

## SOME IDENTITIES IN RINGS AND NEAR-RINGS WITH DERIVATIONS

ABDELKARIM BOUA<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In the present paper we investigate commutativity in prime rings and 3-prime near-rings admitting a generalized derivation satisfying certain algebraic identities. Some well-known results characterizing commutativity of prime rings and 3-prime near-rings have been generalized.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper,  $\mathcal{N}$  will denote a right near-ring with center  $Z(\mathcal{N})$ . A near-ring  $\mathcal{N}$  is called zero-symmetric if  $x0 = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  (recall that right distributivity yields  $0x = 0$ ). A non empty subset  $U$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be a semigroup left (resp. right) ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$  if  $\mathcal{N}U \subseteq U$  (resp.  $UN \subseteq U$ ) and if  $U$  is both a semigroup left ideal and a semigroup right ideal, it is called a semigroup ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$ . As usual for all  $x, y$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ , the symbol  $[x, y]$  stands for Lie product (commutator)  $xy - yx$  and  $x \circ y$  stands for Jordan product (anticommutator)  $xy + yx$ . We note that for a near-ring,  $-(x + y) = -y - x$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{N}$  is 3-prime if for  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $a\mathcal{N}b = \{0\}$  implies that  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .  $\mathcal{N}$  is said to be 2-torsion free if whenever  $2x = 0$ , with  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $x = 0$ . An additive mapping  $d : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is a derivation if  $d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ , or equivalently, as noted in [20], that  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ . The concept of derivation in rings has been generalized in several ways by various authors. Generalized derivation has been introduced already in rings by M. Brešar [10]. Also the notions of generalized derivation has been introduced in near-rings by Öznur Gölbası [14]. An additive mapping  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is called a right generalized derivation with associated derivation  $d$  if  $\mathcal{F}(xy) = \mathcal{F}(x)y + xd(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a left generalized derivation with associated derivation  $d$  if

---

*Key words and phrases.* 3-prime near-ring, prime ring, derivations, commutativity, left multiplier.  
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 16Y30. Secondary: 16N60, 16W25.

*Received:* July 01, 2018.

*Accepted:* September 20, 2018.

$\mathcal{F}(xy) = d(x)y + x\mathcal{F}(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a generalized derivation with associated derivation  $d$  if it is both a left as well as a right generalized derivation with associated derivation  $d$ . An additive mapping  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  is said to be a left (resp. right) multiplier (or centralizer) if  $\mathcal{F}(xy) = \mathcal{F}(x)y$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}(xy) = x\mathcal{F}(y)$ ) holds for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to be a multiplier if it is both left as well as right multiplier. Notice that a right (resp. left) generalized derivation with associated derivation  $d = 0$  is a left (resp. right) multiplier. Over the past few years, many authors have investigated commutativity of prime and semi-prime rings admitting suitably constrained derivations [3, 11–13, 16, 18] and [19]. Some comparable results on near-rings have also been derived, see e.g. [1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 15] and [17]. In [11] the authors showed that a prime ring  $\mathcal{R}$  must be commutative if it admits a derivation  $d$  such that either  $d([x, y]) = [x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in K$  or  $d([x, y]) = -[x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in K$ , where  $K$  is a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

In 2002, Rehman [18] established that if a prime ring of a characteristic not 2 admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation such that  $F([x, y]) = [x, y]$  (resp.  $F([x, y]) = -[x, y]$ ) for all  $x, y$  in a nonzero square closed Lie ideal  $U$ , then  $U \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$ . Quadri, Khan and Rehman [16], without the characteristic assumption on the ring, proved that a prime ring must be commutative if it admits a generalized derivation  $F$ , associated with a nonzero derivation, such that  $F([x, y]) = [x, y]$  (resp.  $F([x, y]) = -[x, y]$ ) for all  $x, y$  in a nonzero ideal  $I$ . Motivated by the above results, in the following theorem we explore the commutativity of a prime ring, provided with a generalized derivation  $F$  and left multiplier  $G$  satisfying the following conditions:  $F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}) = [x, y]_{u, v}$ ,  $F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}) = G([\beta(x), y])$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, u, v$  automorphisms of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $[x, y]_{\alpha, \beta} = \alpha(x)y - y\beta(x)$ .

## 2. SOME PRELIMINARIES

For the proofs of our main theorems, we need the following lemmas. The first lemmas appear in [7] and [20] in the context of left near-rings, and it is easy to see that they hold for right near-rings as well.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a 3-prime near-ring and  $U$  be a nonzero semigroup ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $d$  be a nonzero derivation on  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

- (i) *If  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $xUy = \{0\}$ , then  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .*
- (ii) *If  $x \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $xU = \{0\}$  or  $Ux = \{0\}$ , then  $x = 0$ .*
- (iii) *If  $z \in Z(\mathcal{N})$ , then  $d(z) \in Z(\mathcal{N})$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $d$  be an arbitrary derivation of a near-ring  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}$  satisfies the following partial distributive laws:*

