KRAGUJEVAC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS VOLUME 37(1) (2013), PAGES 187–193.

NOTE ON STRONG PRODUCT OF GRAPHS

M. TAVAKOLI¹, F. RAHBARNIA¹, AND A. R. ASHRAFI²

Dedicated to the memory of the late professor Ante Graovac

ABSTRACT. Let G and H be graphs. The strong product $G \boxtimes H$ of graphs G and H is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $u = (u_1, v_1)$ is adjacent with $v = (u_2, v_2)$ whenever $(v_1 = v_2 \text{ and } u_1 \text{ is adjacent with } u_2)$ or $(u_1 = u_2 \text{ and } v_1 \text{ is adjacent with } v_2)$ or $(u_1 \text{ is adjacent with } u_2 \text{ and } v_1 \text{ is adjacent with } v_2)$. In this paper, we study some properties of this operation. Also, we obtain lower and upper bounds for Wiener and hyper-Wiener indices of Strong product of graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper graphs means simple connected graphs. Suppose G is a graph with vertex set V(G). The distance between the vertices u and v of V(G) is defined as the length of a minimal path connecting them, denoted by d(u, v). The Wiener index, W(G), is equal to the count of all shortest distances in a graph [20]. In other words, $W(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(u, v)$. We encourage to the interested reader to consult [6][7][9][10][16] for more information on this topic.

The hyper-Wiener index of acyclic graphs was introduced by Milan Randić in 1993. Then Klein et al. [17], generalized Randić's definition for all connected graphs, as a generalization of the Wiener index. It is defined as $WW(G) = \frac{1}{2}W(G) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\{u,v\}\subseteq V(G)} d^2(u,v)$. The mathematical properties and chemical meaning of this topological index are reported in [4][5][11][15][24].

The degree of a vertex v in a graph G, $deg_G(v)$, is the number of edges of G incident with v. The eccentricity $\varepsilon_G(u)$ is defined as the largest distance between u and other vertices of G. The eccentric connectivity index of a graph G is defined as

Key words and phrases. Strong product, Wiener index, Eulerian graph.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 05C76. Secondary: 05C12, 05C07.

Received: March 14, 2012.

Revised: November 18, 2012.

 $\xi^{c}(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} deg(u)\varepsilon(u)$ [19]. The investigation of the mathematical properties of $\xi^{c}(G)$ started only recently, and has so far resulted in determining the extremal values of the invariant and the extremal graphs where those values are achieved [13][22][23], and also in a number of explicit formulae for the eccentric connectivity index of several classes of graphs [1].

The Strong product $G \boxtimes H$ of graphs G and H has the vertex set $V(G \boxtimes H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and (a, x)(b, y) is an edge of $G \boxtimes H$ if a = b and $xy \in E(H)$, or $ab \in E(G)$ and x = y, or $ab \in E(G)$ and $xy \in E(H)$. Occasionally one also encounters the names strong direct product or symmetric composition for the strong product [12]. It is worthy to mention here that [8] and [21] are the first two papers that considered the problem of distribution of a topological index over a graph operation.

Throughout this paper our notation is standard and taken mainly from [2] and [3].

2. Main results

For a connected graph G, the radius r(G) and diameter D(G) are, respectively, the minimum and maximum eccentricity among vertices of G.

Lemma 2.1. Let G and H be graphs. Then for every vertex (a, x) of $G \boxtimes H$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{G\boxtimes H}((a,x)) = max\{\varepsilon_G(a),\varepsilon_H(x)\}.$$

Proof. Let $(a, x) \in V(G \boxtimes H)$. By definition of the eccentricity,

 $\varepsilon_{G\boxtimes H}((a,x)) = max\{d_{G\boxtimes H}((a,x),(b,y)) \mid (b,y) \in V(G\boxtimes H)\}.$

On the other hand, it is well-known that $d_{G\boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) = max\{d_G(a, b), d_H(x, y)\}$ [12], and so

$$\varepsilon_{G\boxtimes H}((a,x)) = \max\{\max\{d_G(a,b)\}, \max\{d_H(x,y)\} \mid b \in V(G), y \in V(H)\}$$
$$= \max\{\varepsilon_G(a), \varepsilon_H(x)\},$$

which completes the proof.

A vertex is called odd vertex if it has odd degree.

Theorem 2.1. Let G and H be a nontrivial connected graphs. Then $G \boxtimes H$ is eulerian if and only if G and H are eulerian.

