

LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS AND *-RICCI SOLITONS

Abdul Haseeb¹ and Sudhakar K. Chaubey²

ABSTRACT. We study the properties of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds endowed with *-Ricci solitons and gradient *-Ricci solitons. Finally, the existence of *-Ricci soliton on a 4-dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is proved by constructing a non-trivial example.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Ricci soliton (g, F, λ) [12] on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a generalization of Einstein metric such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_F g + S + \lambda g = 0,$$

where S is the Ricci tensor, \mathcal{L}_F is the Lie derivative operator along the vector field F on M , g represents the semi-Riemannian metric of M and λ is a real number. The Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady and expanding according to λ being less than 0, 0 and greater than 0, respectively.

In 1959, the notion of *-Ricci tensor on almost Hermitian manifolds was introduced by Tachibana [23] and further studied by Hamada [11] on real hypersurfaces of non-flat complex space forms. A semi-Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is called a *-Ricci soliton [16] if there exists a smooth vector field F (called soliton vector field) and a real number λ , such that

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_F g + 2S^* = -2\lambda g,$$

Key words and phrases. Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, *-Ricci solitons, gradient *-Ricci solitons, generalized η -Einstein manifolds.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C50, 53C44. Secondary: 53C21, 53C25.

DOI

Received: September 16, 2020.

Accepted: March 18, 2021.

where

$$S^*(U, V) = g(Q^*U, V) = \text{Trace} \{ \phi \circ R(U, \phi V) \},$$

for all vector fields U, V on M [6]. Here, ϕ is the $(1, 1)$ tensor field and Q^* is the $(1, 1)$ $*$ -Ricci operator. If we choose λ as a smooth function in (1.1), then the soliton (g, F, λ) satisfying equation (1.1) is known as an almost $*$ -Ricci soliton on M . In this connection, we recommend the papers [4, 10, 13, 15, 17, 21, 22, 24, 25] for more details about the study of Ricci solitons, η -Ricci solitons and $*$ -Ricci solitons in the context of contact Riemannian geometry. As far as our knowledge goes, the study of $*$ -Ricci solitons in the context of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds is left. The main motive of this article is to fill this gap.

In 1989, K. Matsumoto [18] introduced the notion of LP -Sasakian manifolds, while in 1992, the same notion was independently studied by I. Mihai and R. Rosca [19] and they obtained several results on this manifold. The Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds have also been studied by various authors such as [1, 2, 7–9, 14, 26] and many others.

We present our work as follows. In Section 2, we collect the basic results and some basic definitions of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds. The $*$ -Ricci solitons and gradient $*$ -Ricci solitons on Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds are discussed in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively. We present a 4-dimensional non-trivial example of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold admitting a $*$ -Ricci soliton in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be an n -dimensional smooth manifold equipped with a quartet (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, ξ is the unit timelike vector field, η is a 1-form and a Lorentzian metric g on M such that [5, 20]

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 = I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1,$$

which implies

$$(2.2) \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi U) = 0, \quad \text{rank}(\phi) = n - 1,$$

for all $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ denotes the collection of all smooth vector fields of M . The manifold M is said to have an almost para-contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) when it admits a Lorentzian metric g , such that

$$(2.3) \quad g(\phi U, \phi V) = g(U, V) + \eta(U)\eta(V), \quad g(U, \xi) = \eta(U),$$

for all $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

If moreover,

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_U \phi)V = \eta(V)\phi^2 U + g(\phi U, \phi V)\xi,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_U \xi = \phi X \Leftrightarrow (\nabla_U \eta)V = g(\phi U, V) = g(U, \phi V),$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the manifold.

An n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold satisfies the following relations (see [9]):

$$(2.6) \quad g(R(U, V)W, \xi) = g(V, W)\eta(U) - g(U, W)\eta(V),$$

$$(2.7) \quad R(U, V)\xi = \eta(V)U - \eta(U)V,$$

$$(2.8) \quad S(U, \xi) = (n - 1)\eta(U) \Leftrightarrow Q\xi = (n - 1)\xi,$$

for all $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where R denotes the curvature tensor and S denotes the Ricci tensor of M such that $S(U, V) = g(QU, V)$ for all $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

A Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M is said to be a generalized η -Einstein [3] if its non-vanishing Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$(2.9) \quad S(U, V) = \rho_1 g(U, V) + \rho_2 \eta(U)\eta(V) + \rho_3 g(\phi U, V),$$

where ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 are smooth functions on M . If $\rho_3 = 0$ (resp. $\rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0$), then M is called an η -Einstein (resp. Einstein) manifold.

