Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics Volume 45(1) (2021), Pages 21–37.

# CHAIN CONDITION AND FUNDAMENTAL RELATION ON $(\Delta, G)$ -SETS DERIVED FROM $\Gamma$ -SEMIHYPERGROUPS

#### S. OSTADHADI-DEHKORDI

ABSTRACT. The aim of this research work is to define a new class of hyperstructure as a generalization of semigroups, semihypergroups and  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups that we call  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets. Also, we define fundamental relation on  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets and prove some results in this respect. Then, we introduce the notions of quotient  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets by using a congruence relations. Finally, we introduce the concept of complete parts and Noetherian(Artinian)  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets.

#### 1. Introduction

The hypergroup notion was introduced in 1934 by a French mathematician F. Marty [17], at the 8<sup>th</sup> Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. He published some notes on hypergroups, using them in different contexts: algebraic functions, rational fractions, non commutative groups. Algebraic hyperstructures are a suitable generalization of classical algebraic structures. In a classical algebraic structure, the composition of two elements is an element, while in an algebraic hyperstructure, the composition of two elements is a set. Since then, hundreds of papers and several books have been written on this topic, see [4–6].

The concept of  $\Gamma$ -semigroup defined by Sen and Saha [18] in 1986 that is a generalization of a semigroup. Many classical notions of semigroups have been extended to  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and a lot of results on  $\Gamma$ -semigroups are published by a lot of mathematicians, for instance, Chattopadhyay [2,3], Hila [15,16] and [18].

Recently, the notion of  $\Gamma$ -hyperstructure introduced and studied by many researchers and represent an intensively studied field of research, for example, see

Received: June 28, 2018.

Accepted: August 22, 2018.

Key words and phrases. Γ-semihypergroup, left(right) ( $\Delta$ , G)-set, twist product, flat Γ-semihypergroup, absolutely flat Γ-semihypergroup.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20N15.

[1, 7, 8, 11–14]. The concept of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups was introduced by Davvaz et al. [1, 14] and is a generalization of semigroups, a generalization of semihypergroups and a generalization of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. Also, the concept of  $(\Delta, G)$ -set was introduced by S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi [9, 10]. He using them in different contexts such as twist product, flat  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, absolutely flat  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and direct limit that is important tools in the theory of homological algebra.

In this paper, by using a special scalar hyperoperations on  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups we denote the notions left(right)  $(\Delta, G)$ -set,  $(G_1, \Delta, G_2)$ -biset. Also, we introduced regular and strongly regular relations on  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets and by using fundamental relation we define quotient  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets. Finally, we define the concept of complete part and Noetherian(Artinian)  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets and prove some results in respect.

### 2. Introduction and preliminaries

In this section, we present some basic notions of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. These definitions and results are necessary for the next sections.

Let H be a non-empty set. Then, the map  $\circ: H \times H \to P^*(H)$  is called hyperoperation or join operation on the set H, where  $P^*(H)$  denotes the set of all non-empty subsets of H. A hypergroupoid is a set H together with a (binary)hyperoperation. A hypergroupoid  $(H, \circ)$  is called a semihypergroup if for all  $a, b, c \in H$ , we have  $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ . A hypergroupoid  $(H, \circ)$  is called quasihypergroup if for all  $a \in H$ , we have  $a \circ H = H \circ a = H$ . A hypergroupoid  $(H, \circ)$  which is both a semihypergroup and a quasihypergroup is called a hypergroup.

**Definition 2.1** ([14]). Let G and  $\Gamma$  be nonempty sets and  $\alpha: G \times G \to P^*(G)$  be a hyperoperation, where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary element in the set  $\Gamma$ . Then, G is called  $\Gamma$ -hypergroupoid.

For any two nonempty subsets  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of G, we define

$$G_1 \alpha G_2 = \bigcup_{g_1 \in G_1, g_2 \in G_2} g_1 \alpha g_2, \quad G_1 \alpha \{x\} = G_1 \alpha x, \quad \{x\} \alpha G_2 = x \alpha G_2.$$

A Γ-hypergroupoid G is called Γ-semihypergroup if for all  $x, y, z \in G$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  we have

$$(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z).$$

Example 2.1. Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  be a nonempty set. We define

$$x\alpha y = \{z \in \mathbb{N} : z \ge \max\{x, \alpha, y\}\},\$$

where  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{N}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.

Example 2.2. Let  $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ . Then, we define hyperoperations  $x\alpha_k y = xyk\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.

Example 2.3. Let G be a nonempty set and  $\Gamma$  be a nonempty set of G. Then, we define  $x\alpha y = \{x, \alpha, y\}$ . Hence, G is a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.

Example 2.4. Let  $(\Gamma, \cdot)$  be a semigroup and  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\Gamma}$  be a collection of nonempty disjoint sets and  $G = \bigcup_{{\alpha}\in\Gamma} A_{\alpha}$ , for every  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  ${\alpha} \in \Gamma$ , we define  $g_1 \widehat{\alpha} g_2 = A_{\alpha_1 \alpha \alpha_2}$ , where  $g_1 \in A_{\alpha_1}$  and  $g_2 \in A_{\alpha_2}$ . Then, G is a  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -semihypergroup,  $\widehat{\Gamma} = \{\widehat{\alpha} : {\alpha} \in {\Gamma}\}$ .

Let G be a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Then, an element  $e_{\alpha} \in G$  is called  $\alpha$ -identity if for every  $x \in G$ , we have  $x \in e_{\alpha} \alpha x \cap x \alpha e_{\alpha}$  and  $e_{\alpha}$  is called scalar  $\alpha$ -identity if  $x = e_{\alpha} \alpha x = x \alpha e_{\alpha}$ . We note that if for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , e is a scalar  $\alpha$ -identity, then  $x \alpha y = x \beta y$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $x, y \in G$ . Indeed,

$$x\alpha y = (x\beta e)\alpha y = x\beta(e\alpha y) = x\beta y.$$

Let G be a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  has an  $\alpha$ -identity. Then, G is called a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup with identity. In a same way, we can define  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup with scalar identity.

