KRAGUJEVAC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS VOLUME 40(1) (2016), PAGES 113–124.

# INEQUALITIES FOR THE POLAR DERIVATIVE OF A POLYNOMIAL WITH RESTRICTED ZEROS

### AHMAD ZIREH<sup>1</sup> AND MAHMOOD BIDKHAM<sup>2</sup>

ABSTRACT. For a polynomial p(z) of degree n, we consider an operator  $D_{\alpha}$  which map a polynomial p(z) into  $D_{\alpha}p(z) := (\alpha - z)p'(z) + np(z)$  with respect to  $\alpha$ . It was proved by Liman et al. [A. Liman, R. N. Mohapatra and W. M. Shah, Inequalities for the Polar Derivative of a Polynomial, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 2010] that if p(z) has no zeros in |z| < 1 then for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1, |\beta| \le 1$ and |z| = 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + n\beta \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2}p(z) \right| &\leq \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \left[ \left| \alpha + \beta \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2} \right| + \left| z + \beta \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2} \right| \right] \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right. \\ &- \left[ \left| \alpha + \beta \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2} \right| - \left| z + \beta \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2} \right| \right] \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper we extend above inequality for the polynomials having no zeros in |z| < 1, except *s*-fold zeros at the origin. Our result generalize certain well-known polynomial inequalities.

# 1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

According to a well known result as Bernstein's inequality on the derivative of a polynomial p(z) of degree n, we have

(1.1) 
$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le n \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

The result is best possible and equality holds for a polynomial having all its zeros at the origin (see [13] and [4]). The inequality (1.1) can be sharpened, by considering

Key words and phrases. Polynomial, inequality, maximum modulus, polar derivative, restricted zeros.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 30A10. Secondary: 30C10, 30D15. Received: February 20, 2015.

Accepted: December 15, 2015.

the class of polynomials having no zeros in |z| < 1. In fact, P. Erdős conjectured and later Lax [10] proved that if  $p(z) \neq 0$  in |z| < 1, then (1.1) can be replaced by

(1.2) 
$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

As a refinement of (1.2), Aziz and Dawood [1] proved that if p(z) is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in |z| < 1, then

(1.3) 
$$\max_{|z|=1} |p'(z)| \le \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| - \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right\}.$$

As an improvement of inequality (1.3) Dewan and Hans [7] proved that if p(z) is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zeros in |z| < 1, then for any complex number  $\beta$ with  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and |z| = 1,

(1.4) 
$$\begin{aligned} \left|zp'(z) + \frac{n\beta}{2}p(z)\right| &\leq \frac{n}{2}\left\{\left(\left|1 + \frac{\beta}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right)\max_{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right.\right.\right. \\ &\left. - \left(\left|1 + \frac{\beta}{2}\right| - \left|\frac{\beta}{2}\right|\right)\min_{|z|=1}|p(z)|\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha$  be a complex number. For a polynomial p(z) of degree n,  $D_{\alpha}p(z)$ , the polar derivative of p(z) is defined as

$$D_{\alpha}p(z) = np(z) + (\alpha - z)p'(z).$$

It is easy to see that  $D_{\alpha}p(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most n-1 and that  $D_{\alpha}p(z)$  generalizes the ordinary derivative in the sense that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \left[ \frac{D_{\alpha} p(z)}{\alpha} \right] = p'(z).$$

For the polar derivative  $D_{\alpha}p(z)$ , Aziz and Shah [2] proved that if p(z) having all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then

(1.5) 
$$|D_{\alpha}p(z)| \ge n|\alpha||z|^{n-1} \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|, \quad |z| \ge 1,$$

and as an extension to inequality (1.3) they proved that if p(z) is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zeros in |z| < 1, then for every complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ ,

(1.6) 
$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}p(z)| \le \frac{n}{2} \left\{ (|\alpha|+1) \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| - (|\alpha|-1) \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right\}.$$

Recently Dewan et al. [9] generalized the inequality (1.6) to the polynomial of the form  $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=t}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le t \le n$ , and proved if  $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=t}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le t \le n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zeros in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$  then for  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ ,

(1.7) 
$$\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}p(z)| \le \frac{n}{1+s_0} \left\{ (|\alpha|+s_0) \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| - (|\alpha|-1) \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)| \right\},$$

where

$$s_0 = k^{t+1} \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \frac{|a_t|}{|a_0| - m} k^{t-1} + 1}{\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) \frac{|a_t|}{|a_0| - m} k^{t+1} + 1} \right\},\$$

and  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$ .