Lemma 2.1. *An n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold satisfies the following relations*

$$(2.10) \quad (\nabla_U Q)\xi = (n - 1)\phi U - Q\phi U,$$

$$(2.11) \quad (\nabla_\xi Q)U = -2Q\phi U + 2aU + 2a\eta(U)\xi,$$

where Q is the Ricci operator.

Proof. Differentiating $Q\xi = (n - 1)\xi$ along U and using (2.5), we get (2.10). Next differentiating (2.7) then using (2.5), we find

$$(2.12) \quad (\nabla_E R)(V, W)\xi = -R(V, W)\phi E + g(\phi E, W)V - g(\phi E, V)W.$$

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a local orthonormal basis on M . Putting $V = E = e_i$ in (2.12) and summing over i leads to

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g((\nabla_{e_i} R)(e_i, W)\xi, U) = S(W, \phi U) + (n - 1)g(\phi W, U) - 2ag(W, U) - 2a\eta(V)\eta(W),$$

where $\epsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i)$ and $a = \text{tr } \phi$. Here tr stands for trace. From Bianchi's second identity, we can easily obtain that

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i g((\nabla_{e_i} R)(U, \xi)W, e_i) = (\nabla_U S)(\xi, W) - (\nabla_\xi S)(U, W).$$

By considering (2.13) in (2.14), equation (2.11) follows. □

On a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) , we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *On a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) , we have*

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(U, V, \phi W, \phi E) = & \bar{R}(U, V, W, E) - g(U, W)g(V, E) + g(V, W)g(U, E) \\ & + 2[g(V, W)\eta(U)\eta(E) - g(U, W)\eta(V)\eta(E) \\ & + g(U, E)\eta(V)\eta(W) - g(V, E)\eta(U)\eta(W)] \\ & + g(U, \phi W)g(V, \phi E) - g(V, \phi W)g(U, \phi E), \end{aligned}$$

for any U, V, W, E on M , where $\bar{R}(U, V, W, E) = g(R(U, V)W, E)$.

Proof. By virtue of the well-known definition of curvature tensor, we can write

$$(2.16) \quad \bar{R}(U, V, \phi W, \phi E) = g(\nabla_U \nabla_V \phi W, \phi E) - g(\nabla_V \nabla_U \phi W, \phi E) - g(\nabla_{[U, V]} \phi W, \phi E).$$

By making use of (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5), (2.16) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(U, V, \phi W, \phi W) = & g(R(U, V)W, E) + \eta(R(U, V)W)\eta(E) \\ & + g(V, W)g(\phi U, \phi E) - g(U, W)g(\phi V, \phi E) \\ & + 2g(U, E)\eta(V)\eta(W) - 2g(V, E)\eta(U)\eta(W) \\ & + g(U, \phi W)g(V, \phi E) - g(V, \phi W)g(U, \phi E), \end{aligned}$$

which in view of (2.3) and (2.6) leads to (2.15). This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *The *-Ricci tensor of an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is given by*

$$(2.17) \quad S^*(V, W) = S(V, W) + (n - 2)g(V, W) - g(V, \phi W)a + (2n - 3)\eta(V)\eta(W),$$

for any $V, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold. By the definition of *-Ricci tensor, from (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(V, W) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \bar{R}(e_i, V, \phi W, \phi e_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \bar{R}(e_i, V, W, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i [g(V, W)g(e_i, e_i) - g(e_i, W)g(V, e_i)] \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i [g(V, W)\eta(e_i)\eta(e_i) - g(e_i, W)\eta(V)\eta(e_i) \\ &\quad + g(e_i, e_i)\eta(V)\eta(W) - g(V, e_i)\eta(e_i)\eta(W)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i [g(e_i, \phi W)g(V, \phi e_i) - g(V, \phi W)g(e_i, \phi e_i)], \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (2.17), where $\epsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i)$, i.e., $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_{n-1} = 1, \epsilon_n = -1$. \square

3. LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS ADMITTING *-RICCI SOLITONS

In this section, we characterize the properties of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold endowed with *-Ricci solitons. Now, we prove the following.

