

ON GAMMA-RINGS WITH (σ, τ) -SKEW-COMMUTING AND
 (σ, τ) -SKEW-CENTRALIZING MAPPINGS

KALYAN KUMAR DEY¹, AKHIL CHANDRA PAUL¹, AND BIJAN DAVVAZ²

ABSTRACT. Let M be a 2-torsion free Γ -ring with left identity e . Let $D : M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric bi-additive mapping and $d(x) = D(x, x)$. Let σ and τ be an endomorphism and an epimorphism of M , respectively. We prove the following:

- (i) if d is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M , then $D = 0$;
- (ii) if d is (τ, τ) -skew-centralizing on M , then d is (τ, τ) -commuting on M ;
- (iii) if M is a 3-torsion free Γ -ring satisfying $x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then 2- (σ, τ) -commutingness of d on M implies its (σ, τ) -commutingness.

1. INTRODUCTION

Yong-Soo Jung and Ick-Soon Chang [4] worked on (σ, τ) -skew commuting and (σ, τ) -skew centralizing maps of rings with left identity. Many authors (see, e.g. Bresar [3], Vukman [10] and references there in) investigated and studied skew-centralizing and skew-commuting mappings in classical ring theories. Bell and Lucier [2] studied skew-commuting and skew-centralizing additive maps by the existence of a left identity element instead of the condition of primeness of a ring and obtained some fruitful results concerning these. The study of permuting tri-derivations in prime and semiprime Γ -rings has been investigated by Duran Ozden and M. Ali Ozturk [5]. Symmetric bi-derivations and generalized symmetric bi-derivations have been studied in [6] and [7] by the authors Ozturk et al. and Ozturk and Sapanci, respectively. In [8], Ozturk worked on permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime rings and developed some fruitful results in ring theories. M. A. Ozturk et al. [9] worked on symmetric

Key words and phrases. Γ -ring, (σ, τ) -skew-commuting mappings, (σ, τ) -skew-centralizing mappings, (σ, τ) -commuting mappings.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16W20. Secondary: 16Y99.

Received: June 28, 2016.

Accepted: January 12, 2017.

bi-derivations on prime Γ -rings. They obtained some remarkable results on prime Γ -rings. In this paper, we study symmetric bi-additive maps with the generalized skew-commuting and skew-centralizing mappings of the trace, that is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting and (σ, τ) -skew-centralizing ones, in Γ -rings with left identity.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M and Γ be additive abelian groups. Then, M is called a Γ -ring in the sense of Barnes [1] if there is a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ for all $a, b, c \in M$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $(a + b)\alpha c = a\alpha c + b\alpha c$, $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$, $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$,
- (ii) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$.

Every ring is a Γ -ring and many notions on the ring theory are generalized to Γ -rings. Let M be a Γ -ring. A subring I of M is an additive subgroup which is also a Γ -ring. A right ideal of M is a subring I such that $I\Gamma M \subseteq I$. Similarly, a left ideal can be defined. If I is both a right and a left ideal then we say that I is an ideal. In this paper, we shall take the following assumption

$$(2.1) \quad x\alpha y\beta z = x\beta y\alpha z,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Throughout this paper, M will represent a Γ -ring, and $Z(M)$ will be its center. Let $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the commutator $x\alpha y - y\alpha x$ will be denoted by $[x, y]$. It is easy to see that

$$[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha\beta y + x[\beta, \alpha]_z y$$

and

$$[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z + y[\beta, \alpha]_x z,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. The assumption (2.1) reduces the above identities respectively to

$$[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = x\beta[y, z]_\alpha + [x, z]_\alpha\beta y$$

and

$$[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = y\beta[x, z]_\alpha + [x, y]_\alpha\beta z,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Let σ, τ be additive mappings from M into M and let $x, y \in M$. For convenience, the products $y\alpha x + x\alpha y$, $y\alpha\sigma(x) + \tau(x)\alpha y$ and $y\alpha\sigma(x) - \tau(x)\alpha y$ are denoted by $\langle y, x \rangle_\alpha$, $\langle y, x \rangle_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)}$ and $[y, x]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)}$, respectively.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with the following results.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a 2-torsion-free Γ -ring with left identity e . Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism and $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism. Let $D : M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric bi-additive mapping and d the trace of D . If d is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M , then we have $D = 0$.*