A  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup G is commutative when

$$x\alpha y = y\alpha x$$
,

for every  $x, y \in G$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let G be a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $\rho$  be an equivalence relation on G. Then,  $\rho$  is called *right regular relation* if  $x\rho y$  and  $g \in G$  implies that for every  $t_1 \in x\alpha g$  there is  $t_2 \in y\alpha g$  such that  $t_1\rho t_2$  and for every  $s_1 \in y\alpha g$  there is  $s_2 \in x\alpha g$  such that  $s_1\rho s_2$ . In a same way, we can define *left regular relation*. An equivalence relation  $\rho$  is called *strong regular* when  $x\rho y$  and  $g \in G$  implies that for every  $t_1 \in x\alpha g$  and  $t_2 \in y\alpha g$ ,  $t_1\rho t_2$ , for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Example 2.5. Let  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ , where  $A_n = [n, n+1)$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \in A_n$ ,  $y \in A_m$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{R}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -semihypergroup such that  $x \hat{\alpha} y = A_{n\alpha m}$ , where  $\hat{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z} = \{\hat{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Let

$$x \rho y \leftrightarrow 2 | n - m, \quad x \in A_n, y \in A_m.$$

Then, the relation  $\rho$  is strong regular. Also,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , implies that

$$\rho(x) = \{ z \in \mathbb{R} : z \in \cdots [n-4, n-3) \cup [n-2, n-1) \cup [n, n+1) \cup [n+2, n+3) \cdots \},$$
where  $x \in [n, n+1)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let G be a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $\rho$  be a regular relation on G. Then,  $[G:\rho] = {\rho(x): x \in G}$  is a  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -semihypergroup with respect the following hyperoperation:

$$\rho(x)\widehat{\alpha}\rho(y) = \{\rho(z) : z \in \rho(x)\alpha\rho(y)\},\$$

where  $\widehat{\Gamma} = {\widehat{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Gamma}.$ 

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.

Corollary 2.1. Let G be a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $\rho$  be an equivalence relation G. Then,  $\rho$  is regular (strong regular) if and only if  $[G:\rho]$  is  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -semihypergroup ( $\widehat{\Gamma}$ -semigroup).

**Definition 2.3** ([9]). Let G be a Γ-semihypergroup with identity and X,  $\Delta$  be nonempty sets. Then, we say that X is a left ( $\Delta$ , G)- set if there is a scalar hyperaction  $\delta: G \times X \to P^*(X)$  with the following properties:

$$(g_1 \alpha g_2) \delta x = g_1 \delta(g_2 \delta x),$$
  
$$e_{\alpha} \delta x = x,$$

for every  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$ .

When  $\delta: G \times X \to X$ , then X is called scalar left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set.

Example 2.6. Let G be a Γ-semihypergroup with scalar identity, X and  $\Delta$  be nonempty sets such that  $x_0 \in X$  is a fixed element and  $\delta : G \times X \to P^*(X)$  defined by  $\delta(g, x) = \{x_0\}$ , where  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $x \in X$ . Then, G is left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set.

Example 2.7. Let  $(G, \circ)$  be a semihypergroup and H be a subsemihypergroup of G. Then, H is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set where  $\Delta = \{\circ\}$ .

In a same way, we can define a  $right(\Delta, G)$ -set. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups and X be a nonempty set. Then, we say that X is a  $(G_1, \Delta, G_2)$ -bisets if it is a left  $(\Delta, G_1)$ -set, right  $(\Delta, G_2)$ -set and

$$(q_1\delta_1x)\delta_2q_2 = q_1\delta_1(x\delta_2q_2),$$

for every  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$ ,  $g_1 \in G_1$ ,  $g_2 \in G_2$  and  $x \in X$ . When X is a  $(G_1, \Delta, G_2)$ -bisets and  $G_1 = G_2 = G$ , we sat that X is a  $(\Delta, G)$ -bisets.

If G is a commutative  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, then there is no distinction between a left and a right  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets. A left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset Y of X such that  $Y\Delta X \subseteq Y$  is called left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X. Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ . Then, X is also  $(\Gamma, G)$ -set where  $\delta: G \times X \to P^*(X)$  and  $\delta \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and Y be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X. Then, we say that Y closed, if for all  $y \in Y$  and  $g \in G$  from  $y \in g\delta b$  implies that  $b \in Y$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let X be a  $(G, \Delta, G)$ -biset and Y be a  $(G, \Delta, G)$ -subbiset of X. Then, Y is called *invertible* on a right(on a left) if for all  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  and  $g \in G$  from  $y_1 \in y_2 \delta G(y_1 \in G \delta y_2)$  it follows that  $y_2 \in y_1 \delta G$   $(y_2 \in G \delta y_1)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let G be a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and X be a  $(\Delta, G)$ -biset such that Y be a  $(\Delta, G)$ -subbiset. Then, Y is invertible on the right if and only if  $\{y\delta G\}_{y\in Y}$  is a partition of X, for every  $y\in Y$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that Y is invertible on the right and  $y \in y_1 \delta G \cap y_2 \delta G$ . Then,  $y_1, y_2 \in y \delta G$ . This implies that  $y_1 \delta G \subseteq y \delta G$  and  $y_2 \delta G \subseteq y \delta G$ . Also,

$$y\delta G \subseteq (y_1\delta G)\delta G \subseteq y_1\delta(G\Gamma G) \subseteq y_1\delta G$$
,

and  $y\delta G \subseteq (y_2\delta G)\delta G = y_2\delta (G\Gamma G) \subseteq y_2\delta G$ . Then,  $y\delta G = y_1\delta G = y_2\delta G$ . On the other hand,  $y\in y_1\delta G = y\delta G$ . Then, for every  $y\in Y$ , we have  $y\in y\delta G$ .

Conversely, let  $\{y\delta G\}_{y\in Y}$  be a partition of Y and  $y_1\in y_2\delta G$ . Then,

$$y_1 \delta G \subseteq (y_2 \delta G) \delta G \subseteq y_2 \delta (G \Gamma G) \subseteq y_2 \delta G$$
,

whence  $y_1\delta G = y_2\delta G$  and so  $y_1 \in y_2\delta G = y_1\delta G$ . Then, for all  $y \in Y$  we have  $y \in y\delta G$ . Therefore,  $y_2 \in y_2\delta G = y_1\delta G$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and Y be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X. Then, Y is called *ultraclosed* if for all  $g \in G$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$ , we have  $g\delta Y \cap g\delta(X - Y) = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and Y be a invertible  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset. Then, X is closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $y, x \in Y$ ,  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $g \in G$  such that  $y \in g\delta x$ . Hence  $x \in g\delta y \subseteq Y$  and we obtain  $x \in Y$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and H be a  $\Gamma$ -subsemilypergroup of G. Then, we define the following relation:

$$x_1 \equiv x_2 \Leftrightarrow x_1 \in H\delta x_2.$$

This relation is denoted by  $x_1H^*x_2$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let X be a left  $(G, \Delta)$ -set and  $\rho$  be a regular relation on X. Then,  $\rho$  is called *regular* if  $x_1\rho x_2$  implies that for every  $s_1 \in g\delta x_1$  there is  $s_2 \in g\delta x_2$  such that  $s_1\rho s_2$  and for every  $t_2 \in g\delta x_2$  there is  $t_1 \in g\delta x_1$  such that  $t_1\rho t_2$ , where  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Also, an equivalence relation  $\rho$  is called *strongly regular*, when for every  $s_1 \in g\delta x_1$  and  $s_2 \in g\delta x_2$  implies that  $s_1\rho s_2$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let X be an invertible left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set such that G is commutative. Then, the relation  $H^*$  is regular.

