

SYMMETRIC N -ADDITIVE MAPPINGS ADMITTING SEMIPRIME RING

KAPIL KUMAR¹

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring with centre $Z(\mathcal{R})$. An n -additive map $D : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is called symmetric n -additive if $D(x_1, \dots, x_n) = D(x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ for all $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$ and for every permutation $(\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n))$. A mapping $\Delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by $\Delta(x) = D(x, x, \dots, x)$ is called the trace of D . In this paper, we prove that a nonzero Lie ideal L of a semiprime ring \mathcal{R} of characteristic different from $(2^n - 2)$ is central, if it satisfies any one of the following properties: (i) $\Delta([x, y]) \mp xy \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (ii) $\Delta([x, y]) \mp [y, x] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (iii) $\Delta(xy) \mp \Delta(x) \mp [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (iv) $\Delta([x, y]) \mp yx \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (v) $\Delta(xy) \mp \Delta(y) \mp [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, \mathcal{R} always represents an associative ring, $Z(\mathcal{R})$ is its centre. Let $x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}$. We write the notation $[y, x]$ for the commutator $yx - xy$ and make use of the identities $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$ and $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$. Recall that \mathcal{R} is prime if $a\mathcal{R}b = \{0\}$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ semiprime if $a\mathcal{R}a = \{0\}$ implies that $a = 0$. Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} be abelian groups. A map $q : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is called the trace of a biadditive map if there exists a biadditive map $B : \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $q(x) = B(x, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$. Assuming further that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}'$ are rings, we say that q is commuting if $[q(x), x] = q(x)x - xq(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$. An example is a map of the form $q(x) = \lambda x^2 + \mu(x)x + \nu(x)$ where $\lambda \in C$, the centre of \mathcal{S} and $\mu, \nu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow C$, μ is additive and ν is the trace of a biadditive map. Quite often it turns out that this obvious example is in fact the only possible example of a commuting trace of a biadditive map of \mathcal{R} into \mathcal{S} . The basic result of this type states that this is true in the

Key words and phrases. Semiprime rings, Lie ideals, Symmetric n -additive mapping, Trace.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 16W25. Secondary: 16R50, 16N60.
DOI

Received: September 06, 2022.

Accepted: January 18, 2023.

case when \mathcal{R} is a prime ring with $char(\mathcal{R}) \neq 2$ and \mathcal{S} is its central closure provided, however that \mathcal{R} does not satisfy s_4 , the standard polynomial identity of degree 4 ([11], Theorem 1). This theorem has turned out to be the key for solving different problems and to a great extent it initiated the development of the theory of functional identities. We studies about bidervations and the traces of mapping in articles [1, 9, 10, 12] for details. A map $f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is centralizing on \mathcal{R} if $[f(x), x] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$. An additive map $D : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is called a derivation if it satisfies the Leibnitz rule $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer. A map $D : \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to be symmetric (or permuting), if the equation $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = D(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$ for all $x_i \in \mathcal{R}$ and for every permutation $(\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n))$. Let us consider the following maps Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer. An n -additive map

$$D : \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

will be called an n -derivation if the relations

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_1x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n) &= D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)x_1' + x_1D(x_1', x_2, \dots, x_n), \\ D(x_1, x_2x_2', \dots, x_n) &= D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)x_2' + x_2D(x_1, x_2', \dots, x_n), \\ &\vdots \\ D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_nx_n') &= D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)x_n' + x_nD(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n'), \end{aligned}$$

are valid for all $x_i, x_i' \in \mathcal{R}$. Of course, an 1-derivation is a derivation and a 2-derivation is called a bi-derivation. If D is symmetric, then the above inequalities are equivalent to each other. Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer. If \mathcal{R} is commutative, then a map

$$D : \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R},$$

defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow D(x_1)D(x_2) \cdots D(x_n), \quad \text{for all } x_i \in \mathcal{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

is a symmetric n -derivation, where D is a derivation on \mathcal{R} . Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and let a map $\Delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by $\Delta(x) = D(x, x, \dots, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$, where

$$D : \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

is a symmetric map, be the trace of D . It is obvious that, in case when

$$D : \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

is a symmetric map which is also n -additive, the trace Δ of D satisfies the relation

$$\Delta(x + y) = \Delta(x) + \Delta(y) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{R},$$

and

$$h_k(x, y) = D(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{(n-k)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_{k\text{-times}}).$$