Theorem 3.1. *If an n-dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold admits a *-Ricci soliton (g, F, λ) , then the *-Ricci soliton is steady.*

Proof. By using (2.17) in (1.1), we have

$$(3.1) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F g)(U, V) = -2S(U, V) - 2[\lambda + (n - 2)]g(U, V) - 2(2n - 3)\eta(U)\eta(V) + 2g(U, \phi V)a.$$

Taking covariant differentiation of (3.1) with respect to W , we get

$$(3.2) \quad (\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_F g)(U, V) = -2(\nabla_W S)(U, V) - 2(2n - 3)[g(\phi W, U)\eta(V) + g(\phi W, V)\eta(U)] + 2[g(V, W)\eta(U) + g(U, W)\eta(V) + 2\eta(U)\eta(V)\eta(W)]a.$$

Following Yano [27], the following formula

$$(\mathcal{L}_F \nabla_U g - \nabla_U \mathcal{L}_F g - \nabla_{[F, U]}g)(V, W) = -g((\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, V), W) - g((\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, W), V)$$

is well-known for any U, V, W on M . As g is parallel with respect to ∇ , the above relation becomes

$$(3.3) \quad (\nabla_U \mathcal{L}_F g)(V, W) - g((\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, V), W) - g((\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, W), V) = 0,$$

for any U, V, W . Since $\mathcal{L}_F \nabla$ is a symmetric tensor of type $(1, 2)$, then from (3.3) it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, V), W) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_V \mathcal{L}_F g)(U, W) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_U \mathcal{L}_F g)(V, W) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_W \mathcal{L}_F g)(U, V).$$

Using (3.2) in (3.4), we have

$$g((\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, V), W) = (\nabla_W S)(U, V) - (\nabla_V S)(W, U) - (\nabla_U S)(V, W) - 2(2n - 3)g(\phi U, V)\eta(W) + 2g(\phi U, \phi V)\eta(W)a,$$

which by putting $V = \xi$ reduces to

$$(3.5) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, \xi), W) = (\nabla_W S)(U, \xi) - (\nabla_U S)(\xi, W) - (\nabla_\xi S)(W, U).$$

By considering (2.10) and (2.11) in (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, \xi) = 2Q\phi U - 2aU - 2a\eta(U)\xi.$$

Taking the covariant derivative of (3.6) with respect to V , we have

$$(\nabla_V \mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, \xi) = 2(\nabla_V Q)\phi U - (\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, \phi V) + 2Q(\nabla_V \phi)U - 2ag(U, \phi V)\xi - 2a\eta(U)\phi V.$$

Again from [27], we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_F R)(U, V)W + (\nabla_V \mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, W) - (\nabla_U \mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(V, W) = 0.$$

Thus the last two equations give

$$(3.7) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F R)(U, V)\xi = 2(\nabla_U Q)\phi V - 2(\nabla_V Q)\phi U \\ + 2Q(\eta(V)U - \eta(U)V) + 2a(\eta(U)\phi V - \eta(V)\phi U) \\ + (\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(U, \phi V) - (\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(V, \phi U).$$

Setting $V = \xi$ in (3.7) and making use of (2.11), it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F R)(U, \xi)\xi = 2QU + 2Q\eta(U)\xi - 2a\phi U - (\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(\xi, \phi U).$$

Taking the Lie derivative of $R(U, \xi)\xi = -U - \eta(U)\xi$ along F , we have

$$(3.9) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F R)(U, \xi)\xi - g(U, \mathcal{L}_F \xi)\xi + 2\eta(\mathcal{L}_F \xi)U = -(\mathcal{L}_F \eta)(U)\xi.$$

