

## SOME ESTIMATES FOR HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS AT THE BOUNDARY OF THE UNIT DISC

B. N. ORNEK<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, for holomorphic function  $f(z) = z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$  belong to the class of  $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ , it has been estimated from below the modulus of the angular derivative of the function  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  on the boundary point of the unit disc.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function in the unit disc  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ ,  $f(0) = 0$  and  $|f(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$ . In accordance with the classical Schwarz lemma, for any point  $z$  in the disc  $E$ , we have  $|f(z)| \leq |z|$  and  $|f'(0)| \leq 1$ . Equality in these inequalities (in the first one, for  $z \neq 0$ ) occurs only if  $f(z) = ze^{i\theta}$ , where  $\theta$  is a real number ([8], p. 329). For historical background about the Schwarz lemma and its applications on the boundary of the unit disc, we refer to (see [2, 7]).

The basic tool in proving our results is the following lemma due to Jack.

**Lemma 1.1** (Jack's lemma). *Let  $f(z)$  be holomorphic function in the unit disc  $E$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then if  $|f(z)|$  attains its maximum value on the circle  $|z| = r$  at a point  $z_0 \in E$ , then there exists a real number  $k \geq 1$  such that*

$$\frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} = k.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots,$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Schwarz lemma, holomorphic function, angular limit.  
*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30C80. Secondary: 32A10.  
*Received:* August 02, 2017.  
*Accepted:* June 06, 2018.

that are holomorphic in the unit disc  $E$ . Also,  $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$  be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all functions  $f(z)$  which satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^\alpha \left| z \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta < \left( \frac{1}{2}\lambda \right)^\beta,$$

for some real  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta+\alpha}$ .

Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$  and define  $\phi(z)$  in  $E$  by

$$(1.2) \quad \phi(z) = \frac{(h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1}{(h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} + 1},$$

where  $h(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ .

Obviously,  $\phi(z)$  is holomorphic function in the unit disc  $E$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . We want to prove  $|\phi(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$ . Differentiating (1.2) and simplifying, we obtain

$$\left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)' = \frac{2\lambda\phi'(z)}{(1-\phi(z))^2} \left( \frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} \right)^{\lambda-1}$$

and, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right|^\alpha \left| z \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)' \right|^\beta &= \left| \frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)} \right|^{\alpha\beta+\beta(\lambda-1)} \left| \frac{2\lambda z\phi'(z)}{(1-\phi(z))^2} \right|^\beta \\ &= \left| \frac{2\lambda z\phi'(z)}{(1-\phi(z))^2} \right|^\beta < \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a point  $z_0 \in E$  such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |\phi(z)| = |\phi(z_0)| = 1,$$

then Jack's lemma gives us that  $\phi(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$  and  $z_0\phi'(z_0) = k\phi(z_0)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right|^\alpha \left| z_0 \left( \frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} \right)' \right|^\beta &= \left| \frac{2\lambda z_0 \phi'(z_0)}{(1-\phi(z_0))^2} \right|^\beta = \left| \frac{2\lambda k e^{i\theta}}{(1-e^{i\theta})^2} \right|^\beta \\ &= \frac{(2\lambda k)^\beta}{|1-e^{i\theta}|^{2\beta}} \geq \frac{(2\lambda)^\beta}{2^{2\beta}} = \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

This contradict (1.1). So, there is no point  $z_0 \in E$  such that  $\phi(z_0) = 1$ . This means that  $|\phi(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$ . Thus, from the Schwarz lemma, we obtain

$$|c_2| \leq \frac{2\beta}{\beta+\alpha}.$$

Moreover, the equality  $|c_2| = \frac{2\beta}{\beta+\alpha}$  occurs for the function

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{1+t}{1-t} \right)^\lambda dt}.$$

That proves the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.** *If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ , then we have*

$$(1.3) \quad |c_2| \leq \frac{2\beta}{\beta + \alpha}.$$

*The equality in (1.3) occurs for the function*

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt}.$$

The following boundary version of the Schwarz lemma was proved in 1938 by Unkelbach in [21] and then rediscovered and partially improved by Osserman in [17].