Proof. Suppose that  $x \in X$ . Then,  $x = e_{\alpha}\delta x \in H\delta x$ . It follows that  $xH^*x$ , i.e.,  $H^*$  is reflexive. Let  $x_1H^*x_2$ . Then, there exist  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $h \in H$  such that  $x_1 \in h\delta x_2$  which implies that  $x_2 \in h\delta x_1 \subseteq H\delta x_1$  which meanies that  $x_2H^*x_1$  and so  $H^*$  is symmetric. Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$  such that  $x_1H^*x_2$  and  $x_2H^*x_3$ . Then, there exist  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  such that  $x_1 \in h_1\delta x_2$  and  $x_2 \in h_2\delta x_3$ . Hence  $x_1 \in h_1\delta (h_2\delta x_3) = (h_1\alpha h_2)\delta x_3 \subseteq H\delta x_3$ . This implies that  $x_1 \in H\delta x_3$  and so  $H^*$  is transitive.

Let  $x_1, x_2$  be an arbitrary elements of X such that  $x_1 H^* x_2$ . It follows that  $x_1 \in H \delta x_2$ . Hence there exist  $h_1 \in H$  such that  $x_1 \in h_1 \delta x_2$ . Let  $g \in G$  and  $t_1 \in g \delta x_1$ . Then,

$$t_1 \in g\delta x_1 \subseteq g\delta(h_1\delta x_2) = (g\alpha h_1)\delta x_2 = (h_1\alpha g)\delta x_2 = h_1\delta(g\delta x_2).$$

Hence there exists  $t_2 \in g\delta x_2$  such that  $t_1 \in h_1\delta t_2 \subseteq H\delta t_2$ . Thus,  $t_1H^*t_2$ . In a same way, we can see for every  $s_2 \in g\delta x_2$  there is  $s_1 \in g\delta x_1$  such that  $s_1H^*s_2$ . Therefore,  $H^*$  is a regular relation.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and H be a  $\Gamma$ -subsemihypergroup of G. Then,  $H^*(x) = H\delta x$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and H be a  $\Gamma$ -subsemihypergroup of G. Then, the set of all classes  $[X : H^*] = \{H^*(x) : x \in X\}$  is a left  $(\widehat{\Delta}, G)$ -set by the following scalar hyperoperation:

$$g\widehat{\delta}H^*(x) = \{H^*(y) : y \in g\delta H^*(x)\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H^*(x_1) = H^*(x_2)$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $y \in g\delta H^*(x_1)$ . This implies that  $x_1 \in H\delta x_2$ . Hence, there are  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  such that  $y \in g\delta(h_1\delta x_1)$  and  $x_1 \in h_2\delta x_2$ . We have

$$y \in g\delta(h_1\delta x_1) \subseteq g\delta(h_1\delta(h_2\delta x_2)) = g\delta(h_1\alpha h_2)\delta x_2 \subseteq g\delta(H\delta x_2) = g\delta H^*(x_2).$$

Then,  $g\delta H^*(x_1)\subseteq g\delta H^*(x_2)$ . In a same way, we can see,  $g\delta H^*(x_2)\subseteq g\delta H^*(x_1)$ . Hence,

$$g\hat{\delta}H^*(x_1) = g\hat{\delta}H^*(x_2).$$

Therefore, the scalar hyperoperation  $\hat{\alpha}$  is well-defined. It is easy to see that

$$(g_1 \alpha g_2) \widehat{\delta} H^*(x) = g_1 \widehat{\delta} (g_2 \widehat{\delta} H^*(x)). \qquad \Box$$

Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then, we define an equivalence relation on X such that smallest strongly regular relation on X. Suppose that X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and n be a nonzero natural number. We say that

$$a\beta_n b \Leftrightarrow (\exists \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n \in \Delta, x \in X, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G) \{a, b\} \subseteq g_1 \delta_1 g_2 \delta_2, \dots, g_n \delta_n x.$$

Let  $\beta = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \beta_n$ . Clearly, the relation  $\beta$  is reflexive and symmetric. Denote by  $\beta^*$  the transitive closure.

We say that  $x\beta_{\delta^n}y$  when

$$a\beta_{\delta^n}b \Leftrightarrow (\exists x \in X, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G) \{a, b\} \subseteq g_1\delta g_2\delta, \dots, g_n\delta x.$$

Let  $\beta_{\delta} = \bigcup_{n>1} \beta_{\delta^n}$  and  $\beta_{\delta}^*$  be transitive closure. Obviously,  $\beta_{\delta}^* \subseteq \beta^*$ .

Let X be a  $(\Delta, G)$ -biset. Then, the relation  $\beta_n$  defined on X as follows:

$$a\beta_n b \Leftrightarrow (\exists x \in X, \delta_i, \gamma_i \in \Delta, g_i, s_i \in G) \{a, b\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n (g_i \delta_i x) \gamma_i s_i.$$

In a same way, we can define  $\beta_{\delta}$  and transitive closure  $\beta_{\delta}^*$ .

Example 2.8. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -semihyperring Example 2.5,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\beta(x) = \beta(y)$  and  $t_1 = [x], t_2 = [y]$ . Then, there exist  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\widehat{\delta}_1, \widehat{\delta}_2, \ldots, \widehat{\delta}_m \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq g_1 \widehat{\delta}_1 g_2 \widehat{\delta}_2 g_3 \ldots g_{m-1} \widehat{\delta}_{m-1} g_m$ . This implies that  $t_1 = t_2 = \prod_{i=1}^m g_i \delta_i g_{i+1}$ . Therefore,  $\beta(x) = \beta(y)$  if and only there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x, y \in [n, n+1)$ . Hence  $\beta^*(x) = \beta^*(y)$  implies that  $x, y \in [n, n+1)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then,  $\beta^*$  is the smallest strongly regular relation on X.

Proof. Suppose that  $a\beta^*b$  be an arbitrary element of X. It follows that there exist  $x_0 = a, x_1, \ldots, x_n = b$  such that for all  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  we have  $x_i\beta x_{i+1}$ . Let  $u_1 \in g\delta a$  and  $u_2 \in g\delta b$ , where  $g \in G$ ,  $\delta \in \Delta$ . From  $x_i\beta x_{i+1}$  it follows that there exists a hyperproduct  $P_i$ , such that  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq P_i$  and so  $g\delta x_i \subseteq g\delta P_i$  and  $g\delta x_{i+1} \subseteq g\delta P_{i+1}$ , which meanies that  $g\delta x_i\overline{\beta}g\delta x_{i+1}$ . Hence for all  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$  and for all  $s_i \in g\delta x_i$  we have  $s_i\beta s_{i+1}$ . We consider  $s_0 = u_1$  and  $s_n = u_2$  then we obtain  $u_1\beta^*u_2$ . Then  $\beta^*$  is strongly regular on a left.