As a generalization of the inequality (1.7), Bidkham et al. [5] proved, if  $p(z) = a_0 + \sum_{\nu=\mu}^n a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$ ,  $1 \le \mu \le n$ , is a polynomial of degree *n* having no zeros in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$  then for  $0 < r \le R \le k$  and  $|\alpha| \ge R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{|z|=R} |D_{\alpha}p(z)| &\leq \frac{n}{1+s_0'} \left\{ \left( \frac{|\alpha|}{R} + s_0' \right) \exp\left\{ n \int_r^R A_t dt \right\} \max_{|z|=r} |p(z)| \\ &+ (s_0' + 1 - \left( \frac{|\alpha|}{R} + s_0' \right) \exp\left\{ n \int_r^R A_t dt \right\} \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{t} = \frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) \frac{|a_{\mu}|}{|a_{0}|-m} k^{\mu+1} t^{\mu-1} + t^{\mu}}{t^{\mu+1} + k^{\mu+1} + \left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) \left(\frac{|a_{\mu}|}{|a_{0}|-m}\right) \left(k^{\mu+1} t^{\mu} + k^{2\mu} t\right)},$$
$$s_{0}' = \left(\frac{k}{R}\right)^{\mu+1} \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) \frac{|a_{\mu}|}{|a_{0}|-m} R k^{\mu-1} + 1}{\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) \frac{|a_{\mu}|}{R(|a_{0}|-m)} k^{\mu+1} + 1} \right\},$$

and  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |p(z)|$ .

As an improvement and generalization to the inequalities (1.6) and (1.4), Liman et al. [11] proved that if p(z) is a polynomial of degree n having no zeros in |z| < 1, then for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ ,  $|\beta| \le 1$  and |z| = 1,

$$\left|zD_{\alpha}p(z) + n\beta\frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2}p(z)\right| \leq \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \left( \left|\alpha + \beta\frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2}\right| + \left|z + \beta\frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2}\right| \right) \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| - \left( \left|\alpha + \beta\frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2}\right| - \left|z + \beta\frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2}\right| \right) \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right\}.$$

In this paper, we first obtain the following generalization of polynomial inequality (1.5), as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , with s-fold zeros at the origin, then

(1.9) 
$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| \ge \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| |z|^n \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|,$$

for every real or complex numbers  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $|\alpha| \geq 1$  and  $|z| \geq 1$ . The result is best possible and equality holds for the polynomials  $p(z) = az^n$ .

If we take s = 0 in Theorem 1.1, we have

#### A. ZIREH AND M. BIDKHAM

**Corollary 1.1.** If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , then for  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $|\alpha| \geq 1$  and  $|z| \geq 1$ , we have

(1.10) 
$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + n\beta \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2}p(z) \right| \ge n \left| \alpha + \beta \frac{|\alpha| - 1}{2} \right| |z|^n \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

For  $\beta = 0$  the inequality (1.10) reduces to inequality (1.5).

Next by using Theorem 1.1, we generalize the inequality (1.8).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n does not vanish in |z| < 1, except s-fold zeros at the origin, then for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ ,  $|\beta| \le 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left\{ \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| + \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| \right\} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right] \\ (1.11) \quad & - \left\{ \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| - \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| \right\} \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right] \end{aligned}$$

If we take s = 0 in Theorem 1.2, then the inequality (1.11) reduces to the inequality (1.8).

Theorem 1.2 simplifies to the following result by taking  $\beta = 0$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n does not vanish in |z| < 1, except s-fold zeros at the origin, then for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$|D_{\alpha}p(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ n|\alpha| + |(n-s)z + s\alpha| \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| - (n|\alpha| - |(n-s)z + s\alpha| \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right\}.$$

Dividing two sides of inequality (1.11) by  $|\alpha|$  and letting  $|\alpha| \to \infty$ , we have the following generalization of the inequality (1.4).