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a holomorphic function self-mapping of  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ , that is  $|f(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in E$ . Assume that there is a  $b \in \partial E$  so that  $f$  extend continuously to  $b$ ,  $|f(b)| = 1$  and  $f'(b)$  exists. Then*

$$(1.4) \quad |f'(b)| \geq \frac{2}{1 + |f'(0)|}.$$

*The equality in (1.4) holds if and only if  $f$  is of the form*

$$f(z) = -z \frac{a - z}{1 - az}, \quad \text{for all } z \in E,$$

*for some constant  $a \in (-1, 0]$ .*

**Corollary 1.1.** *Under the hypotheses lemma, we have*

$$(1.5) \quad |f'(b)| \geq 1,$$

*with equality only if  $f$  is of the form*

$$f(z) = ze^{i\theta},$$

*where  $\theta$  is a real number.*

The following Lemma 1.4 and Corollary 1.2, known as the Julia-Wolff lemma, is needed in the sequel [15].

**Lemma 1.4** (Julia-Wolff lemma). *Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function in  $E$ ,  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(E) \subset E$ . If, in addition, the function  $f$  has an angular limit  $f(b)$  at  $b \in \partial E$ ,  $|f(b)| = 1$ , then the angular derivative  $f'(b)$  exists and  $1 \leq |f'(b)| \leq \infty$ .*

**Corollary 1.2.** *The holomorphic function  $f$  has a finite angular derivative  $f'(b)$  if and only if  $f'$  has the finite angular limit  $f'(b)$  at  $b \in \partial E$ .*

Inequality (1.4) and its generalizations have important applications in geometric theory of functions (see, e.g., [8, 18]). Therefore, the interest to such type results is not vanished recently (see, e.g., [1, 2, 5–7, 15–17, 19, 20] and references therein).

Vladimir N. Dubinin has continued this line and has made a refinement on the boundary Schwarz lemma under the assumption that  $f(z) = c_p z^p + c_{p+1} z^{p+1} + \dots$ , with a zero set  $\{z_k\}$  (see [5]).

S. G. Krantz and D. M. Burns [3] and D. Chelst [4] studied the uniqueness part of the Schwarz lemma. According to M. Mateljević's studies, some other types of results which are related to the subject can be found in ([13, 14] and [12]). In addition, [11] was posed on ResearchGate where is discussed concerning results in more general aspects.

Also, M. Jeong [10] showed some inequalities at a boundary point for different form of holomorphic functions and found the condition for equality and in [9] a holomorphic self map defined on the closed unit disc with fixed points only on the boundary of the unit disc.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, for holomorphic function  $f(z) = z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots$  belong to the class of  $\mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ , it has been estimated from below the modulus of the angular derivative of the function  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  on the boundary point of the unit disc.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ . Assume that, for some  $b \in \partial E$ ,  $f$  has angular limit  $f(b)$  at  $b$  and  $\frac{bf'(b)}{f(b)} = i^\lambda$ . Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)' \Big|_{z=b} \right| \geq \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}.$$

The equality in (2.1) occurs for the function

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{1+t}{1-t} \right)^\lambda dt},$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Consider the function

$$\phi(z) = \frac{(h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1}{(h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} + 1},$$

where  $h(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  and  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}$ .  $\phi(z)$  is a holomorphic function in the unit disc  $E$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . From the Jack's lemma and since  $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ , we obtain  $|\phi(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$ . Also, we have  $|\phi(b)| = 1$  for  $b \in \partial E$ .

From (1.5), we obtain

$$1 \leq |\phi'(b)| = \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| \frac{(h(b))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} h'(b)}{(1 + (h(b))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})^2} \right| = \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| \frac{(i^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} h'(b)}{(1 + (i^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})^2} \right| = \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| \frac{(i^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} h'(b)}{(1 + (i^\lambda)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}})^2} \right|$$

and

$$1 \leq \frac{2 |h'(b)|}{\lambda |1+i|^2} = \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda}.$$

So, we take the inequality (2.1).

