

Kragujevac J. Math. 29 (2006) 203–213.

ISHIKAWA ITERATIVE SEQUENCE FOR THE GENERALIZED LIPSCHITZIAN AND Φ -STRONGLY ACCRETIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES¹

Xue Zhiqun¹ and Wang Zhiming²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Shijiazhuang Railway Institute,
Shijiazhuang 050043 P. R. China
(e-mail: xuezhiquan@126.com)*

²*Basic Department, Tangshan University, Tangshan 063000 P.R.China
(e-mail: wangzhiming.wzm@163.com)*

(Received Jun 15, 2005)

Abstract. Let E be a real uniformly smooth Banach space, $T : E \rightarrow E$ be a generalized Lipschitzian and Φ -strongly accretive mapping. It is shown that under suitable conditions the Ishikawa iterative process converges strongly to the unique solution of the equation $Tx = f$. A related result deals with approximation of the unique fixed point of a generalized Lipschitzian and Φ -strongly pseudo-contractive mapping.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be real Banach space and E^* be the dual space on E . The normalized duality mapping $J : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ is defined by

$$Jx = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\| \cdot \|f\| = \|f\|^2\} \quad (1)$$

¹The author was supported by the National Science Foundation of China and Shijiazhuang Railway Institute Sciences Foundation.

for all $x \in E$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the generalized duality pairing. It is well known that if E is an uniformly smooth Banach space, then J is single-valued and such that $J(-x) = -J(x)$, $J(tx) = tJ(x)$ for all $x \in E$ and $t \geq 0$; and J is uniformly continuous on any bounded subset of E . In the sequel we shall denote single-valued normalized duality mapping by j . By means of the normalized duality mapping J . In the following we give some concepts.

Definition 1.1. *A mapping T with domain $D(T)$ and range $R(T)$ in E is said to be strongly accretive if for any $x, y \in D(T)$, there exist a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ and $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ such that*

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \geq k\|x - y\|^2. \quad (2)$$

The mapping T is called Φ -strongly accretive if there exists a strictly increasing function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ such that the inequality

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j(x - y) \rangle \geq \Phi(\|x - y\|)\|x - y\| \quad (3)$$

holds for all $x, y \in D(T)$. It is well known that the class of strongly accretive mappings is a proper subclass of the class of Φ -strongly accretive mappings(see [1]). On the other hand, closely related to the class of accretive type mappings are those of pseudo-contractive mappings.

Definition 1.2. *A mapping $T : D(T) \subset E \rightarrow E$ is called strongly pseudo-contractive if and only if $(I - T)$ is strongly accretive, and is called Φ -strongly pseudo-contractive if and only if $(I - T)$ is Φ -strongly accretive, where I denotes the identity mapping on E .*

The classes of mappings introduced above have been studied by several authors. In [2], Chidume proved that if $E = L_p$ (or l^p), $p \geq 2$, K is a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of E and $T : K \rightarrow K$ is a Lipschitz strongly pseudocontractive mapping, then Mann iteration process converges strongly to the unique fixed point of T . In [3], Deng extended the above result to the Ishikawa iteration process. After Tan and Xu [4] extended the results of both Chidume [2] and Deng [3] to q -uniformly

smooth Banach spaces ($1 < q < 2$). Recently, Osilike [1] proved that if $q > 1$, E is real q -uniformly smooth Banach space and $T : E \rightarrow E$ is a Lipschitz Φ -strongly accretive mapping and equation $Tx = f$ has a solution, then both the Mann and Ishikawa iteration sequence converges strongly to the solution. It is our purpose in this paper to generalize and extend the results of [1] from Lipschitz mapping to generalized Lipschitzian, from q -uniformly smooth to uniformly smooth.

For this purpose, we need to introduce the following concept and some related Lemmas:

Definition 1.3. [8] *A mapping $T : D(T) \subset E \rightarrow E$ is called a generalized Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq C(1 + \|x - y\|) \quad (4)$$

holds for all $x, y \in D(T)$. Clearly, every Lipschitz mapping is a generalized Lipschitzian, and every mapping with a bounded range too. Conversely, in general, a generalized Lipschitzian mapping neither is Lipschitzian nor the bounded range. (see, for example [8])

Lemma 1. 4. [7] *Let E be a real Banach space, then there exists $j(x+y) \in J(x+y)$ such that*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2 \langle y, j(x + y) \rangle \quad (5)$$

for any $x, y \in E$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let E be a real Banach space, $T : E \rightarrow E$ be continuous Φ -strongly pseudo-contractive mapping with $\Phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Then, for any given $f \in E$, the equation $x = f + Tx$ has the unique solution in E .*