**Corollary 1.3.** Let p(z) be a polynomial of degree n, having no zeros in |z| < 1, except s-fold zeros at the origin, then for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$ , and |z| = 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|zp'(z) + \frac{\beta(n+s)}{2}p(z)\right| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \left|n+\beta\frac{n+s}{2}\right| + \left|s+\beta\frac{n+s}{2}\right| \right) \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right. \\ &- \left( \left|n+\beta\frac{n+s}{2}\right| - \left|s+\beta\frac{n+s}{2}\right| \right) \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$
2. LEMMAS

For the proofs of these theorems, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is due to Laguerre [12].

**Lemma 2.1.** If all the zeros of an  $n^{th}$  degree polynomial p(z) lie in a circular region C and w is any zero of  $D_{\alpha}p(z)$ , then at most one of the points w and  $\alpha$  may lie outside C.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, has no zero in |z| < 1, then on |z| = 1,

$$|p'(z)| \le |q'(z)|,$$

where  $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(1/\overline{z})}$ .

The above lemma is due to Chan and Malik [6].

**Lemma 2.3.** If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in the closed disk  $|z| \leq 1$ , then on |z| = 1,

$$|q'(z)| \le |p'(z)|,$$

where  $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(1/\overline{z})}$ .

*Proof.* Since p(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$ , therefore q(z) has no zero in |z| < 1. Now applying Lemma 2.2 to the polynomial q(z) and the result follows.

The following lemma is due to Aziz and Shah [3].

**Lemma 2.4.** If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in the closed disk  $|z| \leq 1$ , with s-fold zeros at the origin, then

$$|p'(z)| \ge \frac{n+s}{2}|p(z)|, \quad |z| = 1.$$

**Lemma 2.5.** If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in the closed disk  $|z| \leq 1$ , with s-fold zeros at the origin, then for all real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \geq 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$|D_{\alpha}p(z)| \ge \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}|p(z)|$$

The above lemma is due to K. K. Dewan and A. Mir [8].

**Lemma 2.6.** If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n with s-fold zeros at the origin, then for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1, |\alpha| \geq 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

(2.1) 
$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| \leq \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$$

Proof. Let  $M = \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ , if  $|\lambda| < 1$ , then  $|\lambda p(z)| < |M z^n|$  for |z| = 1. Therefore it follows by Rouche's Theorem that the polynomial  $G(z) = M z^n - \lambda p(z)$  has all its zeros in |z| < 1 with s-fold zeros at the origin. By using Lemma 2.5, to the polynomial G(z), we have for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$  and for |z| = 1,

$$|zD_{\alpha}G(z)| \ge \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}|G(z)|,$$

or

$$|n\alpha Mz^n - \lambda z D_{\alpha} p(z)| \ge \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} |Mz^n - \lambda p(z)|.$$

#### A. ZIREH AND M. BIDKHAM

On the other hand by Lemma 2.1 all the zeros of  $D_{\alpha}G(z) = n\alpha M z^{n-1} - \lambda D_{\alpha}p(z)$ lie in |z| < 1, where  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ . Therefore for any  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \le 1$ , Rouche's Theorem implies that all the zeros of

$$n\alpha M z^{n} - \lambda z D_{\alpha} p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} (M z^{n} - \lambda p(z)),$$

lie in |z| < 1. This conclude that the polynomial (2.2)

$$T(z) = \left(n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right) M z^n - \lambda \left(z D_\alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} p(z)\right),$$

will have no zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ . This implies that for every  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < 1$  and |z| = 1,

(2.3) 
$$\left|zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z)\right| \leq \left|n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| M.$$

If the inequality (2.3) is not true, then there is a point  $z = z_0$  with  $|z_0| \ge 1$ , such that

$$\left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| M < \left| z_0 D_\alpha p(z_0) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} p(z_0) \right|$$

Take

$$\lambda = \frac{\left(n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right)M}{z_0 D_\alpha p(z_0) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} p(z_0)},$$

then  $|\lambda| < 1$  and with this choice of  $\lambda$ , we have  $T(z_0) = 0$  for  $|z_0| \ge 1$ , from (2.2). But this contradicts the fact that  $T(z) \ne 0$  for  $|z| \ge 1$ . For  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = 1$ , inequality (2.3) follows by continuity. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6.