By using (3.9), (3.8) takes the form

$$(3.10) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F \eta)(U)\xi = -2QU - 2Q\eta(U)\xi + 2a\phi U + (\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(\xi, \phi U) + g(U, \mathcal{L}_F \xi)\xi \\ - 2\eta(\mathcal{L}_F \xi)U.$$

Now taking the Lie derivative of $g(U, \xi) = \eta(U)$, we find

$$(3.11) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F \eta)U = g(U, \mathcal{L}_F \xi) + (\mathcal{L}_F g)(U, \xi).$$

By putting $V = \xi$ in (3.1) and using (2.1)–(2.3), we find

$$(3.12) \quad (\mathcal{L}_F g)(U, \xi) = -2\lambda\eta(U).$$

Again putting $U = \xi$ in (3.12), we arrive

$$(3.13) \quad \eta(\mathcal{L}_F \xi) = -\lambda.$$

By making use of (3.11)–(3.13), we get from (3.10) that

$$(\lambda I - Q)\phi^2 U = -a\phi U - \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_F \nabla)(\xi, \phi U),$$

which by virtue of (3.6) leads to $\lambda = 0$, where $\phi^2 U \neq 0$. This shows that $*$ -Ricci soliton on M is steady. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *An n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold endowed with an almost $*$ -Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ) is a generalized η -Einstein. Also, the soliton is steady.*

Proof. Let the Lorentzian metric of an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold be an almost $*$ -Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ) , then (1.1) turns into

$$(3.14) \quad g(\nabla_U \xi, V) + g(U, \nabla_V \xi) + 2S^*(U, V) + 2\lambda g(U, V) = 0,$$

for all vector fields U and V on M . By making use of equations (2.5) and (2.17), equation (3.14) transforms to

$$S = \rho_1 g + \rho_2 \eta \otimes \eta + \rho_3 g(\cdot, \phi \cdot),$$

where $\rho_1 = -(\lambda + n - 2)$, $\rho_2 = -(2n - 3)$ and $\rho_3 = a - 1$. Also, in view of (2.1)–(2.3), (2.8) and the above equation, we can easily find that $\lambda = 0$. This gives the statement of Theorem 3.2. \square

Particularly, if we suppose that $a = \text{tr } \phi = 1$, then from Theorem 3.2, we infer that

$$(3.15) \quad S = \rho_1 g + \rho_2 \eta \otimes \eta.$$

Let us consider an orthonormal frame field on a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold and contracting (3.15), we lead

$$r = n\rho_1 - \rho_2 = -n^2 + 4n - 3.$$

Now, we state the following.

Corollary 3.1. *If an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold admits an almost *-Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ) , with $\text{tr } \phi = 1$, then it has constant scalar curvature.*

A non-flat semi-Riemannian manifold is called pseudo Ricci symmetric and denoted by $(PRS)_n$ if the non-zero Ricci tensor S of type $(0, 2)$ of the manifold satisfies the condition [28]

$$(3.16) \quad (\nabla_U S)(V, W) = 2A(U)S(V, W) + A(V)S(U, W) + A(W)S(U, V),$$

where A is a non-zero 1-form such that $g(U, \sigma) = A(U)$, for all vector fields $U; \sigma$ being the vector field corresponding to the associated 1-form A . In particular, if $A = 0$, then the manifold is called Ricci symmetric.

Taking the covariant derivative of (3.15) leads to

$$(3.17) \quad (\nabla_U S)(V, W) = \rho_2[g(\phi U, V)\eta(W) + g(\phi U, W)\eta(V)].$$

Now using (3.15) and (3.17), (3.16) becomes

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_2[g(\phi U, V)\eta(W) + g(\phi U, W)\eta(V)] = & 2A(U)[\rho_1 g(V, W) + \rho_2 \eta(V)\eta(W)] \\ & + A(V)[\rho_1 g(U, W) + \rho_2 \eta(U)\eta(W)] \\ & + A(W)[\rho_1 g(U, V) + \rho_2 \eta(U)\eta(V)]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $U = W = \xi$ in (3.18), we get $A(V) = 3A(\xi)\eta(V)$, which by putting $V = \xi$ gives $A(\xi) = 0$. This implies that $A(V) = 0$. Thus we have the following.