Gy. Maksa [3] introduced the concept of a symmetric biderivation (see also [2], where an example can be found). It was shown in [3] that symmetric biderivations are related to general solution of some functional equations. Some results on symmetric biderivation in prime and semiprime rings can be found in [12] and [5]. The notion of additive commuting mappings is closely connected with the notion of biderivations. Every commuting additive mapping $f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ gives rise to a biderivation on \mathcal{R} . Namely linearizing $[x, f(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{R}$, we get

$$[f(x), y] = [x, f(y)], \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{R},$$

and hence we note that the mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow [f(x), y]$ is a biderivation (moreover, all derivations appearing are inner). Motivated by the aforementioned results we prove that a nonzero Lie ideal L of a semiprime ring \mathcal{R} of characteristic different from $(2^n - 2)$ is central, if it satisfies any one of the following properties: (i) $\Delta([x, y]) \mp xy \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (ii) $\Delta([x, y]) \mp [y, x] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (iii) $\Delta(xy) \mp \Delta(x) \mp [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (iv) $\Delta([x, y]) \mp yx \in Z(\mathcal{R})$; (v) $\Delta(xy) \mp \Delta(y) \mp [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We make extensive use of basic commutator identities

$$[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z], \quad [x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z].$$

Moreover, we shall require the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 ([5], Lemma 1.1.5). *If \mathcal{R} is a semiprime ring, then the center of a nonzero one sided ideal is contained in the center of \mathcal{R} . As an immediate consequence, any commutative one sided ideal is contained in the center of \mathcal{R} .*

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring and L be a nonzero Lie ideal of \mathcal{R} . If $[L, L] \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$, then $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof. Since $xy \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$, $xy - yx = [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$. Using Lemma 2.1 we get the required result. □

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring of characteristic not $(2^n - 2)$ and L be a nonzero Lie ideal of \mathcal{R} . Let $D : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a symmetric n -additive mapping and Δ be the trace of D . If $\Delta([x, y]) \mp xy \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof. Let

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta([x, y]) - xy \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Replacing y by $y + z$ in (3.1), we have

$$\Delta([x, y] + [x, z]) - xy - xz \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

This implies that

$$\Delta([x, y]) + \Delta([x, z]) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k([x, y], [x, z]) - xy - xz \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

By using (3.1), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k([x, y], [x, z]) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

This gives that

$$(3.2) \quad \binom{n}{1} h_1([x, y], [x, z]) + \binom{n}{2} h_2([x, y], [x, z]) + \binom{n}{3} h_3([x, y], [x, z]) \\ + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}([x, y], [x, z]) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

Substituting y for z in (3.2), we obtain

$$\binom{n}{1} h_1([x, y], [x, y]) + \binom{n}{2} h_2([x, y], [x, y]) + \binom{n}{3} h_3([x, y], [x, y]) \\ + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}([x, y], [x, y]) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

This implies that

$$\binom{n}{1} D(\underbrace{[x, y], [x, y], \dots, [x, y]}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{[x, y]}_{1\text{-times}}) + \binom{n}{2} D(\underbrace{[x, y], [x, y], \dots, [x, y]}_{(n-2)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{[x, y]}_{2\text{-times}}) \\ + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} D(\underbrace{[x, y]}_{1\text{-times}}, \underbrace{[x, y], [x, y], \dots, [x, y]}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

This shows that

$$\left(\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{3} + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} \right) D([x, y], [x, y], \dots, [x, y]) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

We obtain

$$(3.3) \quad (2^n - 2)D([x, y], [x, y], \dots, [x, y]) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since \mathcal{R} is not of characteristic $(2^n - 2)$, we get

$$D([x, y], [x, y], \dots, [x, y]) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Applying the definition of the trace

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta([x, y]) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Using (3.1), we get $xy \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$. This implies that $[x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$. By using Lemma 2.2, we get $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.