Now, we shall show that the inequality (2.1) is sharp. Let

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt}.$$

Then, we have

$$\ln f(z) = \ln e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt} = \int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt,$$

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda,$$

$$h(z) = z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda$$

and

$$h'(z) = \lambda \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\lambda-1} \frac{2}{(1-z)^2}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$h'(i) = \lambda \left(\frac{1+i}{1-i}\right)^{\lambda-1} \frac{2}{(1-i)^2}$$

and

$$|h'(i)| = \lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}.$$

□

**Theorem 2.2.** *Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.1, we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)' \Big|_{z=b} \right| \geq \frac{4\beta^2}{(\beta + \alpha) (2\beta + (\beta + \alpha) |c_2|)}.$$

The inequality (2.2) is sharp with equality for the function

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt},$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(z)$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Using the inequality (1.4) for the function  $\phi(z)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{2}{1 + |\phi'(0)|} \leq |\phi'(b)| = \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| \frac{(h(b))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} h'(b)}{\left(1 + (h(b))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}\right)^2} \right| = \frac{2 |h'(b)|}{\lambda |1+i|^2} = \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda}.$$

Since

$$\phi'(z) = \frac{2 (h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} h'(z)}{\lambda \left(1 + (h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}\right)^2}$$

and

$$|\phi'(0)| = \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| \frac{(h(0))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1} h'(0)}{\left(1 + (h(0))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}\right)^2} \right| = \frac{2 |c_2|}{\lambda \cdot 4} = \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda},$$

we have

$$\frac{2}{1 + \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}} \leq \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda}$$

and

$$|h'(b)| \geq \frac{4\lambda^2}{2\lambda + |c_2|}.$$

So, we obtain the inequality (2.2).

To show that the inequality (2.2) is sharp, take the holomorphic function

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt}.$$

Then

$$h(z) = z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda$$

and

$$|h'(i)| = \lambda.$$

Since  $|c_2| = 2\lambda$  is satisfied with equality. That is;

$$\frac{4\lambda^2}{2\lambda + |c_2|} = \frac{4\lambda^2}{2\lambda + 2\lambda} = \lambda. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$ . Assume that, for some  $b \in \partial E$ ,  $f$  has angular limit  $f(b)$  at  $b$  and  $\frac{bf'(b)}{f(b)} = i^\lambda$ . Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)'_{z=b} \right| \geq \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{2(2\lambda - |c_2|)^2}{4\lambda^2 - |c_2|^2 + |4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|} \right),$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}$ . The inequality (2.3) is sharp with equality for the function

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(z)$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. By the maximum principle for each  $z \in E$ , we have  $|\phi(z)| \leq |z|$ . So,

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{z}$$

is a holomorphic function in  $E$  and  $|\psi(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$ . For any real number  $\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  that is not a non-negative integer

$$k^\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu}{n} (k-1)^n,$$

where  $k = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 + c_2z + (2c_3 - c_2^2)z^2 + \dots$ .

From equality of  $\psi(z)$ , we have

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{z} \frac{(h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1}{(h(z))^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} + 1} = \frac{1}{z} \frac{(k)^\mu - 1}{(k)^\mu + 1}.$$

Thus, we take

$$(2.4) \quad |\psi(0)| = \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda} \leq 1$$

and

$$|\psi'(0)| = \frac{|4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|}{4\lambda^2}.$$

Moreover, it can be seen that

$$\frac{b\phi'(b)}{\phi(b)} = |\phi'(b)| \geq |(b^p)'| = \frac{b(b^p)'}{b^p}.$$

The function

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{\psi(z) - \psi(0)}{1 - \overline{\psi(0)}\psi(z)}$$

is a holomorphic in the unit disc  $E$ ,  $|\Phi(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$ ,  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $|\Phi(b)| = 1$  for  $b \in \partial E$ .