Theorem 3.3. *A pseudo Ricci symmetric Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold admitting an almost *-Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ) , with $\text{tr } \phi = 1$ is Ricci symmetric.*

4. GRADIENT *-RICCI SOLITONS ON η -EINSTEIN LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

This section is concerned with the study of gradient *-Ricci solitons within the context of η -Einstein Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds.

Let an n -dimensional Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold be η -Einstein, then it is noticed that the equation (2.9) takes the form

$$(4.1) \quad S = \rho_1 g(U, V) + \rho_2 \eta(U) \otimes \eta(V).$$

Setting $V = U = e_i$ in (4.1), where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ represents a set of orthonormal frame field of M , and taking the summation over i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad r = \rho_1 n - \rho_2.$$

On the other hand, putting $U = V = \xi$ in (4.1) and making use of (2.1) and (2.3), we also have

$$(4.3) \quad -(n-1) = -\rho_1 + \rho_2.$$

Hence, it follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$\rho_1 = \frac{r}{n-1} - 1, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{r}{n-1} - n.$$

Thus, the Ricci tensor S of an η -Einstein Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold is given by

$$(4.4) \quad S(U, V) = \left(\frac{r}{n-1} - 1\right) g(U, V) + \left(\frac{r}{n-1} - n\right) \eta(U)\eta(V).$$

Definition 4.1. A semi-Riemannian metric g of a semi-Riemannian manifold M is called a gradient $*$ -Ricci soliton if it satisfies

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Hess} f + S^* + \lambda g = 0,$$

for some smooth function f , where $\text{Hess} f$ (Hessian f) is defined by $\text{Hess} f = \nabla \nabla f$. It is noticed that if we choose $F = Df$ in equation (1.1), where D denotes the gradient operator of g , then we get (4.5).

Let the η -Einstein Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M admit a gradient $*$ -Ricci soliton. Then from (4.5) it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \nabla_U Df + Q^*U + \lambda U = 0,$$

for all U on M . First we prove the following lemmas for later use.

Lemma 4.1. *An n -dimensional η -Einstein Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold satisfies*

$$(4.7) \quad (\nabla_U Q^*)\xi - (\nabla_\xi Q^*)U = -\left(\frac{r}{n-1} + n - 3\right) \phi U + \left(a - \frac{\xi(r)}{n-1}\right) (U + \eta(U)\xi),$$

for all X on M .

Proof. By using (4.4) in (2.17), we find

$$S^*(V, W) = \left(\frac{r}{n-1} + n - 3\right) (g(V, W) + \eta(V)\eta(W)) - g(V, \phi W)a.$$

It yields

$$(4.8) \quad Q^*V = \left(\frac{r}{n-1} + n - 3\right) (V + \eta(V)\xi) - \phi V a.$$

Differentiating (4.8) along U , we get

$$(4.9) \quad (\nabla_U Q^*)V = \left(\frac{r}{n-1} + n - 3\right) [(\nabla_U \eta)(V)\xi + \eta(V)\nabla_U \xi] \\ - (g(U, V)\xi + \eta(V)U + 2\eta(U)\eta(V)\xi)a + \frac{U(r)}{n-1}(V + \eta(V)\xi),$$

which by replacing V by ξ and using (2.1), (2.3) and (2.5) reduces to

$$(4.10) \quad (\nabla_U Q^*)\xi = -\left(\frac{r}{n-1} + n - 3\right)\phi U + (U + \eta(U)\xi)a.$$

Again replacing U by ξ in (4.9) and using same equations, we find

$$(4.11) \quad (\nabla_\xi Q^*)U = \frac{\xi r}{n-1}(U - \eta(U)\xi).$$

By subtracting (4.11) from (4.10), (4.7) follows. □

Lemma 4.2. *If an η -Einstein Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold admits a gradient *-Ricci soliton, then we have*

$$(4.12) \quad R(U, V)Df = (\nabla_V Q^*)U - (\nabla_U Q^*)V.$$

Proof. Differentiating (4.6) covariantly along Y , we have

$$(4.13) \quad \nabla_V \nabla_U Df + \nabla_V Q^*U + \lambda \nabla_V U = 0,$$

which by interchanging U and V becomes

$$(4.14) \quad \nabla_U \nabla_V Df + \nabla_U Q^*V + \lambda \nabla_U V = 0.$$

Also from (4.6), we find

$$(4.15) \quad \nabla_{[U, V]} Df = -Q^*[U, V] - \lambda[U, V].$$

By making use of (4.13)–(4.15), Lemma 4.2 follows. □

Theorem 4.1. *Let the metric of an η -Einstein Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold M admit a gradient *-Ricci soliton. Then the gradient of the potential function is pointwise collinear with the potential vector field of M .*