Proof. We are given that

$$(3.1) \quad \langle d(x), x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = d(x)\alpha\sigma(x) + \tau(x)\alpha d(x) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. First, observe that if τ is onto, then $\tau(e)$ is also a left identity of M . This along with (3.1) gives

$$(3.2) \quad \langle d(e), e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = d(e)\alpha\sigma(e) + \tau(e)\alpha d(e) = d(e)\alpha\sigma(e) + d(e) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then multiplying (3.2) from right-hand side by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ we obtain $2d(e)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0$, and it implies that $d(e)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0$. Hence, from (3.2) we get $d(e) = 0$. Let us replace x by $x + e$ in (3.1). Then we have

$$\langle d(x + e), x + e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = d(x + e)\alpha\sigma(x + e) + \tau(x + e)\alpha d(x + e) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \langle d(x), e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2\langle D(x, e), x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2\langle D(x, e), e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Substituting $-x$ for x in (3.3) and comparing (3.3) with the result, we get

$$\langle d(-x), e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2\langle D(-x, e), -x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2\langle D(-x, e), e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \langle d(x), e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = D(x, e)\alpha\sigma(e) + D(x, e) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, since d is an even function and M is 2-torsion free. Right multiplication (3.4) by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ gives

$$2D(x, e)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0 = D(x, e)\alpha\sigma(e),$$

and so, by (3.4), we have $D(x, e) = 0$, for all $x \in M$. Therefore we arrive at

$$d(x + e) = d(x) + d(e) + 2D(x, e) = d(x),$$

for all $x \in M$. Since d is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M , the relation

$$d(x + e)\alpha\sigma(x + e) + \tau(x + e)\alpha d(x + e) = 0$$

becomes

$$d(x)\alpha\sigma(x) + d(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + \tau(x)\alpha d(x) + \tau(e)\alpha d(x) = 0,$$

and thus we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad d(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + d(x) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Right-multiplying by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ in (3.5), we get $2d(x)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0 = d(x)\alpha\sigma(e)$, and hence the relation (3.5) implies that $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$, which gives the conclusion. \square

The next result is to improve the above result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let M be a 2-torsion-free Γ -ring with left identity e . Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$ be endomorphisms and $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be epimorphisms. If f is an additive map on M such that the mapping $x \mapsto \langle f(x), x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}$ is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M , then $f = 0$.*

Proof. Define a mapping $D : M \times M \rightarrow M$ by

$$D(x, y) = \langle f(x), y \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + \langle f(y), x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)},$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and a mapping $d : M \rightarrow M$ by $d(x) = D(x, x)$, for all $x \in M$, it is obvious that D is symmetric and bi-additive, and that d is the trace of D . The hypothesis that the mapping $x \mapsto \langle f(x), x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}$ is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M is equivalent to the fact that d is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M , and so the theorem asserts us that $d = 0$, that is, f is (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M , from which it follows that

$$(3.6) \quad f(e)\alpha\sigma(e) + \tau(e)\alpha f(e) = f(e)\alpha\sigma(e) + f(e) = 0,$$

for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and right-multiplying by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ gives $2f(e)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0 = f(e)\alpha\sigma(e)$. By (3.6), since M is a 2-torsion free Γ -ring we get $f(e) = 0$ and so $f(x + e) = f(x)$ for all $x \in M$. The condition that $f(x + e)\alpha\sigma(x + e) + \tau(x + e)\alpha f(x + e) = 0$ now makes $f(x)\alpha\sigma(x) + f(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + \tau(x)\alpha f(x) + f(x) = 0$, and it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad f(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + f(x) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Right-multiplying by $\alpha\sigma(e)$, we get $2f(x)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0 = f(x)\alpha\sigma(e)$, so by (3.7) we have $f(x) = 0$, for all $x \in M$. \square

We continue our investigation with the next result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a 2-torsion-free Γ -ring with left identity e . Let $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism. Let $D : M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric bi-additive mapping and d the trace of D . If d is (τ, τ) -skew-centralizing on M , then d is (τ, τ) -commuting on M .*

Proof. Suppose that

$$(3.8) \quad \langle d(x), x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\tau, \tau)} = d(x)\alpha\tau(x) + \tau(x)\alpha d(x) \in Z(M),$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Since $\tau(e)$ is a left identity of M by the onto-ness of τ , the supposition implies

$$(3.9) \quad d(e)\alpha\tau(e) + \tau(e)\alpha d(e) = d(e)\alpha\tau(e) + d(e) \in Z(M).$$