Similarly, we can prove the result if $f([x, y]) + xy \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring of characteristic not $(2^n - 2)$ and L be a nonzero Lie ideal of \mathcal{R} . Let $D : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a symmetric n -additive mapping and Δ be the trace of D . If $\Delta([x, y]) \mp [y, x] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof. Using the same argument as in Theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring of characteristic not $(2^n - 2)$ and L be a nonzero Lie ideal of \mathcal{R} . Let $D : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a symmetric n -additive mapping and Δ be the trace of D . If $\Delta(xy) \mp \Delta(x) \mp [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta(xy) - \Delta(x) - [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Replacing x by $x + z$ in (3.5), we have

$$\Delta((x + z)y) + \Delta(x + z) - [x + z, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

This implies that

$$\Delta(xy + zy) - \Delta(x + z) - [x, y] - [z, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(xy) + \Delta(zy) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(xy, zy) - \Delta(x) - \Delta(z) \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(x, z) - [x, y] - [z, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(xy) - \Delta(x) - [x, y] + \Delta(zy) - \Delta(z) - [z, y] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(xy, zy) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(x, z) \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5), we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(xy, zy) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(x, z) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

This shows that

$$(3.6) \quad \binom{n}{1} h_1(xy, zy) + \binom{n}{2} h_2(xy, zy) + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(xy, zy) - \binom{n}{1} h_1(x, z) - \binom{n}{2} h_2(x, z) - \cdots - \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(x, z) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

Substituting x for z in (3.6), we have

$$\binom{n}{1} h_1(xy, xy) + \binom{n}{2} h_2(xy, xy) + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(xy, xy) - \binom{n}{1} h_1(x, x) - \binom{n}{2} h_2(x, x) - \cdots - \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(x, x) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

We find that

$$\binom{n}{1} D(\underbrace{xy, xy, \dots, xy}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{xy}_{1\text{-times}}) + \binom{n}{2} D(\underbrace{xy, xy, \dots, xy}_{(n-2)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{xy}_{2\text{-times}}) + \cdots + \binom{n}{n-1} D(\underbrace{xy}_{1\text{-times}}, \underbrace{xy, xy, \dots, xy}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

This implies that

$$(2^n - 2) (D(xy, xy, \dots, xy) - D(x, x, \dots, x)) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since \mathcal{R} is not of characteristic $(2^n - 2)$,

$$D(xy, xy, \dots, xy) - D(x, x, \dots, x) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

By definition of the trace, we get

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta(xy) - \Delta(x) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Using (3.5), $[x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$. Arguing similar manner as in the Theorem 3.1, we get the result. Similarly, we can prove the result if $\Delta(xy) + \Delta(x) + [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring of characteristic not $(2^n - 2)$ and L be a nonzero Lie ideal of \mathcal{R} . Let $D : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a symmetric n -additive mapping and Δ be the trace of D . If $\Delta([x, y]) \mp yx \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof. Using the same argument as in Theorem 3.3. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring of characteristic not $(2^n - 2)$ and L be a nonzero left ideal of \mathcal{R} . Let $D : \mathcal{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a symmetric n -additive mapping and Δ be the trace of D . If $\Delta(xy) \mp \Delta(y) \mp [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$, then $L \subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$(3.8) \quad \Delta(xy) - \Delta(y) - [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Replacing y by $y + z$ in (3.8), we obtain

$$\Delta(x(y + z)) - \Delta(y + z) - [x, y + z] \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in L.$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(xy) + \Delta(xz) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(xy, xz) - \Delta(y) \\ &- \Delta(z) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(y, z) - [x, y] - [x, z] \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta(xy) - \Delta(y) - [x, y] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(xy, xz) + \Delta(xz) \\ &- \Delta(z) - [x, z] - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(y, z) \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.8), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(xy, xz) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} h_k(y, z) \in Z(\mathcal{R}).$$

On simplifying,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\binom{n}{1} h_1(xy, xz) + \binom{n}{2} h_2(xy, xz) + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(xy, xz) \\ &- \binom{n}{1} h_1(y, z) - \binom{n}{2} h_2(y, z) - \dots - \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(y, z) \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting y for z in (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{n}{1} h_1(xy, xy) + \binom{n}{2} h_2(xy, xy) + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(xy, xy) \\ &- \binom{n}{1} h_1(y, y) - \binom{n}{2} h_2(y, y) - \dots - \binom{n}{n-1} h_{n-1}(y, y) \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{n}{1} D(\underbrace{xy, \dots, xy}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{xy}_{1\text{-times}}) + \binom{n}{2} D(\underbrace{xy, xy, \dots, xy}_{(n-2)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{xy}_{3\text{-times}}) \\ & + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} D(\underbrace{xy}_{1\text{-times}}, \underbrace{xy, xy, \dots, xy}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}) - \binom{n}{1} D(\underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{y}_{1\text{-times}}) \\ & - \binom{n}{2} D(\underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_{(n-2)\text{-times}}, \underbrace{y}_{2\text{-times}}) - \dots - \binom{n}{n-1} D(\underbrace{y}_{1\text{-times}}, \underbrace{y, y, \dots, y}_{(n-1)\text{-times}}) \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Now solving the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{3} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} \right) D(xy, xy, \dots, xy) \\ & - \left(\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{3} + \dots + \binom{n}{n-1} \right) D(y, y, \dots, y) \in Z(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$(2^n - 2) (D(xy, xy, \dots, xy) - D(y, y, \dots, y)) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Since \mathcal{R} is not characteristic $(2^n - 2)$, we find