Proof. Putting $U = \xi$ in (4.12), we have

$$R(\xi, V)Df = (\nabla_V Q^*)\xi - (\nabla_\xi Q^*)V,$$

which by virtue of the Lemma 4.1 leads to

$$(4.16) \quad g(R(\xi, V)Df, \xi) = 0.$$

By using (2.8), we have

$$(4.17) \quad g(R(\xi, V)Df, \xi) = -(Vf) - \eta(V)(\xi f).$$

From (4.16) and (4.17), we find $(Vf) = -\eta(V)(\xi f)$. This implies that

$$Df = -(\xi f)\xi.$$

This completes the proof. □

Taking the covariant derivative of $Df = -(\xi f)\xi$ along U , we have

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla_U Df = -(U(\xi f))\xi - (\xi f)\phi U,$$

which gives

$$g(\nabla_U Df, \xi) = U(\xi f),$$

where (2.1) and (2.2) are used. Using the last equation in (4.18), we obtain

$$(4.19) \quad \nabla_U Df = -g(\nabla_U Df, \xi)\xi - (\xi f)\phi U.$$

From equations (2.17) and (4.6), we conclude that

$$(4.20) \quad \nabla_U Df = -QU - (\lambda + n - 2)U - (2n - 3)\eta(U)\xi + \phi Ua,$$

which implies that

$$(4.21) \quad g(\nabla_U Df, \xi) = -\lambda\eta(U).$$

Thus from the equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.8), and (4.19)–(4.21), we obtain

$$QU = -(\lambda + n - 2)U - (\lambda + 2n - 3)\eta(U)\xi + (a + (\xi f))\phi U,$$

which informs that the manifold M under the consideration is generalized η -Einstein. Hence, we can state the following.

Corollary 4.1. *Every η -Einstein Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold of dimension n endowed with a gradient $*$ -Ricci metric is generalized η -Einstein.*

5. EXAMPLE

In this section, we construct a non-trivial example of a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold.

We consider the 4-dimensional manifold $M = \{(u, v, w, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4\}$, where (u, v, w, t) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^4 . Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$ and ζ_4 be the vector fields on M given by

$$\zeta_1 = e^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \zeta_2 = e^t \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \quad \zeta_3 = e^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right), \quad \zeta_4 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

Let g be the semi-Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq i = j \leq 3, \\ -1, & i = j = 4, \\ 0, & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

Let η be the 1-form on M defined by $\eta(U) = g(U, \zeta_4) = g(U, \xi)$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let ϕ be the $(1, 1)$ tensor field on M defined by

$$\phi\zeta_1 = \zeta_1, \quad \phi\zeta_2 = \zeta_2, \quad \phi\zeta_3 = \zeta_3, \quad \phi\zeta_4 = 0.$$

By applying the linearity of ϕ and g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\xi) &= -1, \quad \phi^2U = U + \eta(U)\xi, \quad \eta(\phi U) = 0, \\ g(U, \xi) &= \eta(U), \quad g(\phi U, \phi V) = g(U, V) + \eta(U)\eta(V), \end{aligned}$$

for all $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\zeta_1, \zeta_2] &= [\zeta_1, \zeta_3] = [\zeta_2, \zeta_3] = 0, \\ [\zeta_1, \zeta_4] &= \zeta_1, \quad [\zeta_2, \zeta_4] = \zeta_2, \quad [\zeta_3, \zeta_4] = \zeta_3. \end{aligned}$$