Commuting with $\tau(e)$ we get $d(e) = d(e)\alpha\tau(e)$, and it along with (3.9) gives $2d(e) \in Z(M)$, hence $d(e) \in Z(M)$. Let us replace x by $x + e$ in (3.8). Then we have

$$(3.10) \quad d(x)\alpha\tau(e) + 2\tau(x)\alpha d(e) + 2D(x, e)\alpha\tau(x) + 2D(x, e)\alpha\tau(e) + d(x) \\ + 2\tau(x)\alpha D(x, e) + 2D(x, e) \in Z(M),$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Substituting $-x$ for x in (3.10) and comparing (3.10) with the result, we obtain

$$d(-x)\alpha\tau(e) + 2\tau(-x)\alpha d(e) + 2D(-x, e)\alpha\tau(-x) + 2D(-x, e)\alpha\tau(e) + d(-x) \\ + 2\tau(-x)\alpha D(-x, e) + 2D(-x, e) \in Z(M).$$

We get

$$(3.11) \quad \tau(x)\alpha d(e) + D(x, e)\alpha\tau(e) + D(x, e) \in Z(M),$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, because of d is even and M is 2-torsion free.

Since $d(e) \in Z(M)$ and e is a left identity of M , commuting with $\tau(e)$ in (3.11) gives

$$(3.12) \quad [D(x, e), \tau(e)]_\alpha = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus, from (3.12) we conclude that $D(x, e) = D(x, e)\alpha\tau(e)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Now, we can rewrite (3.11) as follows

$$(3.13) \quad \tau(x)\alpha d(e) + 2D(x, e) \in Z(M),$$

and commuting with $\tau(x)$ in (3.13) gives

$$2[D(x, e), \tau(x)]_\alpha = 0 = [D(x, e), \tau(x)]_\alpha,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Due to the ontoeness of τ we obtain $D(x, e) \in Z(M)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

In view of $D(x, e) = D(x, e)\alpha\tau(e)$ and $D(x, e) \in Z(M)$, the relation (3.10) can be rewritten in the form

$$(3.14) \quad d(x)\alpha\tau(e) + d(x) + 2\tau(x)\alpha d(e) + 4\tau(x)\alpha D(x, e) \in Z(M),$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Commuting with $\tau(e)$ in (3.14) and then using the fact that $[y, \tau(e)]_\alpha \beta z = 0$, for all $y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, yields

$$(3.15) \quad [d(x), \tau(e)]_\alpha \beta \tau(e) + [d(x), \tau(e)]_\alpha = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, and right-multiplying by $\beta\tau(e)$ gives

$$2[d(x), \tau(e)]_\alpha \beta \tau(e) = 0 = [d(x), \tau(e)]_\alpha \beta \tau(e)$$

and so it follows from (3.15) that $d(x) = d(x)\alpha\tau(e)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Consequently, we see that the relation (3.14) becomes

$$(3.16) \quad d(x) + \tau(x)\alpha d(e) + 2\tau(x)\alpha D(x, e) \in Z(M),$$

since M is 2-torsion free. Commuting with $\tau(x)$ in (3.16), we have $[d(x), \tau(x)]_\alpha = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, which completes the proof. \square

Let $\sigma, \tau : M \rightarrow M$ be endomorphisms. We define a mapping $f : M \rightarrow M$ to be 2- (σ, τ) -skew-commuting (respectively, 2- (σ, τ) -skew-centralizing) on the subset S if $\langle f(x), x\beta x \rangle_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0$ (respectively, $\langle f(x), x\beta x \rangle_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} \in Z(M)$), for all $x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, and f is said to be 2- (σ, τ) -commuting on S if $[f(x), x\beta x]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0$, for all $x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Of course, when $\sigma = \tau = 1$ (the identity map on M), f is simply called 2-skew-commuting, 2-skew-centralizing and 2-commuting on S , respectively. Here we extend the results on (σ, τ) -skew-commuting maps to 2- (σ, τ) -skew-commuting ones.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a 2,3-torsion-free Γ -ring with left identity e . Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism and $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism. Let $D : M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric bi-additive mapping and d the trace of D . If d is 2- (σ, τ) -skew-commuting on M , then we have $D = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that

$$(3.17) \quad \langle d(x), x\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Note that $d(e) = 0$ by the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let t be any positive integer. Replacing x by $x + te$ in (3.17) and using $d(x + te) = d(x) + t^2d(e) + 2tD(x, e)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, we obtain

$$\langle d(x + te), (x + te)\beta(x + te) \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then

$$\langle d(x) + 2tD(x, e), x\beta x + te\beta x + tx\beta e + t^2e\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle d(x), x\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + t\langle d(x), e\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + t\langle d(x), x\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \\ & \quad + t^2\langle d(x), e\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \\ & \quad + 2t\langle D(x, e), x\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2t^2\langle D(x, e), e\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \\ & \quad + 2t^2\langle D(x, e), x\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2t^3\langle D(x, e), e\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Since t is arbitrary and the coefficient determinant $\neq 0$, and also M is 2,3 torsion free, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D(x, e), x\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + \langle d(x), e\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + \langle d(x), x\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} &= 0, \\ \langle D(x, e), e\beta x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + \langle D(x, e), x\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} &= 0, \\ \langle D(x, e), e\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \langle D(x, e), e\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0.$$