Let  $\rho$  be a strongly regular relation on X. Then, we have

$$\beta_1 = \{(x, x) : x \in X\} \subseteq \rho,$$

since  $\rho$  is reflexive. Let  $\beta_{n-1} \subseteq \rho$  and  $a\beta_n b$ . Then, there exist  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G$ ,  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \in \Delta$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $\{a, b\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta_i x = g_1 \delta_1 \prod_{i=2}^n g_i \delta_i x$ . This implies that there exits  $u, v \in \prod_{i=2}^n g_i \delta_i x$  such that  $a \in g_1 \delta_1 u$  and  $v \in g_1 \delta_1 v$ . We have  $u\beta_{n-1}v$  and according to the hypothesis, we obtain  $u\rho v$ . Since  $\rho$  is regular it follows that  $a\rho b$  and  $\beta_n \subseteq \rho$ . By induction, it follows that  $\beta \subseteq \rho$ . Therefore,  $\beta^* \subseteq \rho$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be left  $(\Delta, G)$ - and right  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets, respectively and  $\beta_{X_1}^*$ ,  $\beta_{X_2}^*$  and  $\beta_{X_1 \times X_2}^*$  be relations on  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_1 \times X_2$ , respectively. Then,

$$(a,b)\beta_{X_1\times X_2}^*(c,d) \Leftrightarrow a\beta_{X_1}^*c, \ b\beta_{X_2}^*d.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(a,b)\beta^*_{X_1\times X_2}(c,d)$ . Then,

$$\{(a,b),(c,d)\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \widehat{\delta}_i(x,y) \widehat{\gamma}_i s_i = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta_i x, \prod_{i=1}^n y \gamma_i s_i\right).$$

This implies that  $\{a,c\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta_i x$  and  $\{b,d\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n y \gamma_i s_i$ . Then,  $a\beta_{X_1}^* c$  and  $b\beta_{X_2}^* d$ . One can see that  $a\beta_{X_1}^* c$  and  $b\beta_{X_2}^* d$  implies that  $(a,b)\beta_{X_1 \times X_2}^* (c,d)$ .

Corollary 2.2. Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be left  $(\Delta, G)$ - and right  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets, respectively and  $\beta_{X_1}^*$ ,  $\beta_{X_2}^*$  and  $\beta_{X_1 \times X_2}^*$  be relations on  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_1 \times X_2$ , respectively. Then,

$$[X_1 \times X_2 : \beta_{X_1 \times X_2}^*] \simeq [X_1 : \beta_{X_1}^*] \times [X_2 : \beta_{X_2}^*].$$

**Definition 2.9.** A map  $\varphi: X \to Y$  from a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set X into a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set Y is called *morphism* (G-morphism) if

$$\varphi(g\delta x) = g\delta\varphi(x),$$

for every  $x \in X, \delta \in \Delta$  and  $g \in G$ .

Example 2.9. Let  $(G, \circ)$  be a semihypergroup with scalar identity and  $G_1$  be a subsemihypergroup of  $(G, \circ)$ . Then,  $G_1$  is a  $(\Gamma, G_1)$ -biset in the obvious way, where  $\Gamma = \{\circ\}$ .

Example 2.10. Let  $\rho$  be a left regular relation on Γ-semihypergroup G. Then, there is a well-defined action of G on  $[G : \rho]$  given by

$$g\widehat{\alpha}(\rho(x)) = \{\rho(t) : t \in g\alpha x\},\$$

where  $\widehat{\alpha} \in \widehat{\Gamma}$  such that  $\widehat{\Gamma} = {\widehat{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Gamma}$ . Hence, with this definition  $[G : \rho]$  is a left  $(\widehat{\Gamma}, G)$ -system.

It is easy to see that the cartesian product  $X \times Y$  of a left  $(\Delta, G_1)$ -set X and a right  $(\Delta, G_2)$ -set Y becomes  $(G_1, \widehat{\Delta}, G_2)$ -biset if we make the obvious definitions

$$g_1\hat{\delta}_1(x,y) = \{(t,y) : t \in g_1\delta_1x\}, (x,y)\hat{\delta}_2g_2 = \{(x,t) : t \in y\delta_2g_2\},\$$

where  $\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2 \in \hat{\Delta}$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $g_1 \in G_1$ ,  $g_2 \in G_2$ .

Let X and Y be  $(G_1, \Delta, G_2)$ - and  $(G_2, \Delta, G_3)$ -bisets, respectively and Z be a  $(G_1, \Delta, G_3)$ -biset. Then, the cartesian product  $X \times Y$  is  $(G_1, \Delta, G_3)$ -biset. A  $(G_1, \Delta, G_3)$ -map  $\varphi_{\delta} : X \times Y \to Z$  is called  $\delta$ -bimap if

$$\varphi(x\delta g_2, y) = \varphi(x, g_2\delta y),$$

where  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ ,  $g_2 \in G_2$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$ .

**Definition 2.10** ([9]). A pair  $(P, \psi)$  consisting of  $(G_1, \Delta, G_3)$ -biset P and a  $\delta$ -bimap  $\psi : X \times Y \to P$  will be called a *twist product* of X and Y over  $G_2$  if for every  $(G_1, \Delta, G_3)$ -biset Z and for every bimap  $\omega : X \times Y \to Z$  there exists a unique bimap  $\overline{\omega} : P \to Z$  such that  $\overline{\omega} \circ \psi = \omega$ .

Suppose that  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation on  $X \times Y$  as follows:

$$\rho = \{ (t_1, t_2) : t_1 \in x \delta g, t_2 \in g \delta y, x \in X, y \in Y, g \in G_2 \}.$$

Let us define  $X \ominus Y$  to be  $[X \times Y : \rho^*]$ , where  $\rho^*$  is a transitive closure of  $\rho$ . We denote a typical element  $\rho^*(x,y)$  by  $x \ominus y$ . By definition of  $\rho^*$ , we have  $x\delta g \ominus y = x \ominus g\delta y$ , where  $\delta \in \Delta$ .