Using Koszul's formula, we can easily calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\zeta_1}\zeta_1 &= \zeta_4, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_1}\zeta_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_1}\zeta_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_1}\zeta_4 = \zeta_1, \\ \nabla_{\zeta_2}\zeta_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_2}\zeta_2 = \zeta_4, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_2}\zeta_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_2}\zeta_4 = \zeta_2, \\ \nabla_{\zeta_3}\zeta_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_3}\zeta_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_3}\zeta_3 = \zeta_4, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_3}\zeta_4 = \zeta_3, \\ \nabla_{\zeta_4}\zeta_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_4}\zeta_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_4}\zeta_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{\zeta_4}\zeta_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the above values it can be easily verified that for $\zeta_4 = \xi$, M is a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. We found that the non-vanishing components of curvature tensor are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)\zeta_1 &= -\zeta_2, \quad R(\zeta_1, \zeta_3)\zeta_1 = -\zeta_3, \quad R(\zeta_1, \zeta_4)\zeta_1 = -\zeta_4, \\ R(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)\zeta_2 &= \zeta_1, \quad R(\zeta_2, \zeta_3)\zeta_2 = -\zeta_3, \quad R(\zeta_2, \zeta_4)\zeta_2 = -\zeta_4, \\ R(\zeta_1, \zeta_3)\zeta_1 &= \zeta_1, \quad R(\zeta_2, \zeta_3)\zeta_3 = \zeta_2, \quad R(\zeta_3, \zeta_4)\zeta_3 = -\zeta_4, \\ R(\zeta_1, \zeta_4)\zeta_4 &= -\zeta_1, \quad R(\zeta_2, \zeta_4)\zeta_4 = -\zeta_2, \quad R(\zeta_3, \zeta_4)\zeta_3 = -\zeta_3. \end{aligned}$$

From the above expressions of curvature tensors, we obtain

$$S(\zeta_1, \zeta_1) = S(\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = S(\zeta_3, \zeta_3) = 3, \quad S(\zeta_4, \zeta_4) = -3.$$

In view of 2.17, L.H.S. of (1.1) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_F g)(V, W) + 2S^*(V, W) + 2\lambda g(V, W) &= g(\nabla_V F, W) + g(V, \nabla_W F) \\ &\quad + 2S(V, W) + 4g(V, W) \\ &\quad - 6g(V, \phi W)a + 10\eta(V)\eta(W). \end{aligned}$$

Let $V = \sum_{i=1}^4 V^i e_i$, $W = \sum_{i=1}^4 W^i e_i$ and $F = \sum_{i=1}^4 F^i e_i$, where V^i, W^i and F^i are scalars for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ such that

