordination properties of univalent functions involving a new class of operators*, Electron. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **2** (2014), 37–52.
- [22] F. Y. Ren, S. Owa and S. Fukui, *Some inequalities on quasi-subordinate functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 317–324.
- [23] M. S. Robertson, *Quasi-subordination and coefficients conjectures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 1–9.
- [24] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, in: *Proceeding of Complex Analysis - Fifth Romanian Finnish Seminar, Part 1*, Bucharest, 1981, Lecture Notes in Math. **1013**, Springer, Berlin, 1983, 362–372.
- [25] C. Selvaraj, G. Thirupathi and E. Umadevi, *Certain classes of analytic functions involving a family of generalized differential operators*, Transylvanian Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics **9** (2017), 51–61.
- [26] P. Sharma, R. K. Raina and J. Sokol, *On the convolution of a finite number of analytic functions involving a generalized Srivastava–Attiya operator*, Mediterr. J. Math. **13** (2016), 1535–1553.
- [27] D. F. Sofonea, *Some properties in  $q$ -calculus*, Gen. Math. **16** (2008), 47–54.
- [28] H. M. Srivastava, G. Murugusundaramoorthy and N. Magesh, *Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Hohlov operator*, Appl. Math. Lett. **1** (2013), 67–73.
- [29] H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 1188–1192.
- [30] H. M. Srivastava, *Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions*, in: H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds.), *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus and Their Applications*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, 1989.
- [31] A. Zireh, E. A. Adegani and S. Bulut, *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for a comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions defined by subordination*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **23** (2016), 487–504.

<sup>1,2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
BURSA ULUDAG UNIVERSITY,  
16059, BURSA, TURKEY  
*Email address:* sahsenealtinkaya@gmail.com  
*Email address:* syalcin@uludag.edu.tr