Proof. We choose a positive real number sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $t_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Define an operator sequence $\{T_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $T_n : E \rightarrow E$ by $T_n x = t_n x + x - Tx$, for any $n \geq 0$ and $x \in E$, then T_n must be continuous strongly accretive mapping in E for any $n \geq 0$. Thus, for any $f \in E$, the equation $T_n x = f$ has the unique solution, denote y_n , i.e. $t_n y_n + y_n - T y_n = f$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. It yields that

$$t_n y_n - t_0 y_0 + y_n - y_0 + T y_0 - T y_n = 0$$

so that

$$\langle t_n y_n - t_0 y_0 + (I - T)y_n - (I - T)y_0, j(y_n - y_0) \rangle = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\langle (I - T)y_n - (I - T)y_0, j(y_n - y_0) \rangle = -t_n \|y_n - y_0\|^2 - \langle t_n y_0 - t_0 y_0, j(y_n - y_0) \rangle.$$

Since $(I - T)$ is Φ -strongly accretive mapping, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - y_0\| \Phi(\|y_n - y_0\|) &\leq -t_n \|y_n - y_0\|^2 - \langle t_n y_0 - t_0 y_0, j(y_n - y_0) \rangle \\ &\leq -\langle t_n y_0 - t_0 y_0, j(y_n - y_0) \rangle \\ &\leq |t_n - t_0| \cdot \|y_0\| \cdot \|y_n - y_0\|, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that

$$\Phi(\|y_n - y_0\|) \leq |t_n - t_0| \cdot \|y_0\|,$$

i.e.

$$(\|y_n - y_0\|) \leq \Phi^{-1}(|t_n - t_0| \cdot \|y_0\|).$$

Since $t_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it is easily seen that $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Therefore $y_n - Ty_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $(I - T)$ is Φ -strongly accretive mapping, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(y_n - Ty_n) - (y_m - Ty_m)\| \cdot \|y_n - y_m\| &\geq \langle (I - T)y_n - (I - T)y_m, j(y_n - y_m) \rangle \\ &\geq \Phi(\|y_n - y_m\|) \cdot \|y_n - y_m\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\|(y_n - Ty_n) - (y_m - Ty_m)\| \geq \Phi(\|y_n - y_m\|)$, then $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, there exists $y \in E$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By using continuous of T such that $y = f + Ty$. About uniqueness of solution, we may get it by applying definition of Φ -strongly accretive mapping. The proof Lemma is completed. \square

Remark 1.6. In Lemma 1.5, if $f = 0$, then the mapping T has the unique fixed point.

Remark 1.7. In Lemma 1.5, suppose $T : E \rightarrow E$ is a continuous Φ -strongly accretive mapping, then the equation $Tx = f$ has unique solution in E .

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we prove the main the results of this paper, In the sequel, we always assume that E is a uniformly smooth real Banach space.

Theorem 2.1. *Let E be a real uniformly smooth Banach space, and $T : E \rightarrow E$ is a continuous and generalized Lipschitzian Φ -strongly accretive mapping with $\Phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be two real sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions: (i) $\alpha_n, \beta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$. For any given $f \in E$, define a mapping $S : E \rightarrow E$ by $Sx = x - Tx + f$, for all $x \in E$. The Ishikawa iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ generated from an arbitrary $x_0 \in E$ by (IS)*

$$\begin{cases} y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n Sx_n, & n \geq 0, \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n Sy_n, & n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges strongly to the unique solution of the equation $Tx = f$.

Proof. By Remark 1.7, we know that the equation $Tx = f$ has the unique solution in E , set q . Since T is generalized Lipschitzian Φ -strongly accretive mapping, then for any $x, y \in E$ such that the following inequalities hold:

$$\|Sx - Sy\| \leq L(1 + \|x - y\|), \quad (7)$$

and

$$\langle Sx - Sy, J(x - y) \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \Phi(\|x - y\|)\|x - y\|. \quad (8)$$

Set $A_n = \|J(\frac{x_{n+1}-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|}) - J(\frac{y_n-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|})\|$, $B_n = \|J(\frac{y_n-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|}) - J(\frac{x_n-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|})\|$. Observe that $\frac{\|x_{n+1}-q\|}{1+\|x_n-q\|} \leq 1 + 2L + 2L^2$, $\frac{\|x_n-q\|}{1+\|x_n-q\|} \leq 1$ and $\frac{\|y_n-q\|}{1+\|x_n-q\|} \leq 1 + 2L$. It is easily obtained that, in view of the uniform continuity of J on any bounded subset of E ,