**Proposition 2.7** ([9]). Let X and Y be  $(G_1, \Delta, G_2)$ - and  $(G_2, \Delta, G_3)$ -bisets, respectively. Then, two element  $x \ominus y$  and  $x' \ominus y'$  are equal if and only if (x, y) = (x', y') or there exist  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  in X,  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{n-1} \in G_2$  and  $\delta \in \Delta$  such that

$$x \in x_1 \delta g_1, x_1 \delta h_1 = x_2 \delta g_2, \dots, x_i \delta g_i = x_{i+1} \delta g_{i+1}, x_{n-1} \delta h_{n-1} = x' \delta g_n,$$
  
 $g_1 \delta y = h_1 \delta y_1, g_2 \delta y_1 = h_2 \delta y_2, \dots, g_{i+1} \delta y_i = h_{i+1} \delta y_{i+1}$   
 $= g_n \delta y_{n-1}$   
 $= y'.$ 

**Theorem 2.3** ([9]). Let X and Y be  $(G_1, \Delta, G_2)$ - and  $(G_2, \Delta, G_3)$ -bisets. Then, the twist product X and Y over  $G_2$  is unique up to isomorphism.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let X and Y be a scalar  $(\Delta, G)$ -bisets. Then,  $X \ominus Y$  is a  $(\Delta, G)$ -biset by following scalar hyperoperations:

$$g\hat{\delta}(x\ominus y) = g\delta x\ominus y, \quad (x\ominus y)\hat{\delta}g = x\ominus y\delta g,$$

where  $\hat{\delta} \in \hat{\Delta}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \ominus y = x' \ominus y'$ . By Proposition 2.7, there exist  $\delta \in \Delta$ ,  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in X$  and  $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{n-1} \in G$ , such that

$$x = x_1 \delta g_1, x_1 \delta h_1 = x_2 \delta g_2, \dots x_i \delta h_i = x_{i+1} \delta g_{i+1}$$

$$x_{n-1} \delta h_{n-1} = x' \delta g_n,$$

$$g_1 \delta y = h_1 \delta y_1, \ g_2 \delta y_1 = h_2 \delta y_2, \dots, g_{i+1} \delta y_i = h_{i+1} \delta y_{i+1}$$

$$= g_n \delta y_{n-1}$$

$$= y'.$$

Hence,

$$g\delta x = g\delta(x_1\delta g_1), g\delta(x_1\delta h_1) = g\delta(x_2\delta g_2), \dots, g\delta(x_i\delta h_i) = g\delta(x_{i+1}\delta g_{i+1})$$
$$g\delta(x_{n-1}\delta h_{n-1}) = g\delta(x'\delta g_n).$$

We have

$$g\delta x\ominus y=t_1\ominus g_1\delta y=t_1\ominus h_1\delta y=t_1\delta h_1\ominus y_1=t_2\delta g_2\ominus y_1$$
 
$$\vdots$$
 
$$=t'\delta g_n\ominus y_{n-1}$$
 
$$=t'\ominus g_n\delta y_{n-1}$$
 
$$=g\delta x'\ominus y',$$

where  $t_i \in X$ . Then, the left scalar operation  $\hat{\delta}$  is well-defined. Moreover,

$$(g_1 \alpha g_2)\widehat{\delta}(x \ominus y) = (g_1 \alpha g_2)\delta x \ominus y = g_1 \delta(g_2 \delta x) \ominus y = g_1 \widehat{\delta}(g_2 \widehat{\delta}(x \ominus y)),$$

where  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$  and  $g \in G$ . Hence  $X \ominus Y$  is a left  $(\widehat{\Delta}, G)$ -set. In a same way, we can see  $X \ominus Y$  is also right  $(\Delta, G)$ -set.  $\square$ 

## 3. Complete Parts and Regular Relations

In this section we define the concept of complete parts and present some results.

**Definition 3.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and Y be a nonempty subset of X. We say that Y is a *complete part* of X if for any nonzero natural number n and  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G, \delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \in \Delta, x \in X$ , the following implication holds:

$$Y \cap \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i \delta_i x_i \subseteq Y.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $\rho$  be a strongly regular relation on X. Then, the equivalence class x is a complete part of X.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G, \delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_n \in \Delta$  and  $x \in X$  such that

$$\rho(x) \cap \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i \hat{\delta}_i x \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, there exists  $y \in \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta_i x$  such that  $y \rho x$ . The morphism  $\pi : X \to [X : \rho]$  is good and the scalar hyperoperation  $\hat{\delta}$  defined on  $[X : \rho]$  is scalar operation. It follows that

$$\pi(y) = \rho(y) = \rho(x) = \pi\left(\prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta_i x\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(g_i \delta_i x) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \widehat{\delta}_i \pi(x).$$

This implies that  $\prod_{i=1}^n g_i \hat{\delta}_i x \subseteq \rho(x)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let X and Y be scalar  $(\Delta, G)$ -bisets such that  $X_1 \subseteq X$  be a complete part. Then,  $X_1 \ominus Y$  is also complete part in  $X \ominus Y$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.

Let A be a nonempty subset of  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets X. Then, denoted by C(A) the *complete closure* of A, which is the smallest complete part of X, that contain A.

Denote  $K_1(A) = A$  and for all  $n \ge 1$  denote

$$K_{n+1}(A) = \left\{ x \in X : (\exists t \in \mathbb{N}) \ x \in \prod_{i=1}^{t} g_i \delta_i x, K_n(A) \cap \prod_{i=1}^{t} g_i \delta_i x \right\}.$$

Let  $K(A) = \bigcup_{n>1} K_n(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and A be a nonempty subset of A. Then, C(A) = K(A).

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K(A) \cap \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exits  $n \geq 1$  such that  $K_n(A) \cap \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset$  which meanies that  $\prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \subseteq K_{n+1}(A)$ . This implies that K(A) is a complete part of X.

Let  $C_1$  be a complete pat of X such that  $A \subseteq C_1$ . Then, by induction we prove that  $K(A) \subseteq C_1$ . We have  $K_1(A) \subseteq C_1$  and suppose that  $K_n(A) \subseteq C_1$ . Let  $x \in K_{n+1}(A)$ . Then, there exists  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a \in \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x$  and  $K_n(A) \cap \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset$ . Hence,  $C_1 \cap \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $\prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \subseteq C_1$ . We obtain  $a \in C_1$ . Therefore, C(A) = K(A).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and x be an arbitrary element of X. Then,

- (1) for all  $n \ge 2$  we have  $K_n(K_2(x)) = K_{n+1}(x)$ ;
- (2) for every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \in K_n(y) \Leftrightarrow y \in K_n(x)$ .

*Proof.* (1) We prove the equality by induction. We have

$$K_2(K_2(x)) = \left\{ x \in X : (\exists t \in \mathbb{N}) \ x \in \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x, K_2(x) \cap \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset \right\} = K_3(x).$$

Let  $K_{n-1}(K_2(x)) = K_n(x)$ . Then,

$$K_n(K_2(x)) = \left\{ x \in X : (\exists t \in \mathbb{N}) \ x \in \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x, K_{n-1}(K_2(x)) \cap \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset \right\}$$
  
=  $K_{n+1}(x)$ .

(2) We check the equivalence by induction. For n=2, we have

$$x \in K_2(y) = \left\{ x \in X : (\exists t \in \mathbb{N}) \ x \in \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x, K_1(y) \cap \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

This implies that  $\{y, x\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i x$  and  $y \in K_2(x)$ . Suppose that the following equivalence holds:

$$x \in K_{n-1}(y) \Leftrightarrow y \in K_{n-1}(x)$$
.