**Lemma 2.7.** If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n with s-fold zeros at the origin, then for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1, |\alpha| \geq 1$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| + \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right| \\ \leq \left\{ \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| + \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| \right\} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|, \end{aligned}$$
where  $Q(z) = z^{n+s}\overline{p(1/\overline{z})}$ 

where  $Q(z) = z^{n+s} \overline{p(1/\overline{z})}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M = \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ . For  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| > 1$ , it follows by Rouche's Theorem that the polynomial  $G(z) = p(z) - \lambda M z^s$  has no zeros in |z| < 1, except s-fold zeros at the origin. Consequently the polynomial

$$H(z) = z^{n+s}\overline{G(1/\overline{z})},$$

has all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$  with s-fold zeros at the origin, also |G(z)| = |H(z)| for |z| = 1. Since all the zeros of H(z) lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ , therefore, for  $\delta$  with  $|\delta| > 1$ , by

Rouche's Theorem all the zeros of  $G(z) + \delta H(z)$  lie in  $|z| \le 1$ . Hence by Lemma 2.5 for every  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ , and |z| = 1, we have

$$\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}|G(z)+\delta H(z)| \le |zD_{\alpha}(G(z)+\delta H(z))|.$$

Now using a similar argument as used in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we get for every real or complex number  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and  $|z| \geq 1$ ,

(2.4) 
$$\left| zD_{\alpha}G(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}G(z) \right| \leq \left| zD_{\alpha}H(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}H(z) \right|.$$

Therefore by the equalities

$$H(z) = z^{n+s}\overline{G(1/\overline{z})} = z^{n+s}\overline{p(1/\overline{z})} - \overline{\lambda}Mz^n = Q(z) - \overline{\lambda}Mz^n,$$

or

$$H(z) = Q(z) - \overline{\lambda}Mz^n$$

and substitute for G(z) and H(z) in (2.4) we get

$$\left| \left( zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right) - \lambda \left( (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right) M z^{s} \right|$$
  

$$\leq \left| \left( zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right) - \overline{\lambda} \left( n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right) M z^{n} \right|.$$
This implies

This implies

$$\left|zD_{\alpha}p(z)+\beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z)\right|-\left|(n-s)z+s\alpha+\beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right||\lambda M z^{s}|$$

$$(2.5) \leq \left|\left(zD_{\alpha}Q(z)+\beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z)\right)-\overline{\lambda}\left(n\alpha+\beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right)M z^{n}\right|.$$

As |p(z)| = |Q(z)| for |z| = 1, i.e.,  $\max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| = \max_{|z|=1} |Q(z)| = M$ , by using Lemma 2.6 for Q(z), we obtain for |z| = 1,

$$\left|zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z)\right| < |\lambda| \left|n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| M.$$

Thus taking suitable choice of argument of  $\lambda$ , result is

$$\left| \left( zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right) - \overline{\lambda} \left( n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right) M z^{n} \right|$$

$$(2.6) = |\lambda| \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| M - \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right|.$$

By combining right hand side of (2.5) and (2.6) we get for |z| = 1 and  $|\beta| \le 1$ ,

$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| - |\lambda| \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| M$$
  
$$\leq |\lambda| \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| M - \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right|,$$

i.e.,

$$\left|zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z)\right| + \left|zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z)\right|$$
$$\leq |\lambda| \left\{ \left|n\alpha + \beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| + \left|(n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| \right\} M$$

Taking  $|\lambda| \to 1$ , we have

$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| + \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right|$$
  

$$\leq \left\{ \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| + \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| \right\} M.$$
gives the result.

This gives the result.

The following lemma is due to Zireh [14].