$$D(xy, xy, \dots, xy) - D(y, y, \dots, y) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

This shows that

$$(3.10) \quad \Delta(xy) - \Delta(y) \in Z(\mathcal{R}), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

Using (3.8) and (3.10), we have $[x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$. Arguing in similar manner as in Theorem 3.1, we get the result. Similarly, we can prove the result if $\Delta([x, y]) + \Delta(y) + [x, y] \in Z(\mathcal{R})$ for all $x, y \in L$. □

4. EXAMPLES

The following examples illustrate that \mathcal{R} to be semiprime and characteristic not $(2^n - 2)$ for $n > 1$ is essential in the hypothesis of the above theorem.

Example 4.1. Let $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \mid p, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ ring of integers} \right\}$ and the Lie ideal $L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid q \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Then $Z(\mathcal{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \mid p \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Define a map $D : \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ by

$$D\left(\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & q_1 \\ 0 & r_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} p_2 & q_2 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} p_n & q_n \\ 0 & r_n \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 p_2 p_3 \dots p_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then D is symmetric n -additive with trace Δ defined by $\Delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that $\Delta\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) = D\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}, \left(\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \left(\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)$ satisfying hypothesis of the above theorems. However, $L \not\subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.

Example 4.2. Let $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ ring of integers} \right\}$ and the Lie ideal $L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid y \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Then $Z(\mathcal{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Define a map $D : \underbrace{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \times \dots \times \mathcal{R}}_{n\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ by

$$D\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ y_1 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 \\ y_2 & z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} x_n & 0 \\ y_n & z_n \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 z_2 z_3 \dots z_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then D is symmetric n -additive with trace Δ defined by $\Delta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that $\Delta\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}\right) = D\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & z \end{pmatrix}\right)$ satisfying hypothesis of the above theorems. However, $L \not\subseteq Z(\mathcal{R})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ali and K. Kumar, *Traces of permuting n -additive mappings in $*$ -prime rings*, J. Algebra Relat. Topics **8**(2) (2020), 9–21. <https://doi.org/10.22124/JART.2020.16288.1200>
- [2] Gy. Maksa, *A remark on symmetric biadditive functions having non-negative diagonalization*, Glas. Mat. **15**(35) (1980), 279–282. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1103001A>
- [3] Gy. Maksa, *On the trace of symmetric biderivations*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. **9** (1987), 303–307. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1103001A>
- [4] I. N. Herstein, *Rings with Involution*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, III, USA, 1976.
- [5] I. N. Herstein, *On Lie structure of an associative ring*, J. Algebra **14**(4) (1970), 561–571. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(70\)90103-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(70)90103-1)
- [6] J. Vukman, *Symmetric biderivations on prime and semiprime rings*, Aequationes Math. **38** (1989), 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01840009>
- [7] J. Vukman, *Two results concerning symmetric biderivations on prime rings*, Aequationes Math. **40** (1990), 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02112294>
- [8] K. Kumar, *$*$ -alpha-derivation on prime $*$ -rings*, J. Algebra Relat. Topics **10**(1) (2022), 63–69. <https://doi.org/10.22124/JART.2021.20488.1312>
- [9] K. H. Park, *On prime and semiprime rings with symmetric n -derivations*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 451–458.
- [10] K. H. Park and Y. S. Jung, *On permuting 3-derivations and commutativity in prime near rings*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **25** (2010), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.2010.25.1.001>
- [11] M. Brešar, *Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity-preserving mappings and Lie mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335** (1993), 525–546. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2154392>
- [12] M. Brešar, *Functional identities: A survey*, Contemporary Math. **259** (2000), 93–109.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
INSTITUTE OF APPLIED SCIENCES AND HUMANITIES
GLA, UNIVERSITY MATHURA-281406, INDIA
Email address: 01kapilmathsamu@gmail.com