We check that  $x \in K_n(y) \Leftrightarrow y \in K_n(x)$ . Let  $x \in K_n(y)$ . Then, there exists  $\prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i a$  with  $x \in \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i a$  and there exists  $b \in \prod_{i=1}^t g_i \delta_i a \cap K_{n-1}(y)$ . It follows that  $b \in K_2(x)$  and  $y \in K_{n-1}(b)$ . Hence,  $y \in K_{n-1}(K_2(x)) = K_n(x)$ . Similarly, we obtain the converse implication.

**Definition 3.2.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then, we define the relation  $\omega$  as follows:

$$(x,y) \in \omega \Leftrightarrow (\exists n \ge 1) \ x \in K_n(y).$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then, the relation  $\omega$  is an equivalence and coincide with  $\beta^*$ .

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, the relation  $\omega$  is an equivalence. Let  $(x_1, x_2) \in \beta$ . Then,  $\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta_i x$ , where  $g_i \in G$ ,  $\delta_i \in \Delta$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $x_1, x_2$  belong to the same scalar hyperoperation and so,  $x_1 \in K_2(x_2) \subseteq K(x_2)$ . This implies that  $\beta \subseteq \omega$  and  $\beta^* \subseteq \omega$ . Let  $(x, y) \in K$  and  $x \neq y$ . Then, there exists  $n \geq 1$ , such that  $(x, y) \in K_{n+1}$ , which means that there exists a scalar hyperproduct  $P_1$ , such that  $x \in P_1$  and  $P_1 \cap K_n(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_1 \in P_1 \cap K_n(y)$ . Then,  $\{x, x_1\} \subseteq P_1$ . Hence  $(x, x_1) \in \beta$ . Since  $x_1 \in K_n(y)$  it follows that there exists a scalar hyperproduct  $P_2$  such that  $x_1 \in P_2$  and  $P_2 \cap K_{n-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x_2 \in P_2 \cap K_{n-1}(y)$ . Then,  $x_2 \in K_{n-1}(y)$  and  $\{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq P_2$ . After finite number of steps, we obtain there exists a scalar hyperoperation  $P_n$  such that  $\{x_{n-1}, x_n\} \subseteq P_n$  and  $x_n \in K_{n-(n-1)}(y) = \{y\}$ .

## 4. Fundamental, Noetherian and Artinian $(\Delta, G)$ -Sets

In this section, we introduce the notion of right Noetherian and Artinian  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets and define fundamental  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets.

Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set such that G be a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ . We define a relation  $\rho$  on  $\Delta \times X$  as follows:

$$((\delta_1, x_1), (\delta_2, x_2)) \in \rho \Leftrightarrow q\delta_1 x_1 = q\delta_2 x_2$$
, for all  $q \in G$ ,

where  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Obviously,  $\rho$  is an equivalence.

Let  $\Theta[X] = [\Delta \times X : \rho]$  denote the set of all equivalence classes. We denote the equivalence class  $(\delta, x)$  by  $[\delta, x]$ . We define a relation  $\epsilon$  on  $\Gamma \times G$  as follows:

$$((\delta_1, g_1), (\delta_2, g_2)) \in \epsilon \Leftrightarrow g\delta_1 g_1 = g\delta_2 g_2$$
, for all  $g \in G$ ,

where  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Gamma$ . Obviously,  $\epsilon$  is an equivalence relation and  $[\delta, g]$  denote the equivalence class containing  $(\delta, g)$ . We denote  $\Theta[G] = \{[\delta, g] : g \in G, \delta \in \Gamma\}$ . We define a hyperoperation  $\circ$  on  $\Theta[G]$  as follows:

$$[\delta_1, g_1] \circ [\delta_2, g_2] = \{ [\delta_1, z] : z \in g_1 \delta_2 g_2 \},$$

where  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . This hyperoperation is well-defined. Indeed, let  $[\delta_1, g_1] = [\gamma_1, h_1]$  and  $[\delta_2, g_2] = [\gamma_2, h_2]$ , where  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$  and  $g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \in G$ . Then,

$$g\delta_1g_1 = g\gamma_1h_1$$
,  $g\delta_2g_2 = g\gamma_2h_2$ , for all  $g \in G$ .

Hence,

$$(g\delta_1g_1)\delta_2g_2 = (g\gamma_1h_1)\gamma_2h_2$$
, for all  $g \in G$ ,

and

$$g\delta_1(g_1\delta_2g_2) = g\gamma_1(h_1\gamma_2h_2).$$

Thus,

$$[\delta_1, g_1] \circ [\delta_2, g_2] = [\gamma_1, h_1] \circ [\gamma_2, h_2].$$

Also

$$([\delta_{1}, g_{1}] \circ [\delta_{2}, g_{2}]) \circ [\delta_{3}, g_{3}] = (\{[\delta_{1}, z] : z \in g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2}\}) \circ [\delta_{3}, g_{3}]$$

$$= \bigcup_{z \in g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2}} [\delta_{1}, z] \circ [\delta_{3}, x]$$

$$= \bigcup_{z \in g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2}} \{[\delta_{1}, t] : t \in z\delta_{3}g_{3}\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \in (g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2})\delta_{3}g_{3}} [\delta_{1}, t]$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \in g_{1}\delta_{2}(g_{2}\delta_{3}g_{3})} [\delta_{1}, t]$$

$$= [\delta_{1}, g_{1}] \circ ([\delta_{2}, g_{2}] \circ [\delta_{3}, g_{3}]).$$

Therefore,  $(\Theta[G], \circ)$  is a semihypergroup.

Let  $\circ$  be a scalar hyperoperation  $\circ: \Theta[G] \times \Theta[X] \to P^*(\Theta[X])$  such that

$$[\delta_1, g] \circ [\delta_2, x] = \{ [\delta_1, z] : z \in g\delta_2 x \}.$$

This scalar hyperoperation is well-defined. Indeed, let  $[\delta_1, g_1] = [\delta_2, g_2]$  and  $[\delta_3, x_1] = [\delta_4, x_2]$  such that  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Delta$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $\delta_3, \delta_4 \in \Delta$ . Then,

$$g\delta_1g_1 = g\delta_2g_2$$
,  $g\delta_3x_1 = g\delta_4x_2$ , for all  $g \in G$ .