From (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 + |\Phi'(0)|} \leq |\Phi'(b)| &= \frac{1 - |\psi(0)|^2}{|1 - \overline{\psi(0)}\psi(b)|^2} |\psi'(b)| \leq \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} |\psi'(b)| \\ &= \frac{1 + |\psi(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|} \{|\phi'(b)| - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(z) &= \frac{1 - |\psi(0)|^2}{(1 - \overline{\psi(0)}\psi(z))^2} \psi'(z), \\ |\Phi'(0)| &= \frac{|\psi'(0)|}{1 - |\psi(0)|^2} = \frac{\frac{|4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|}{4\lambda^2}}{1 - \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right)^2} = \frac{|4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|}{4\lambda^2 - |c_2|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

we take

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 + \frac{|4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|}{4\lambda^2 - |c_2|^2}} &\leq \frac{1 + \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}}{1 - \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}} \left\{ \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{2\lambda + |c_2|}{2\lambda - |c_2|} \left\{ \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda} - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$1 + \frac{2(4\lambda^2 - |c_2|^2)}{4\lambda^2 - |c_2|^2 + |4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|} \frac{2\lambda - |c_2|}{2\lambda + |c_2|} \leq \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda}$$

and

$$|h'(b)| \geq \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{2(2\lambda - |c_2|)^2}{4\lambda^2 - |c_2|^2 + |4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|} \right).$$

So, we obtain the inequality (2.3).

To show that the inequality (2.3) is sharp, take the holomorphic function

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt}.$$

Then

$$h(z) = z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\lambda$$

and

$$|h'(i)| = \lambda.$$

Since  $|c_2| = 2\lambda$ , (2.3) is satisfied with equality. □

If  $\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1$  has no zeros different from  $z = 0$  in Theorem 2.3, the inequality (2.3) can be further strengthened. This is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(\lambda)$  and  $\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1$  has no zeros in  $E$  except  $z = 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$ . Assume that, for some  $b \in \partial E$ ,  $f$  has angular limit  $f(b)$  at  $b$  and  $\frac{bf'(b)}{f(b)} = i^\lambda$ . Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)' \right|_{z=b} \geq \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{2\lambda |c_2| \ln^2 \left( \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda} \right)}{2\lambda |c_2| \ln \left( \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda} \right) - |4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|} \right),$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}$ . In addition, the equality in (2.5) occurs for the function

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt},$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha}$ .



*Proof.* Let  $c_2 > 0$  in the expression of the function  $f(z)$ . Having in mind the inequality (2.4) and the function  $\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1$  has no zeros in  $E$  except  $E - \{0\}$ , we denote by  $\ln \psi(z)$  the holomorphic branch of the logarithm normed by the condition

$$\ln \psi(0) = \ln \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right) < 0.$$

The auxiliary function

$$\Delta(z) = \frac{\ln \psi(z) - \ln \psi(0)}{\ln \psi(z) + \ln \psi(0)}$$

is a holomorphic in the unit disc  $E$ ,  $|\Delta(z)| < 1$ ,  $\Delta(0) = 0$  and  $|\Delta(b)| = 1$  for  $b \in \partial E$ .

From (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 + |\Delta'(0)|} &\leq |\Delta'(b)| = \frac{|2 \ln \psi(0)|}{|\ln \psi(b) + \ln \psi(0)|^2} \left| \frac{\psi'(b)}{\psi(b)} \right| \\ &= \frac{-2 \ln \psi(0)}{\ln^2 \psi(0) + \arg^2 \psi(b)} \{|\phi'(b)| - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta'(0)| &= \frac{-1}{\ln \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right)} \frac{\frac{|4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|}{4\lambda^2}}{\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{-1}{\ln \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right)} \frac{|4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|}{2\lambda |c_2|} \end{aligned}$$

and replacing  $\arg^2 \psi(b)$  by zero, then we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{|4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|}{2\lambda |c_2| \ln \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right)}} \leq \frac{-1}{\ln \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right)} \left\{ \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda} - 1 \right\}$$

and

$$1 - \frac{2\lambda |c_2| \ln^2 \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right)}{2\lambda |c_2| \ln \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right) - |4\lambda c_3 - c_2^2(2\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)c_2|} \leq \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda}.$$

Thus, we obtain the inequality (2.5) with an obvious equality case. □

The following inequality (2.6) is weaker, but is simpler than (2.5) and does not contain the coefficient  $c_3$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, we have the inequality*

$$(2.6) \quad \left| \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)'_{z=b} \right| \geq \frac{\beta}{\beta + \alpha} \left[ 1 - \ln \left( (\beta + \alpha) \frac{|c_2|}{2\beta} \right) \right].$$