- (i)  $z(xd(y) + d(x)y) = zxd(y) + zd(x)y$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{N}$ ;
- (ii)  $z(d(x)y + xd(y)) = zd(x)y + zxd(y)$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathcal{N}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** ([5, Theorem 2.1]). *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a 3-prime near-ring,  $U$  a nonzero semigroup left ideal or semigroup right ideal. If  $\mathcal{N}$  admits a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $d(U) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{N})$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a commutative ring.*

### 3. SOME RESULTS INVOLVING PRIME RINGS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a prime ring,  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\alpha, \beta, u, v$  automorphisms of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\beta(I) = I$ . If  $F$  is a generalized derivation of  $\mathcal{R}$  associated with a derivation  $d$  and  $G$  is a left multiplier of  $\mathcal{R}$  which satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}) = [x, y]_{u, v}$  for all  $x, y \in I$ ;
- (ii)  $F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}) = G([\beta(x), y])$  for all  $x, y \in I$ ,

then  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative.

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that

$$(3.1) \quad F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}) = [x, y]_{u, v}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $y\beta(x)$  in (3.1), and using the fact that  $[x, y\beta(x)]_{\alpha, \beta} = [x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}\beta(x)$  and  $[x, y\beta(x)]_{u, v} = [x, y]_{u, v}\beta(x) + y[v(x), \beta(x)]$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , we arrive at

$$(3.2) \quad F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta})\beta(x) + [x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}d(\beta(x)) = [x, y]_{u, v}\beta(x) + y[v(x), \beta(x)], \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using (3.1), (3.2) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad [x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}d(\beta(x)) = y[v(x), \beta(x)], \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Substituting  $ry$  instead of  $y$  in (3.3) where  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , we arrive at

$$[\alpha(x), r]Id(\beta(x)) = \{0\}, \quad \text{for all } x \in I, r \in \mathcal{R}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i), we get  $[\alpha(x), r] = 0$  or  $d(\beta(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in I, r \in \mathcal{R}$  which gives  $\alpha(x) \in Z(\mathcal{R})$  or  $d(\beta(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are automorphisms of  $\mathcal{R}$ , we get  $x \in Z(\mathcal{R})$  or  $d(\beta(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ . Using Lemma 2.1 (iii), we obtain  $d(\beta(I)) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$  i.e,  $d(I) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$  which forces that  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative by Lemma 2.3.

(ii) Assume that

$$(3.4) \quad F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}) = G([\beta(x), y]), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Putting  $y\beta(x)$  instead of  $y$  in (3.4), we get

$$F([x, y]_{\alpha, \beta})\beta(x) + [x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}d(\beta(x)) = G([\beta(x), y])\beta(x), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using (3.4), we obtain  $[x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}d(\beta(x)) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , which implies that

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha(x)y d(\beta(x)) = y\beta(x)d(\beta(x)), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Taking  $ry$  in place of  $y$  in (3.5) where  $r \in \mathcal{R}$  and using it again, we conclude that

$$[\alpha(x), r]Id(\beta(x)) = \{0\}, \quad \text{for all } x \in I, r \in \mathcal{R}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (i), we get  $\alpha(x) \in Z(\mathcal{R})$  or  $d(\beta(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  and using the same techniques as used above, we conclude that  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative.  $\square$

For  $\alpha = \beta = u = v = id_{\mathcal{R}}$ , we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** ([16, Theorem 2.1]). *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a prime ring and  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $F([x, y]) = [x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative.*

For  $\alpha = \beta = u = id_{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $v = -id_{\mathcal{R}}$ , we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.2.** ([16, Theorem 2.2]). *Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a prime ring and  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  admits a generalized derivation  $F$  associated with a nonzero derivation  $d$  such that  $F([x, y]) + [x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in I$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  is commutative.*

#### 4. SOME RESULTS INVOLVING 3-PRIME NEAR-RINGS

In this section, we will present a very important result that generalizes several theorems that are well known in the literature. More precisely, we will show that a 2-torsion prime near-ring  $\mathcal{N}$  is a commutative ring if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  admits a derivation  $d$  and a left multiplier  $G$  such that  $G([x, y]) = [d(x), y] - [x, d(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in U$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a 2-torsion free prime near-ring and  $U$  a nonzero semigroup ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  admits a derivation  $d$  and left multiplier  $G$ , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G([x, y]) = [d(x), y] - [x, d(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in U$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{N}$  is a commutative ring.

*Proof.* It is easy to notice that (ii) implies (i).

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that

$$(4.1) \quad G([x, y]) = [d(x), y] - [x, d(y)], \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $xy$  in (4.1) and using the fact that  $[xy, y] = [x, y]y$ , we obtain

$$[d(xy), y] - [xy, d(y)] = G([x, y])y, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U.$$

Which implies that

$$[d(xy), y] - [xy, d(y)] = ([d(x), y] - [x, d(y)])y, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U.$$

Using Lemma 2.2 and by developing the last expression, we arrive at

$$d(x)y^2 + xd(y)y - yxd(y) - yd(x)y + d(y)xy - xyd(y) = d(x)y^2 - yd(x)y + d(y)xy - xd(y)y.$$