$A_n, B_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using Lemma 1.4, (7) and (8), we computer as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \\
&= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - q) + \alpha_n(Sy_n - Sq)\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle Sy_n - Sq, J(x_{n+1} - q) \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle Sy_n - Sq, J(y_n - q) \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle Sy_n - Sq, J(x_{n+1} - q) - J(y_n - q) \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(\|y_n - q\|^2 - \Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\|) \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle Sy_n - Sq, J(\frac{x_{n+1}-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|}) - J(\frac{y_n-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|}) \rangle (1 + \|x_n - q\|) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(\|y_n - q\|^2 - \Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\|) \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n A_n L(1 + \|y_n - q\|)(1 + \|x_n - q\|).
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Furthermore, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\alpha_n A_n L(1 + \|y_n - q\|)(1 + \|x_n - q\|) \\
&\leq 2\alpha_n A_n L(1 + \beta_n L)(1 + \|x_n - q\|)^2 \\
&\leq 2\alpha_n A_n L(1 + \beta_n L)(1 + \|x_n - q\|)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Again using Lemma 1.4, (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|y_n - q\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)^2\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\beta_n \langle Sx_n - Sq, J(y_n - q) \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)^2\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\beta_n \langle Sx_n - Sq, J(y_n - q) - J(x_n - q) \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n \langle Sx_n - Sq, J(x_n - q) \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \beta_n)^2\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\beta_n \langle Sx_n - Sq, J(\frac{y_n-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|}) - J(\frac{x_n-q}{1+\|x_n-q\|}) \rangle \\
&\quad \times (1 + \|x_n - q\|) + 2\beta_n(\|x_n - q\|^2 - \Phi(\|x_n - q\|)\|x_n - q\|) \\
&\leq (1 + \beta_n^2)\|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\beta_n L(1 + \|x_n - q\|)B_n(1 + \|x_n - q\|) \\
&\quad - 2\beta_n \Phi(\|x_n - q\|)\|x_n - q\| \\
&\leq (1 + \beta_n^2)\|x_n - q\|^2 + 4\beta_n B_n L(1 + \|x_n - q\|)^2 \\
&\quad - 2\beta_n \Phi(\|x_n - q\|)\|x_n - q\| \\
&\leq (1 + \beta_n^2 + 4L\beta_n B_n)\|x_n - q\|^2 \\
&\quad + 4L\beta_n B_n - 2\beta_n \Phi(\|x_n - q\|)\|x_n - q\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Substituting (10) and (11) into (9) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \\
& \leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n((1 + \beta_n^2 + 4L\beta_n B_n) \|x_n - q\|^2 \\
& \quad + 4L\beta_n B_n - 2\beta_n \Phi(\|x_n - q\|) \|x_n - q\| - \Phi(\|y_n - q\|) \|y_n - q\|) \\
& \quad + 2\alpha_n A_n L(1 + \beta_n L)(1 + \|x_n - q\|^2) \\
& \leq (1 + \alpha_n^2 + 2\alpha_n \beta_n^2 + 8L\alpha_n \beta_n B_n + 2\alpha_n A_n L(1 + \beta_n L)) \|x_n - q\|^2 \\
& \quad + 2\alpha_n A_n L(1 + \beta_n L) + 8L\alpha_n \beta_n B_n - 2\alpha_n \Phi(\|y_n - q\|) \|y_n - q\|) \\
& \leq \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n C_n \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n (D_n - \Phi(\|y_n - q\|) \|y_n - q\|)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $C_n = \alpha_n + \beta_n^2 + 4L\beta_n B_n + A_n L(1 + \beta_n L)$, $D_n = A_n L(1 + \beta_n L) + 4L\beta_n B_n$. Base on (8), we have $\langle x - Sx, J(x - q) \rangle \geq \Phi(\|x - q\|) \|x - q\|$, $\forall x \in E$, thus $\|x - Sx\| \cdot \|x - q\| \geq \Phi(\|x - q\|) \|x - q\|$. Hence $\Phi(\|x - q\|) \leq \|x - Sx\|$. At this point, we may choose any $x_0 \in E$ such that $\|x_0 - Sx_0\| \neq 0$, i.e. $x_0 \neq q$. (If $x_0 = q$, then conclusion of Theorem is obvious.) so we obtain $\|x_0 - q\| \leq \Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)$. Since $\alpha_n, \beta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists an integer N such that $\alpha_n < \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)}{2(1+L+L^2)\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)+L(1+L)}$, $\beta_n < \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)}{2((1+L)\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)+L)}$, $C_n(2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|))^2 + D_n < \frac{\Phi(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)}{2})\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)}{4}$. for all $n \geq N$. Suppose $\|x_N - q\| \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)$, by the mathe induction, we want to show $\|x_{N+1} - q\| \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)$. If not, we assume that $\|x_{N+1} - q\| > 2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|)$.