This implies that  $(g\delta_1g_1)\delta_3x_1=(g\delta_2g_2)\delta_4x_2$ . Hence,

$$[\delta_1, g_1] \circ [\delta_3, x_1] = [\delta_2, g_2] \circ [\delta_4, x_2].$$

Thus the scalar hyperoperation  $\circ$  is well-defined. Let  $[\delta_1, g_1], [\delta_2, g_2] \in \Theta[G]$  and  $[\delta_3, x] \in \Theta[X]$ , where  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \Gamma$ . Then,

$$([\delta_{1}, g_{1}] \circ [\delta_{2}, g_{2}]) \circ [\delta_{3}, x] = (\{[\delta_{1}, z] : z \in g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2}\}) \circ [\delta_{3}, x]$$

$$= \bigcup_{z \in g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2}} [\delta_{1}, z] \circ [\delta_{3}, x]$$

$$= \bigcup_{z \in g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2}} \{[\delta_{1}, t] : t \in z\delta_{3}x\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \in (g_{1}\delta_{2}g_{2})\delta_{3}x} [\delta_{1}, t]$$

$$= \bigcup_{t \in g_{1}\delta_{2}(g_{2}\delta_{3}x)} [\delta_{1}, t]$$

$$= [\delta_{1}, g_{1}] \circ ([\delta_{2}, g_{2}] \circ [\delta_{3}, x]).$$

Therefore,  $\Theta[X]$  is a left  $\Theta[G]$ -set and is called fundamental left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Let  $\Theta[X]$  be a fundamental left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set,  $H \subseteq \Theta[X]$  and  $T \subseteq X$ . Then, we define

$$[H] = \{x \in X : [\delta, x] \in H \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta\},$$
$$[T] = \{[\delta, x] \in \Theta[X] : g\delta x \subseteq T \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

A nonempty subset T of a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set X is called left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X when  $G\Delta T \subseteq T$ . A nonempty subset H of  $\Theta[X]$  is called left  $\Theta[G]$ -subset if  $\Theta[G] \circ H \subseteq H$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $H \subseteq \Theta[X]$  be a complete part. Then, [H] is a complete part of X.

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$[H] \cap \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i \delta_i x \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that there exists  $a \in X$  such that  $a \in [H] \cap \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta_i x$ . Then, for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ ,  $[\delta, a] \in H$ . This implies that

$$[\delta, a] \in H \cap \prod_{i=1}^{n} [\delta, g_i] \circ [\delta_i, x].$$

Since [H] is a complete part,  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} [\delta, g_i] \circ [\delta_i, x] \subseteq H$ . Then,

$$\left\{b \in \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} \delta_{i} x : \forall \delta \in \Delta, [\delta, b]\right\} \subseteq H.$$

Therefore, [H] is a complete part.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $T \subseteq X$  is a complete part. Then, [[T]] is also a complete part of  $\Theta[X]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$[[T]] \cap \prod_{i=1}^{n} [\delta_i, g_i] \circ [\delta, x] \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that

$$\left\{ [\delta_1, z] : z \in \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta x \right\} \cap [[T]] \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \left( \exists z \in \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta x \right) \ [\delta_1, z] \in [[T]]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left( \exists z \in \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta x \right) (\forall g \in G) \ g \delta_1 z \subseteq T$$

$$\Rightarrow g \delta \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta x \cap T \neq \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall g \in G) \ g \delta \prod_{i=1}^n g_i \delta x \subseteq T$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n [\delta_i, g_i] \circ [\delta, x] \neq \emptyset \subseteq [[T]].$$

Therefore, [T] is also complete part of  $\Theta[X]$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, X)$ -set such that  $T \subseteq X$ . Then, C[[T]] = [[C(T)]].

Proof. Since C(T) is a complete part by Proposition 4.2, [[C(T)]] is also complete part of  $\Theta[X]$ . Also,  $[[T]] \subseteq [[C(T)]]$ . Let  $T_1$  be a complete part contain [[T]]. Hence,  $C[[T]] \subseteq T_1$ . Thus, [[C(T)]] is a smallest compte part contain [[T]]. Therefore, C[[T]] = [[C(T)]].

**Theorem 4.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $\Theta[X]$  be a fundamental left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then,

- (i) If H is a left  $\Theta[G]$ -subset of  $\Theta[X]$ , then [H] is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X;
- (ii) If T is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X, then [T] is a left  $\Theta[G]$  of  $\Theta[X]$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $x \in [H]$ . Then, for every  $\delta \in \Delta$  we have  $[\delta, x] \in H$ . Since H is a left  $\Theta[G]$ -set of  $\Theta[X]$ , thus  $[\delta_1, g] \circ [\delta, x] \subseteq H$ . So  $\{[\delta_1, t] : t \in g\delta x\} \subseteq H$ . This implies that  $g\delta x \subseteq [H]$ . Therefore, [H] is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set of X.

(ii) Let  $[\delta, x] \in [[T]]$  and  $[\delta_1, g] \in \Theta[G]$ . Then, for all  $g \in G$ ,  $g\delta x \subseteq T$ . Now,

$$[\delta_1, g] \circ [\delta, x] = \{ [\delta_1, t] : t \in g \delta x \} \subseteq [[T]].$$

Therefore, [T] is a left  $\Theta[G]$ -subset of  $\Theta[X]$ .

Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and T be a nonempty subset of X. Then,

$$[[[T]]] = \{x \in X : \forall \delta \in \Delta, [\delta, x] \in [[T]]\} = \{x \in X : g\delta x \subseteq T \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta, g \in G\}.$$

This implies that T is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of [[[T]]]. Also, when  $H \subseteq \Theta[X]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[[H]]] &= \{ [\delta, x] \in \Theta[X] : g \delta x \subseteq [H] \text{ for all } g \in G \} \\ &= \{ [\delta, x] \in \Theta[X] : [\delta_1, t] \in H \text{ for all } g \in G, \delta_1 \in \Delta, t \in g \delta x \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let H be a left  $\Theta[G]$ -subset of  $\Theta[X]$ . Then, for every  $\delta_1 \in \Gamma$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $[\delta, x] \in H$  we have

$$[\delta_1, g] \circ [\delta, x] = \{ [\delta_1, t] : t \in g \delta x \} \subseteq H.$$

When H is a left  $\Theta[G]$ -subset of  $\Theta[X]$ , we have  $H \subseteq [[[H]]]$ .

Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set such that  $e_{\alpha}$  is a unit element of G where  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then,

$$[\delta, e_{\alpha}] \circ [\delta, x] = [\delta, e_{\alpha} \delta x] = [\delta, x].$$

This implies that  $[\delta, e_{\alpha}]$  is a left unity of  $\Theta[X]$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and T be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X. Then, [[[T]]] = T.

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.

**Definition 4.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then, X is said Noetherian, when X satisfies the ascending chain condition on left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subsets and X is said Artinian when X satisfies the descending chain condition.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set such that  $\Theta[X]$  is Noetherian (Artinian)  $\Theta[G]$ -set. Then, X is Noetherian left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set.