**Lemma 2.8.** If  $p(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  is a polynomial of degree n, having all its zeros in  $|z| < k, \ (k > 0), \ then \ m < k^n |a_n|, \ where \ m = \min_{|z| = h} |p(z)|.$ 

## 3. Proof of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If p(z) has a zero on |z| = 1, then the inequality (1.9) is trivial. Therefore we assume that p(z) has all its zeros in |z| < 1. Let  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ , then m > 0 and  $|p(z)| \ge m$  where |z| = 1. Therefore, for  $|\lambda| < 1$ , it follows by Rouche's Theorem and Lemma 2.8 that the polynomial  $G(z) = p(z) - \lambda m z^n$  is of degree n and has all its zeros in |z| < 1 with s-fold zeros at the origin. By using Lemma 2.1,  $D_{\alpha}G(z) = D_{\alpha}p(z) - \alpha\lambda mnz^{n-1}$ , has all its zeros in |z| < 1, where  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.5 to the polynomial G(z), yields

(3.1) 
$$|zD_{\alpha}G(z)| \ge \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}|G(z)|, \quad |z|=1.$$

Since  $zD_{\alpha}G(z)$  has all its zeros in |z| < 1, by using Rouche's Theorem, it can be easily verifies from (3.1), that the polynomial

$$zD_{\alpha}G(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}G(z),$$

has all its zeros in |z| < 1, where  $|\beta| < 1$ .

Substituting for G(z), we conclude that the polynomial

(3.2)

$$T(z) = \left(zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z)\right) - \lambda m z^n \left(n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right),$$

will have no zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ . This implies for every  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| < 1$  and  $|z| \ge 1$ ,  $(n + s)(|\alpha| - 1)$  |  $(n + s)(|\alpha| - 1)$ 1

(3.3) 
$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| \ge m|z^n| \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right|.$$

120

If the inequality (3.3) is not true, then there is a point  $z = z_0$  with  $|z_0| \ge 1$  such that

$$\left| z_0 D_{\alpha} p(z_0) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} p(z_0) \right| < m |z_0^n| \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right|$$

Take

$$\lambda = \frac{z_0 D_{\alpha} p(z_0) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} p(z_0)}{m z_0^n \left(n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right)},$$

then  $|\lambda| < 1$  and with this choice of  $\lambda$ , we have  $T(z_0) = 0$  for  $|z_0| \ge 1$ , from (3.2). But this contradicts the fact that  $T(z) \ne 0$  for  $|z| \ge 1$ . For  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = 1$ , inequality (3.3) follows by continuity. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, we can write  $p(z) = z^s h(z)$ , where the polynomial  $h(z) \neq 0$  in |z| < 1, and thus if  $m = \min_{|z|=1} |h(z)| = \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|$ , then  $m \leq |h(z)|$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ . Now for  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| < 1$ , we have

$$|\lambda m| < m \le |h(z)|,$$

where |z| = 1.

It follows by Rouche's Theorem that the polynomial  $h(z) - \lambda m$  has no zero in |z| < 1. Hence the polynomial  $G(z) = z^s(h(z) - \lambda m) = p(z) - \lambda m z^s$ , has no zero in |z| < 1 except s-fold zeros at the origin. Therefore the polynomial

$$H(z) = z^{n+s}\overline{G(1/\overline{z})} = Q(z) - \overline{\lambda}mz^n,$$

will have all its zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$  with s-fold zeros at the origin, where  $Q(z) = z^{n+s}\overline{p(1/\overline{z})}$ . Also |G(z)| = |H(z)| for |z| = 1.

Now, using a similar argument as used in the proof of Lemma 2.7 (inequality (2.4)), for the polynomials H(z) and G(z), we have

$$\left|zD_{\alpha}G(z)+\beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}G(z)\right| \leq \left|zD_{\alpha}H(z)+\beta\frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}H(z)\right|,$$

where  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ ,  $|\beta| \le 1$  and |z| = 1. Substituting for G(z) and H(z) in the above inequality, we conclude that for every  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , with  $|\alpha| \ge 1$ ,  $|\beta| \le 1$  and |z| = 1,

$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) - \lambda((n-s)z + s\alpha)mz^{s} + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}(p(z) - \lambda mz^{s}) \right|$$
  
$$\leq \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) - \overline{\lambda}\alpha nmz^{n} + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}(Q(z) - \overline{\lambda}mz^{n}) \right|,$$

i.e.,

$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) - \lambda \left( (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right) m z^{s} \right|$$

$$(3.4) \leq \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) - \overline{\lambda} \left( n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right) m z^{n} \right|.$$