By (3.18), we obtain that

$$(3.19) \quad 2\{D(x, e)\alpha\sigma(e) + \tau(e)\alpha D(x, e)\} = 0 = D(x, e)\alpha\sigma(e) + D(x, e),$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$; and right-multiplying by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ and using (3.19), we get $D(x, e) = 0$, for all $x \in M$. Hence this forces (3.19) to

$$(3.20) \quad \langle d(x), e\beta e \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = d(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + \tau(e)\alpha d(x) = d(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + d(x) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Multiplying by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ on the right and utilizing (3.20), we conclude that $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in M$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let M be a 2,3-torsion-free Γ -ring with left identity e . Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism and $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism such that σ is (τ, τ) -commuting on M . If f is an additive map on M which is 2- (σ, τ) -skew-centralizing on M , then f is (τ, τ) -commuting on M .*

Proof. Since $f(x)\alpha\sigma(x)\beta\sigma(x) + \tau(x)\beta\tau(x)\alpha f(x) \in Z(M)$, for all for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$[f(x)\alpha\sigma(x)\beta\sigma(x) + \tau(x)\beta\tau(x)\alpha f(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$, hence

$$[f(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma \alpha \sigma(x) \beta \sigma(x) + f(x) \alpha [\sigma(x) \beta \sigma(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma + \tau(x) \beta \tau(x) \alpha [f(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma = 0,$$

which reduces to

$$(3.21) \quad [f(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma \alpha \beta \sigma(x) \beta \sigma(x) + \tau(x) \beta \tau(x) \alpha [f(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$, because σ is (τ, τ) -commuting on M , i.e., $[\sigma(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. We introduce the mapping $D : M \times M \rightarrow M$ by

$$D(x, y) = [f(x), \tau(y)]_\gamma + [f(y), \tau(x)]_\gamma,$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$; and the mapping $d : M \rightarrow M$ by $d(x) = D(x, x)$, for all $x \in M$, it is obvious that D is symmetric and bi-additive, and that d is the trace of D . Now the relation (3.21) is equivalent to the fact that d is 2- (σ, τ) -skew-commuting, and so it follows from Theorem 3.3 that $d(x) = 2[f(x), \tau(x)]_\gamma = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since M is 2-torsion-free, we obtain the conclusion of the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be a 2,3-torsion-free Γ -ring satisfying the condition (3.1) with left identity e . Let $\sigma : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism and $\tau : M \rightarrow M$ be an epimorphism. Let $D : M \times M \rightarrow M$ be a symmetric bi-additive mapping and d the trace of D . If d is 2- (σ, τ) -commuting on M , then d is (σ, τ) -commuting on M .*

Proof. Let us define a mapping $h : M \rightarrow M$ by $h(x) = [d(x), x]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)}$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Our assumption can now be written in the form

$$(3.22) \quad \langle h(x), x \rangle_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} = [d(x), x\beta x]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Since $\tau(e)$ is also a left identity of M by the ontoeness of τ , it follows that

$$(3.23) \quad h(e)\alpha\sigma(e) + \tau(e)\alpha h(e) = h(e)\alpha\sigma(e) + h(e) = 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma,$$

and right-multiplying by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ gives $2h(e)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0 = h(e)\alpha\sigma(e)$. Hence, by (3.23), we get $h(e) = [d(e), e]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0$. Note that h is odd and for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$,

$$(3.24) \quad h(x+e) = h(x) + [d(e), x]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2[D(x, e), e]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} + [d(x), e]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2[D(x, e), x]_\alpha^{(\sigma, \tau)}.$$