Proof. Let G and H are eulerian then clearly $G \boxtimes H$ is eulerian. Conversely, we assume that $G \boxtimes H$ is eulerian. Suppose first that one of graphs G and H is not eulerian. Without loss of generality, we may assume that G is not eulerian. Thus, G has an odd vertex u. Let x is a vertex of H. Then, $deg_{G \boxtimes H}((u, x))$ is odd. We conclude that $G \boxtimes H$ is not eulerian if one of graphs G and H is not eulerian.

Assume next that G and H are not eulerian. Then G and H have odd vertices u and x, respectively. Therefore, the vertex (u, x) of $G \boxtimes H$ has odd degree and hence $G \boxtimes H$ is not eulerian in this case, which completes the argument.

The complement or inverse of a graph G is a graph \overline{G} on the same vertices such that two vertices of \overline{G} are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G. We denote the complete graph of order n by K_n .

Theorem 2.2. Let G and H be nontrivial connected graphs. Then

$$\begin{split} W(G \boxtimes H) \geqslant (|V(G)| + 2|E(G)|)W(H) + (|V(H)| + 2|E(H)|)W(G) \\ &+ |V(G)||V(H)|(|V(G)||V(H)| - |V(G)| - |V(H)| + 1) \\ &- 2|E(G)||V(H)|(|V(H)| - 1) - 2|E(H)|(|V(G)|^2 - |V(G)| - |E(G)|) \end{split}$$

with equality if and only if $max\{D(G), D(H)\} \leq 2$, or $G \cong K_n$, or $H \cong K_n$.

Proof. Let G and H are nontrivial connected graphs. Suppose A is the set of vertexpairs (a, x), (a, y) that $a \in V(G), x \neq y$ and $x, y \in V(H)$. Then,

(2.1)
$$\sum_{(u,v)\in A} d_{G\boxtimes H}(u,v) = |V(G)|W(H).$$

Similarly, for vertex-pairs (a, x), (b, x) that $x \in V(H), a \neq b$ and $a, b \in V(G)$, we have

(2.2)
$$\sum_{\{(a,x),(b,x)\}} d_{G \boxtimes H}((a,x),(b,x)) = |V(H)|W(G).$$

On the other hand, the sum of all distances between vertex-pairs (a, x), (b, y) that $(a \neq b \in V(G) \text{ and } xy \in E(H))$ or $(x \neq y \in V(H) \text{ and } ab \in E(G))$, is equal to

(2.3)
$$2|E(G)|W(H) + 2|E(H)|W(G) - 2|E(G)||E(H)|$$

By this fact that for every vertex-pair (a, x), (b, y) of $G \boxtimes H$ such that $ab \in E(G)$ and $xy \in E(\overline{H})$, we have $d_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) \ge 2$, Equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), the result is proved.

Using similar arguments as Theorem 2.2 one can prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let G and H be nontrivial connected graphs. Then

$$\begin{split} W(G \boxtimes H) \leqslant (|V(G)| + 2|E(G)|)W(H) + (|V(H)| + 2|E(H)|)W(G) \\ &+ D\Big[\frac{|V(G)||V(H)|}{2}(|V(G)||V(H)| - |V(G)| - |V(H)| + 1) \\ &- 2|E(H)|\binom{|V(G)|}{2} - 2|E(G)|\binom{|V(H)|}{2}\Big] + 2|E(G)||E(H)|(D-1). \end{split}$$

where $D = \max\{D(G), D(H)\}$. Moreover, the upper bound is attained if and only if $D \leq 2$, or $G \cong K_n$, or $H \cong K_n$.

Theorem 2.4. Let G and H be a nontrivial connected graphs. Then

$$\begin{split} WW(G \boxtimes H) &\ge (|V(G)| + 2|E(G)|)WW(H) + (|V(H)| + 2|E(H)|)WW(G) \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}|V(G)||V(H)|(|V(G)||V(H)| - |V(G)| - |V(H)| + 1) \\ &- 3|E(G)||V(H)|(|V(H)| - 1) - 3|E(H)|(|V(G)|^2 - |V(G)| \\ &- \frac{4}{3}|E(G)|). \end{split}$$

with equality if and only if $max\{D(G), D(H)\} \leq 2$, or $G \cong K_n$, or $H \cong K_n$.