Moreover, the result is sharp and the extremal function is

$$f(z) = e^{\int_0^z \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\lambda dt},$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\beta+\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $c_2 > 0$ . Using the inequality (1.5) for the function  $\Phi(z)$ , we obtain

$$1 \leq |\Delta'(b)| = \frac{|2 \ln \psi(0)|}{|\ln \psi(b) + \ln \psi(0)|^2} \left| \frac{\psi'(b)}{\psi(b)} \right| = \frac{-2 \ln \psi(0)}{\ln^2 \psi(0) + \arg^2 \psi(b)} \{|\phi'(b)| - 1\}.$$

Replacing  $\arg^2 \varphi(b)$  by zero, then we have

$$1 \leq \frac{-1}{\ln \left(\frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda}\right)} \left\{ \frac{|h'(b)|}{\lambda} - 1 \right\}$$

and

$$|h'(b)| \geq \lambda \left[ 1 - \ln \left( \frac{|c_2|}{2\lambda} \right) \right].$$

Thus, we obtain the inequality (2.6) with an obvious equality case.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. Azeroğlu and B. Örnek, *A refined schwarz inequality on the boundary*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **58** (2013), 571–577.
- [2] H. P. Boas, *Julius and Julia: mastering the art of the Schwarz lemma*, Amer. Math. Monthly **117** (2010), 770–785.
- [3] D. M. Burns and S. G. Krantz, *Rigidity of holomorphic mappings and a new Schwarz lemma at the boundary*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1994), 661–676.
- [4] D. Chelst, *A generalized Schwarz lemma at the boundary*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3275–3278.
- [5] V. Dubinin, *The Schwarz inequality on the boundary for functions regular in the disk*, J. Math. Sci. **122** (2004), 3623–3629.
- [6] V. Dubinin, *Bounded holomorphic functions covering no concentric circles*, J. Math. Sci. **207**(6) (2015), 825–831.
- [7] M. Elin, F. Jacobzon, M. Levenshtein and D. Shoikhet, *The Schwarz lemma: rigidity and dynamics*, in: *Harmonic and Complex Analysis and its Applications*, Springer, Switzerland, Basel, 2014, 135–230.
- [8] G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1969.
- [9] M. Jeong, *The Schwarz lemma and its application at a boundary point*, Pure Appl. Math. **21** (2014), 219–227.
- [10] M.-J. Jeong, *The Schwarz lemma and boundary fixed points*, Pure Appl. Math. **18** (2011), 275–284.
- [11] M. Mateljević, *Note on rigidity of holomorphic mappings & Schwarz and Jack lemma*, Filomat, (to appear).
- [12] M. Mateljević, *Ahlfors-Schwarz lemma and curvature*, Kragujevac J. Math. **25** (2003), 155–164.
- [13] M. Mateljevic, *Distortion of harmonic functions and harmonic quasiconformal quasi-isometry*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **51** (2006), 711–722.

- [14] M. Mateljević, *The lower bound for the modulus of the derivatives and jacobian of harmonic injective mappings*, Filomat **29** (2015), 221–244.
- [15] B. Ornek, *Estimates for holomorphic functions concerned with Jack's lemma*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **104**(118) (2018), 231–240.
- [16] B. N. Ornek, *Sharpened forms of the Schwarz lemma on the boundary*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **50** (2013), 2053–2059.
- [17] R. Osserman, *A sharp Schwarz inequality on the boundary*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3513–3517.
- [18] C. Pommerenke, *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **299**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1992.
- [19] X. Tang and T. Liu, *The Schwarz lemma at the boundary of the egg domain  $B_{p_1, p_2}$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Canad. Math. Bull. **58** (2015), 381–392.
- [20] X. Tang, T. Liu and J. Lu, *Schwarz lemma at the boundary of the unit polydisk in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Sci. China Math. **58** (2015), 1639–1652.
- [21] H. Unkelbach, *Über die randverzerrung bei konformer abbildung*, Math. Z. **43** (1938), 739–742.

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ENGINEERING  
AMASYA UNIVERSITY,  
MERKEZ-AMASYA 05100, TURKEY  
*Email address:* nafiornek@gmail.com, nafi.ornek@amasya.edu.tr