For  $x = y$ , the equation (4.1) and 2-torsion freeness we give easily  $d(y)y = yd(y)$  for all  $y \in U$ . In this case, by a simplification of last equation, we find that

$$(4.2) \quad xd(y)y = yxd(y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U.$$

Substituting  $tx$  in place of  $x$ , where  $t \in \mathcal{N}$  in (4.2) and using it again, we arrive at

$$[y, t]Ud(y) = \{0\}, \quad \text{for all } y \in U, t \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 (i), we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad y \in Z(\mathcal{N}) \text{ or } d(y) = 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in U.$$

If there exists  $y_0 \in Z(\mathcal{N}) \cap U$ , then by (4.1), we get  $xd(y_0) = d(y_0)x$  for all  $x \in U$ , in this case, (4.3) gives  $xd(y) = d(y)x$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Replace  $x$  by  $tx$ , where  $t \in \mathcal{N}$ , we get  $[d(y), t]x = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U, t \in \mathcal{N}$  which implies that  $[d(y), t]U = \{0\}$  for all  $y \in U, t \in \mathcal{N}$ . Since  $U \neq \{0\}$ , by Lemma 2.1 (ii), we obtain  $d(U) \subseteq Z(\mathcal{N})$  and Lemma 2.3 assures that  $\mathcal{N}$  is a commutative ring.  $\square$

If we replace  $G$  by the null application or the identical application  $id_{\mathcal{N}}$ , we get the following results.

**Corollary 4.1.** ([8, Theorem 2.1]). *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a 2-torsion free prime near-ring. If  $\mathcal{N}$  admits a derivation  $d$  such that  $[d(x), y] = [x, d(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\mathcal{N}$  is a commutative ring.*

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a 2-torsion free prime near-ring and  $U$  a nonzero semigroup ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  admits a derivation  $d$ , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $[x, y] = [d(x), y] - [x, d(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in U$ ;
- (ii)  $[d(x), y] = [x, d(y)]$  for all  $x, y \in U$ ;
- (iii)  $\mathcal{N}$  is a commutative ring.

When  $d = 0$ , we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a 2-torsion free prime near-ring and  $U$  a nonzero semigroup ideal of  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  admits a left multiplier  $G$ , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G([x, y]) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in U$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{N}$  is a commutative ring.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ashraf, A. Ali and S. Ali,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on prime near-rings, Arch. Math. (Brno) **40** (2004), 281–286.
- [2] M. Ashraf and S. Ali, On  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of prime near-rings II, Sarajevo J. Math. **4** (2008), 23–30.
- [3] D. Basudeb, Remarks on generalized derivations in prime and semiprime rings, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2010), Article ID 646587.
- [4] K. I. Beidar, Y. Fong and X. K. Wang, Posner and Herstein theorems for derivations of 3-prime near-rings, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), 1581–1589.
- [5] H. E. Bell, On Derivations in Near-Rings II, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, 1997.
- [6] H. E. Bell and G. Mason, On Derivations in Near-Ring in Near-Rings and Near-Fields, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, 31–35.
- [7] H. E. Bell and G. Mason, On derivations in near-rings and rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **34** (1992), 135–144.
- [8] H. E. Bell, A. Boua and L. Oukhtite, On derivations of prime near-rings, Afr. Diaspora J. Math. **14**(1) (2012), 65–72.
- [9] A. Boua, A. Y. Abdelwanis and A. Chillali, Some commutativity theorems for near-rings with left multipliers, Kragujevac J. Math. **44**(2) (2020), 205–216.
- [10] M. Brešar, On the distance of composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations, Glasg. Math. J. **33** (1991), 89–93.
- [11] M. N. Daif and H. E. Bell, Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **15** (1992), 205–206.

- [12] Ö. Gölbası and E. Koc, *Notes on commutativity of prime rings with generalized derivation*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat. **58** (2009), 39–46.
- [13] Ö. Gölbası and E. Koc, *Some commutativity theorems of prime rings with generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **26** (2011), 445–454.
- [14] Ö. Gölbası, *Notes on prime near-rings with generalized derivation*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. **30** (2006), 49–54.
- [15] A. A. M. Kamal,  *$\sigma$ -Derivations on prime near-rings*, Tamkang J. Math. **32** (2001), 89–93.
- [16] M. A. Quadri, M. Shadab Khan and N. Rehman, *Generalized derivation and commutativity of prime rings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **34**(9) (2003), 1393–1396.
- [17] R. Raina, V. K. Bhat and N. Kumari, *Commutativity of prime  $\Gamma$ -near-rings with  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation*, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyhazi **25** (2009), 165–173.
- [18] N. Ur-Rehman, *On commutativity of rings with generalized derivations*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **44** (2002), 43–49.
- [19] Y. Shang, *A study of derivations in prime near-rings 1*, Math. Balkanica (N.S.) **25**(4) (2011), 413–418.
- [20] X. K. Wang, *Derivations in prime near-rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1994), 361–366.

<sup>1</sup> POLYDISCIPLINARY FACULTY, LSI, TAZA,  
SIDI MOHAMMED BEN ABDELLAH UNIVERSITY, FEZ  
Email address: [abdelkarimboua@yahoo.fr](mailto:abdelkarimboua@yahoo.fr)