Proof. Suppose that  $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq X_3 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_n \subseteq \cdots$  be an ascending chain of left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set of X. Hence  $[X_1] \subseteq [X_2] \subseteq [X_3] \subseteq \cdots \subseteq [X_n] \cdots$  is an ascending chain in  $\Theta[X]$ . Since  $\Theta[X]$  is Noetherian thus there exists a positive integer n such that  $[X_n] = [X_{n+k}]$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $X_n = [[[X_n]] = [[X_{n+k}]]] = X_{n+k}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, X is Noetherian left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. In a same way, when X is Artinian left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set, then  $\Theta[X]$  is also  $\Theta[G]$ -set.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $\Theta[X]$  is Artinian  $\Theta[G]$ -set. Then, X is Artinian left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set.

**Definition 4.2.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and A be a nonempty subset of X. Then, intersection of all ideals of X containing A is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set generated by A and denoted by A > 0.

**Proposition 4.5.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then,  $\langle A \rangle = G\Delta A$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H = G\Delta A$ . Obviously,  $A \subseteq H$  and H is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set of X. Indeed,

$$G\Delta H = G\Delta(G\Delta A) = (G\Gamma G)\Delta A \subseteq G\Delta A = H.$$

Let C be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X such that  $A \subseteq C$ . Then,

$$H = G\Delta A \subseteq G\Delta C \subseteq C.$$

Therefore, H is a smallest left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set contain A and  $H = \langle A \rangle$ .

Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and every nonempty of left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X partially ordered by inclusion has a maximal element. Then, we say that maximum condition holds for left  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let X be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) X is Noetherian;
- (ii) X satisfies the maximum condition for left  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets;
- (iii) every left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X is finitely generated.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a nonempty set of left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subsets which has no maximal element. Let  $\Lambda_1 \in \Lambda$ . Then, there exists an element  $\Lambda_2 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2$ . Also, there exists an element  $\Lambda_3 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda_3$ . By continuing this process we have the accenting chain  $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda_3 \subset \cdots$ . This is impossible.

- (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let  $X_1$  be a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set and  $\Omega = \{ \langle A \rangle : A \text{ is a finite subset of } X_1 \}$ . By (ii),  $\Omega$  has a maximal element  $\langle A_0 \rangle$ . Now, if  $x \in X_1$ , then  $\langle A_0 \cup \{x\} \rangle \in \Omega$ . By Maximality of  $\langle A_0 \rangle$  we have  $x \in \langle A_0 \rangle$ . Therefore,  $X_1$  is finite generated.
- (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that  $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \cdots$  is a accenting chain of left  $(\Delta, G)$ -sets and  $T = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_n$ . One can see that T is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set of X. By (iii), T is finite generated. Then, there exist  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in X$  such that  $T = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ . Hence for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  there exists  $X_k$  such that  $x_k \in X_{i_k}$ . We put  $m := \max\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\}$ . Hence, for every  $t \geq m$  we have  $I_m = I_t$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\Omega$  be a partition  $(\Delta, G)$ -set such that  $\Omega = \bigcup_{t \in X} A_t$ . Then, H is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of X if and only if  $\Omega_H = \bigcup_{t \in H} A_t$  is a left  $(\Delta, G)$  of  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that H is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -set of X. Then,

$$G\widehat{\Delta}\Omega_H = G\widehat{\Delta}\bigcup_{t\in H}A_t = \bigcup_{t\in H}G\widehat{\Delta}A_t = \bigcup_{t\in G\Delta H}A_t \subseteq \bigcup_{t\in H}A_t = \Omega_H.$$

Hence  $\Omega_H$  is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of  $\Omega$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\Omega_H$  is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of  $\Omega, g \in G$ ,  $\delta \in \Delta$  and  $h \in H$ . Choose  $x \in A_h$ . Since  $\Omega_H$  is a left  $(\Delta, G)$ -subset of  $\Omega_H$ , we have

$$g\hat{\delta}x = \{A_z : z \in g\delta h\} \subseteq \Omega_H.$$

Hence  $,g\delta h\subseteq H.$ 

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $\Omega$  be a partition  $(\Delta, G)$ -set such that X is Noetherian (Artinian)  $(\Delta, G)$ -set. Then,  $\Omega$  is Noetherian (Artinian).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. M. Anvariyeh, S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, On  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups, Carpathian J. Math. **26** (2010), 11–23.
- [2] S. Chattopadhyay, Right inverse Γ-semigroup, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 93 (2001), 435–442.
- [3] S. Chattopadhyay, Right orthodox  $\Gamma$ -semigroup, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 29 (2005), 23–30.
- [4] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, Applications of Hyperstructure Theory, Advances in Mathematics 5, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [5] P. Corsini, Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory, Second Edition, Aviani Editore, Tricesimo, 1993.
- [6] B. Davvaz and V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Hyperring Theory and Applications, International Academic Press, Palm Harbor, FL, USA, 2007.

- [7] S. O. Dehkordi and B. Davvaz, A strong regular relation on  $\Gamma$ -semihyperrings, J. Sci. Islam. Repub. Iran **22**(3) (2011), 257–266.
- [8] S. O. Dehkordi and B. Davvaz, Γ-semihyperrings: approximations and rough ideals, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2) **35**(4) (2012), 1035–1047.
- [9] S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi, Twist product derived from  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, Kragujevac J. Math. **42**(4) (2018), 607–617.
- [10] S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi, Direct limit derived from  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, Kragujevac J. Math. **40**(1) (2016), 61–72.
- [11] S. O. Dehkordi and B. Davvaz, *Ideal theory in*  $\Gamma$ -semihyperrings, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. **37A3** (2013), 251–263.
- [12] S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi and B. Davvaz, Γ-semihyperrings: ideals, homomorphisms and regular relations, Afr. Mat. **26** (2015), 849–861.
- [13] S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi and B. Davvaz, Quotient  $(\Gamma, R)$ -hypermodules and homology algebra, Afr. Mat. **27**(3-4) (2016), 353–364.
- [14] D. Heidari, S. O. Dehkordi and B. Davvaz, Γ-semihypergroups and their properties, UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series A: Applied Mathematics and Physics **72**(1) (2010), 195–208.
- [15] K. Hila, On regular, semiprime and quasi-refexive Γ-semigroup and minimal quasi ideals, Lobachevski J. Math. **29** (2008), 141–152.
- [16] K. Hila, On some classes of le-Γ-semigroups, Algebras, Groups, and Geometries 24 (2007), 485–495.
- [17] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de group, in: Proceedings of 8<sup>th</sup> Congres of Mathematics, Scandinaves, 1934, 45–49.
- [18] A. Seth,  $\Gamma$ -group congruences on regular  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **15** (1992), 103–106.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,

UNIVERSITY OF HORMOZGAN,

P. O. Box 3991, Bandar Abbas, Iran

Email address: ostadhadi-Dehkordi@hotmail.com
Email address: ostadhadi@hormozgana.ac.ir