Using (6) and the above formule, we obtain the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_N - Sy_N\| \\
& = \|x_N - q + Sq - Sy_N\| \\
& \leq \|x_N - q\| + L(1 + \|y_N - q\|) \\
& \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|) + L(1 - \beta_N + \beta_N L) \|x_N - q\| + L(1 + \beta_N L) \\
& \leq 2(1 + L + L^2)\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|) + L(1 + L),
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and get also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_N - q\| & \geq (1 - \alpha_N) \|x_N - q\| \\
& \geq \|x_{N+1} - q\| - \alpha_N \|x_N - Sy_N\| \\
& \geq 2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|) \\
& \quad - \alpha_N(2(1 + L + L^2)\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|) + L(1 + L)) \\
& \geq \Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Sx_0\|),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_N - q\| &\geq (1 - \beta_N)\|x_N - q\| - \beta_N L(1 + \|x_N - q\|) \\
&= (1 - \beta_N - \beta_N L)\|x_N - q\| - \beta_N L \\
&\geq \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Tx_0\|)}{2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Thus $\Phi(\|y_N - q\|)\|y_N - q\| \geq \Phi\left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Tx_0\|)}{2}\right)\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Tx_0\|)}{2}$. Using (12) and above formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{N+1} - q\|^2 &\leq \|x_N - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_N(C_N\|x_N - q\|^2 + D_N - \Phi(\|y_N - q\|)\|y_N - q\|) \\
&\leq \|x_N - q\|^2 - \alpha_N\Phi\left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Tx_0\|)}{2}\right)\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Tx_0\|)}{2} \\
&\leq \|x_N - q\|^2 \leq (2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Tx_0\|))^2
\end{aligned}$$

contradicting with assumption. Hence $\|x_{N+1} - q\| \leq 2\Phi^{-1}(\|x_0 - Tx_0\|)$ holds, $\{\|x_n - q\|\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded, so that $\{\|y_n - q\|\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is also bounded. Set $W = \sup\{\|x_n - q\| + \|y_n - q\|\}$, $E_n = C_n W^2 + D_n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(E_n - \Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\|) \\
&= \|x_n - q\|^2 + \alpha_n(2E_n - \Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\|) - \alpha_n\Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Hence, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - q\| = 0$ holds. Suppose this is not true. Let $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - q\| = 2\delta > 0$. Then there exists an integer N_1 such that $\|y_n - q\| \geq \delta$, for all $n \geq N_1$, i.e., $\Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\| \geq \Phi(\delta)\delta$. Since $E_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), there exists an integer $N_2 > N_1$ such that $E_n \leq \Phi(\delta)\delta$ for all $n \geq N_2$, thus $E_n \leq \Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\|$. Hence, for all $n \geq N_2$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - \alpha_n\Phi(\|y_n - q\|)\|y_n - q\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - q\|^2 - \alpha_n\Phi(\delta)\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Phi(\delta)\delta \sum_{n=N_2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \leq \|x_{N_2} - q\|^2 < \infty$$

which is a contradiction and so $\delta = 0$. Consequently, there exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_j} - q\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of $\{y_n - q\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{n_j} - q\| = 0$, and so there exists an infinite subsequence $\{x_{n_j} - q\}_{j=0}^\infty$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - q\| = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be any given, $\exists j_0$