We claim that $h(x + e) = h(x)$ $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Replacing x by $x + e$ in (3.22) and using (3.24), we have, $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.25) \quad 0 &= \langle h(x + e), x + e \rangle_{\beta}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \\
&= h(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) + [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) \\
&\quad + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) \\
&\quad + [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) + [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) \\
&\quad + 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) + 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + h(x) \\
&\quad + \tau(x)\beta[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2\tau(x)\beta[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \\
&\quad + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + \tau(x)\beta[d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \\
&\quad + 2\tau(x)\beta[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $-x$ for x in (3.25) and comparing (3.25) with the result, we get, $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.26) \quad &[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) \\
&+ 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) + [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) \\
&+ 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + \tau(x)\beta[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \\
&+ 2\tau(x)\beta[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0;
\end{aligned}$$

and right multiplication of (3.26) by $\beta\sigma(e)$ gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.27) \quad 0 &= [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x)\beta\sigma(e) + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x)\beta\sigma(e) \\
&\quad + 2[d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + 4[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) \\
&\quad + \tau(x)\beta[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + 2\tau(x)\beta[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us put $x + e$ instead of x in (3.27) and utilize (3.27). Then we obtain

$$6[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + 12[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) = 0;$$

and so

$$(3.28) \quad [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) = 0;$$

and the relation (3.28) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.29) \quad &[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(x) \\
&= [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e\gamma x) + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e\gamma x) \\
&= \{[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e)\} \gamma\sigma(x) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the relation (3.27) becomes

$$2[d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) + 4[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)}\beta\sigma(e) = 0;$$

which gives

$$(3.30) \quad [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \beta \sigma(e) + 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} \beta \sigma(e) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. According to (3.29) and (3.30), we therefore can be written (3.26) in the form

$$(3.31) \quad \tau(x) \beta [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2\tau(x) \beta [D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. Finally, replacing x by $x + e$ in (3.31) and applying (3.31) to the result, we obtain

$$3[d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 6[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0;$$

which implies that

$$(3.32) \quad [d(e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2[D(x, e), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$; and the relation (3.31) with (3.32) yields

$$(3.33) \quad [d(x), e]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} + 2[D(x, e), x]_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. By applying (3.33) and (3.24), we now obtain that $h(x + e) = h(x)$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, as claimed. Since $\langle h(x), x \rangle_{\alpha}^{(\sigma, \tau)} = 0$ for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the relation $h(x + e)\alpha\sigma(x + e) + \tau(x + e)\alpha h(x + e) = 0$ becomes $h(x)\alpha(\sigma(x) + \sigma(e)) + (\tau(x) + \tau(e))\alpha h(x) = 0$, and it follows that

$$(3.34) \quad h(x)\alpha\sigma(e) + h(x) = 0,$$

for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Right-multiplying by $\alpha\sigma(e)$ in (3.34), we get $2h(x)\alpha\sigma(e) = 0 = h(x)\alpha\sigma(e)$, and hence the relation (3.34) yields $h(x) = 0$, for all $x \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$ which gives the conclusion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] W. E. Barnes, *On the Γ -rings of Nabusawa*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 411–422.
- [2] H. E. Bell and J. Lucier, *On additive maps and commutativity in rings*, Results Math. **36** (1999), 1–8.
- [3] M. Bresar, *Commuting maps: a survey*, Taiwanese J. Math. **8**(3) (2004), 361–397.
- [4] Y.-S. Jung and I.-S. Chang, *On (α, β) -skew-commuting and (α, β) -skew centralizing maps in rings with left identity*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **20**(1) (2005), 23–34.
- [5] D. Ozden and M. A. Ozturk, *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semiprime Γ -rings*, Kyungpook Math. J. **46** (2006), 153–167.
- [6] M. A. Ozturk, M. Sapanci and Y. B. Jun, *Symmetric bi-derivation on prime rings*, East Asian Math. J. **15**(1) (1999), 105–109.
- [7] M. A. Ozturk and M. Sapanci, *On generalized symmetric bi-derivation in prime rings*, East Asian Math. J. **15**(2) (1999), 165–176.
- [8] M. A. Ozturk, *Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semiprime rings*, East Asian Math. J. **15**(2) (1999), 177–190.
- [9] M. A. Ozturk, M. Sapanci, M. Soyuturk and K. H. Kim, *Symmetric bi-derivation on prime Γ -rings*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **53**(3) (2001), 491–501.
- [10] J. Vukman, *Commuting and centralizing mappings in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 47–52.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
RAJSHAHI UNIVERSITY
RAJSHAHI-6205, BANGLADESH
E-mail address: kkdmath@yahoo.com
E-mail address: acpaulrubd_math@yahoo.com

²DEPARTMENT MATHEMATICS
YAZD UNIVERSITY
YAZD, IRAN
E-mail address: davvaz@yazd.ac.ir