$$F^4 = \frac{F^1(V^1W^4 + W^1V^4) + F^2(V^2W^4 + W^2V^4) + F^3(V^3W^4 + W^3V^4)}{2(V^1W^1 + V^2W^2 + V^3W^3)} - 2,$$

provided $V^1W^1 + V^2W^2 + V^3W^3 \neq 0$. Then by the straight forward calculations, we can notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2(V^1W^1F^4 + V^2W^2F^4 + V^3W^3F^4) &- (V^1F^1W^4 + V^2F^2W^4 + V^3F^3W^4 \\ &+ W^1F^1V^4 + W^2F^2V^4 + W^3F^3V^4) + 4(V^1W^1 + V^2W^2 + V^3W^3) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $a = 3$ and hence we have $\mathcal{L}_F g + 2S^* + 2\lambda g = 0$, provided $\lambda = 0$. Thus, we can say that the Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold of dimension 4 admits a steady type $*$ -Ricci soliton, which proves Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgements. The authors are thankful to the Editor and anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper. The authors also acknowledge authority of their respective universities for their continuous support and encouragement to carry out this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Shaikh and K. K. Baishya, *Some results on LP-Sasakian manifolds*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie **49(97)**(2) (2006), 193–205.
- [2] A. A. Aqeel, U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. **31**(2) (2004), 1–13.
- [3] A. Yildiz, U. C. De and E. Ata, *On a type of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Math. Reports **16(66)**(1) (2014), 61–67.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, *Some results on concircular vector fields and their applications to Ricci solitons*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **52**(5) (2015), 1535–1547. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2015.52.5.1535>
- [5] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. **509**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [6] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, Second Edition, Progress in Mathematics **203**, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.
- [7] U. C. De and A. Sardar, *Some results on LP-Sasakian manifolds*, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov-Series III: Mathematics, Informatics, Physics, **13(62)**(1) (2020), 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2020.13.62.1.7>
- [8] U. C. De, J. B. Jun and A. A. Shaikh, *On conformally flat LP-Sasakian manifolds with a coefficient α* , Nihonkai Math. J. **13**(2) (2002), 121–131.
- [9] U. C. De, K. Matsumoto and A. A. Shaikh, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico di Messina **3** (1999), 149–158.
- [10] A. Ghosh and D. S. Patra, *$*$ -Ricci soliton within the frame-work of Sasakian and (κ, μ) -contact manifold*, Int. J. Geom. Methods in Mod. Phys. **15**(7) (2018), 21 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887818501207>
- [11] T. Hamada, *Real hypersurfaces of complex space forms in terms of Ricci $*$ -tensor*, Tokyo J. Math. **25**(2) (2002), 473–483. <https://doi.org/10.3836/tjm/1244208866>
- [12] R. S. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Contemp. Math. **71** (1988), 237–261. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/071>
- [13] A. Haseeb and R. Prasad, *η -Ricci solitons on ϵ -LP-Sasakian manifolds with a quarter symmetric metric connection*, Honam Math. J. **41**(3) (2019), 539–558. <https://doi.org/10.5831/HMJ.2019.41.3.539>
- [14] A. Haseeb and R. Prasad, *On a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection*, Novi Sad J. Math. **46**(2) (2016), 103–116. <https://doi.org/10.30755/NSJOM.04279>
- [15] A. Haseeb and R. Prasad, *η -Ricci solitons in Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds*, Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. **35**(3) (2020), 713–725.
- [16] G. Kaimakamis and K. Panagiotidou, *$*$ -Ricci solitons of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms*, J. Geom. Phys. **86** (2014), 408–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2014.09.004>

- [17] P. Majhi, U. C. De and Y. J. Suh, **-Ricci solitons on Sasakian 3-manifolds*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **93**(1–2) (2018), 241–252. <https://doi.org/10.5486/PMD.2018.8245>
- [18] K. Matsumoto, *On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds*, Bulletin of Yamagata University, Natural Science **12**(2) (1989), 151–156.
- [19] I. Mihai and R. Rosca, *On Lorentzian P-Sasakian Manifolds*, Classical Analysis, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, 155–169.
- [20] B. O.'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [21] D. G. Prakasha and B. S. Hadimani, *η -Ricci solitons on para-Sasakian manifolds*, J. Geom. **108**(2) (2017), 383–392. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00022-016-0345-z>
- [22] D. G. Prakasha and P. Veerasha, *Para-Sasakian manifolds and *-Ricci solitons*, Afr. Mat. **30**(7–8) (2018), 989–998. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-019-00698-9>
- [23] S. Tachibana, *On almost-analytic vectors in almost-Kahlerian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **12**(2) (1959), 247–265. <https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178244584>
- [24] M. Turan, C. Yetim and S. K. Chaubey, *On quasi-Sasakian 3-manifolds admitting η -Ricci solitons*, Filomat **33**(15) (2019), 4923–4930.
- [25] Venkatesha, D. M. Naik and H. A. Kumara, **-Ricci solitons and gradient almost *-Ricci solitons on Kenmotsu manifolds*, Math. Slovaca **69**(6) (2019), 1447–1458. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ms-2017-0321>
- [26] S. K. Yadav, S. K. Chaubey and S. K. Hui, *On the perfect fluid Lorentzian para-Sasakian Spacetimes*, Bulgarian Journal of Physics **46** (2019), 1–15.
- [27] K. Yano, *Integral Formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1970).
- [28] M. C. Chaki, *On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Bulgarian Journal of Physics **15**(6) (1988), 526–531.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, JAZAN UNIVERSITY,
JAZAN-2097, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA.
Email address: malikhaseeb80@gmail.com, haseeb@jazanu.edu.sa,

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY & APPLIED SCIENCES,
SHINAS, OMAN.
Email address: sk22_math@yahoo.co.in