such that, for all $n_j > n_{j_0}$, $\|x_{n_j} - q\| < \varepsilon$, $\alpha_{n_j}(LW + L) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, $\beta_{n_j} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4(W+LW+L)}$. Again choose an integer $N_0 \geq n_{j_0}$ such that $E_n < \Phi(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for all $n > N_0$. By induction, we want to prove $\|x_{n_j+m} - q\| < \varepsilon$, for all $\forall m \geq 1$. We first prove that $\|x_{n_j+1} - q\| < \varepsilon$. Suppose this is not true. Then $\exists n_{j_1} > n_{j_0}$, such that $\|x_{n_{j_1}+1} - q\| \geq \varepsilon$. Using (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_{j_1}+1} - q\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n_{j_1}})\|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| + \alpha_{n_{j_1}}\|Sy_{n_{j_1}} - Sq\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n_{j_1}})\|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| + \alpha_{n_{j_1}}(L\|y_{n_{j_1}} - q\| + L) \\ &\leq \|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| + \alpha_{n_{j_1}}(LW + L) \\ &\leq \|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \end{aligned}$$

thus $\|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| > \|x_{n_{j_1}+1} - q\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \geq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$. By (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n_{j_1}} - q\| &\geq (1 - \beta_{n_{j_1}})\|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| - \beta_{n_{j_1}}(L\|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| + L) \\ &= \|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| - (\beta_{n_{j_1}} + \beta_{n_{j_1}}L)\|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\| - \beta_{n_{j_1}}L \\ &> \frac{3\varepsilon}{4} - (\beta_{n_{j_1}} + \beta_{n_{j_1}}L)W - \beta_{n_{j_1}}L \\ &> \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Phi(\|y_{n_{j_1}} - q\|)\|y_{n_{j_1}} - q\| > \Phi(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Applying (16) and the above form, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 &\leq \|x_{n_{j_1}+1} - q\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_{n_{j_1}} - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_{n_{j_1}}(E_{n_{j_1}} - \Phi(\|y_{n_{j_1}} - q\|)\|y_{n_{j_1}} - q\|) \\ &< \varepsilon^2 + 2\alpha_{n_{j_1}}(\Phi(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \Phi(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \\ &= \varepsilon^2 - \alpha_{n_{j_1}}\Phi(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

contradiction. Hence the conclusion holds for $m = 1$. Assume now it holds for m . Following the above argument, we easily proves that it holds for $m + 1$. This shows that $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to q as $n \rightarrow \infty$, completing proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let E be a real uniformly smooth Banach space, and $T : E \rightarrow E$ is a continuous and generalized Lipschitzian Φ -strongly pseudo-contractive mapping*

with $\Phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Let $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be two real sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions: (i) $\alpha_n, \beta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$. The Ishikawa iterative sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ generated from an arbitrary $x_0 \in E$ by (IS)

$$\begin{cases} y_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n T x_n, & n \geq 0, \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T y_n, & n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges strongly to the unique fixed point of T .

Proof. Using Lemma 1.5, we know that the mapping T has unique fixed point, let q denote the fixed point. Since T is a continuous and generalized Lipschitzian Φ -strongly pseudo-contractive mapping, then the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 follows exactly from Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements: The author is very grateful to the referees for careful reading of the original version of this paper and for some good suggestions.

References

- [1] M. O. Osilike, *Iterative solution for nonlinear equations of the ϕ -strongly accretive type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **200** (2) (1996), 259–271.
- [2] C. E. Chidume, *An iterative process for nonlinear Lipschitzian strongly accretive mappings in L_p spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **151** (2) (1990), 453–461.
- [3] L. Deng, *On Chidume's open questions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **174** (2) (1993), 441–449.
- [4] K. K. Tan, H. K. Xu, *Iterative solutions to nonlinear equations of strongly accretive operators in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **78** (1) (1993), 9–21.
- [5] C. E. Chidume, *Approximation of fixed points of strongly pseudo-contractive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **120** (2) (1994), 545–551.

- [6] C. E. Chidume, M. O. Osilike, *Ishikawa iteration process for nonlinear Lipschitz strongly accretive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **192** (3) (1995), 727–741.
- [7] H. Y. Zhou, Y. T. Jia, *Approximating of fixed points of strongly pseudocontractive maps without Lipschitz assumption*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **125** (1997), 1705–1709.
- [8] H. Y. Zhou, S. S. Chang, R. P. Agarwal, Y. J. Cho , *Stability results for the Ishikawa iteration procedures*, Mathematical Analysis, **9** (2002), 477–486.
- [9] Y. G. Xu, *Ishikawa and Mann iterative processes with errors for nonlinear strongly accretive operator equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **224** (1998), 91–101.
- [10] Z. Q. Xue, H. Y. Zhou, Y. J. Cho, *Iterative solutions of nonlinear equations for m -accretive operators in Banach spaces*, J. Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, **1** (3) (2003), 313–320.