Since all the zeros of Q(z) lie in  $|z| \leq 1$  with s-fold zeros at the origin, and |p(z)| = |Q(z)| for |z| = 1, therefore by applying Theorem 1.1 to Q(z), we have

$$\left|zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z)\right| \ge \left|n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| \min_{|z|=1} |Q(z)|$$
$$= \left|n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| m.$$

Then for an appropriate choice of the argument of  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) - \overline{\lambda} \left( n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right) mz^{n} \right|$$

$$(3.5) = \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right| - |\lambda| \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| m,$$

where |z| = 1.

Then combining the right hand sides of (3.4) and (3.5), we can rewrite (3.4) as

$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| - |\lambda| \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| m$$
  
(3.6)  $\leq \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right| - |\lambda| \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| m,$ 

where |z| = 1.

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right| \\ \leq \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right| - |\lambda| \left\{ \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| - \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| \right\} m. \end{aligned}$$

As  $|\lambda| \to 1$  we have

$$\left| zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z) \right|$$
  

$$\leq \left| zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) \right| - \left\{ \left| n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2} \right| - \left| (n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{|\alpha|-1}{2} \right| \right\} m.$$

It implies for every real or complex number  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and |z| = 1,

$$2\left|zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z)\right| \\ \leq \left|zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z)\right| + \left|zD_{\alpha}Q(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}Q(z) - \left\{\left|n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| - \left|(n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right|\right\}m.$$

This in conjunction with Lemma 2.7 gives for  $|\beta| \leq 1$  and |z| = 1,

$$2\left|zD_{\alpha}p(z) + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}p(z)\right| \\ \leq \left\{ \left|n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| + \left|(n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| \right\} \max_{|z|=1} |p(z)| \\ - \left\{ \left|n\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| - \left|(n-s)z + s\alpha + \beta \frac{(n+s)(|\alpha|-1)}{2}\right| \right\} \min_{|z|=1} |p(z)|.$$

The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the referee, for the careful reading of the paper and for the helpful suggestions and comments.

#### References

- A. Aziz and Q. M. Dawood, Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative, J. Approx. Theory 54 (1998), 306–313.
- [2] A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, Some inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 107 (1997), 263–270.
- [3] A. Aziz and W. M. Shah, Inequalities for a polynomial and its derivative, Math. Ineq. Appl. 7 (2004), 379–391.
- [4] S. Bernstein, Sur la limitation des derivees des polnomes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 190 (1930), 338-341.
- [5] M. Bidkham, M. Shakeri and M. E. Gordji, *Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial*, J. Ineq. Appl. **1155** (2009), 1–9.
- [6] T. N. Chan and M. A. Malik, On Erdös-Lax theorem, Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci. 92 (1983), 191–193.
- [7] K. K. Dewan and S. Hans, Generalization of certain well-known polynomial inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 363 (2010), 38–41.
- [8] K. K. Dewan and A. Mir, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, J. Interdisciplinary Math. 10 (2007), 525–531.
- [9] K. K. Dewan, N. Singh and A. Mir, Extension of some polynomial inequalities to the polar derivative, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 352 (2009), 807–815.
- [10] P. D. Lax, Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdos on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1944), 509–513.

### A. ZIREH AND M. BIDKHAM

- [11] A. Liman, R. N. Mohapatra and W. M. Shah, Inequalities for the polar derivative of a polynomial, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory. 6 (2012), 1199–1209.
- [12] M. Marden, Geometry of Polynomials: Mathematical Surveys, American Mathematical Society, Providence, USA, 1966.
- [13] Q. I. Rahman and G. Schmeisser, Analytic Theory of Polynomials, Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.
- [14] A. Zireh, On the maximum modulus of a polynomial and its polar derivative, J. Inequal. Appl. 111 (2011), 1–9.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHAHROOD UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, SHAHROOD, IRAN. *E-mail address*: azireh@shahroodut.ac.ir

<sup>2</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SEMNAN, SEMNAN, IRAN *E-mail address*: mdbidkham@gmail.com