Proof. We split the vertex-pair set of $G \boxtimes H$ into subsets

$$\begin{split} A &= \{\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \mid a = b \in V(G) \text{ and } x \neq y, x, y \in V(H)\}, \\ B &= \{\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \mid a \neq b, a, b \in V(G) \text{ and } x = y \in V(H)\}, \\ C &= \{\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \mid x \neq y, x, y \in V(H) \text{ and } ab \in E(G)\}, \\ D &= \{\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \mid a \neq b, a, b \in V(G) \text{ and } xy \in E(H)\}, \\ E &= \{\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \mid ab \in E(\bar{G}) \text{ and } xy \in E(\bar{H})\}. \end{split}$$

It follows from the edge structure of $G \boxtimes H$ that, if $\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \in A \cup C$ then

$$d_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) + d_{G \boxtimes H}^2((a, x), (b, y)) = d_H(x, y) + d_H^2(x, y),$$

if $\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \in B \cup D$ then

$$d_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) + d_{G \boxtimes H}^2((a, x), (b, y)) = d_G(a, b) + d_G^2(a, b),$$

and if $\{(a, x), (b, y)\} \in E$ then

$$d_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) + d^2_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) \ge 6.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} WW(G \boxtimes H) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{u,v\} \in A \cup D} (d_{G \boxtimes H}(u,v) + d_{G \boxtimes H}^2(u,v)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{u,v\} \in B \cup C} (d_{G \boxtimes H}(u,v) + d_{G \boxtimes H}^2(u,v)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} (d_{G \boxtimes H}(u,v) + d_{G \boxtimes H}^2(u,v)) \\ &= (|V(G)| + 2|E(G)|)WW(H) \\ &+ (|V(H)| + 2|E(H)|)WW(G) - 2|E(G)||E(H)| \\ &+ \sum_{ab \in E(\bar{G})} \sum_{xy \in E(\bar{H})} (d_{G \boxtimes H}((a,x),(b,y)) + d_{G \boxtimes H}^2((a,x),(b,y))). \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{ab \in E(\bar{G})} \sum_{xy \in E(\bar{H})} (d_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) + d_{G \boxtimes H}^2((a, x), (b, y))) \\ \geqslant \frac{3}{2} |V(G)| |V(H)| (|V(G)||V(H)| - |V(G)| - |V(H)| + 1) \\ - 3|E(G)||V(H)| (|V(H)| - 1) - 3|E(H)||V(G)|(|V(G)| - 1) \\ + 6|E(G)||E(H)|, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof.

$$\begin{split} \text{Theorem 2.5. Let } G \ and \ H \ be \ nontrivial \ connected \ graphs. \ Then \\ WW(G \boxtimes H) \leqslant (|V(G)| + 2|E(G)|)WW(H) + (|V(H)| + 2|E(H)|)WW(G) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}D(D+1)\Big[\frac{|V(G)||V(H)|}{2}(|V(G)||V(H)| - |V(G)| - |V(H)| + 1) \\ &\quad - 2|E(H)|\binom{|V(G)|}{2} - 2|E(G)|\binom{|V(H)|}{2}\Big] \\ &\quad + |E(G)||E(H)|(D^2 + D - 2), \end{split}$$

where $D = \max\{D(G), D(H)\}$. Moreover, the upper bound is attained if and only if $D \leq 2$, or $G \cong K_n$, or $H \cong K_n$.

Proof. Using a similar argument as in Theorem 2.4, and the fact that for every $ab \in E(\bar{G})$ and $xy \in E(\bar{H}), d_{G \boxtimes H}((a, x), (b, y)) \leq D$, we obtain the result. \Box

The first Zagreb index of a graph G is defined as $M_1(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} deg^2(v)$ and the second Zagreb of G is given by $M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} deg(u) deg(v)$, see [18][14] for details.

Theorem 2.6. For every graph G and H, we have

$$M_1(G \boxtimes H) = (|V(H)| + 4|E(H)|)M_1(G) + (|V(G)| + 4|E(G)|)M_1(H) + M_1(G)M_1(H) + 8|E(G)||E(H)|.$$

Proof. It follows from the edge structure of $G \boxtimes H$ that, for each vertex $(a, x) \in E(G \boxtimes H)$, we have

$$deg_{G\boxtimes H}((a,x)) = deg_G(a) + deg_H(x) + deg_G(a)deg_H(x),$$

as desired.

Theorem 2.7. For every graph G and H, we have

$$\begin{split} M_2(G \boxtimes H) &= 3|E(H)|M_1(G) + 3|E(G)|M_1(H) \\ &+ 3M_1(G)M_1(H) + 2M_2(G)M_2(H) \\ &+ (6|E(H)| + 3M_1(H) + |V(H)|)M_2(G) \\ &+ (6|E(G)| + 3M_1(G) + |V(G)|)M_2(H). \end{split}$$

Proof. Consider the following partition of $E(G \boxtimes H)$

$$A = \{(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \boxtimes H) \mid ab \in E(G) \text{ and } xy \in E(H)\},\$$

$$B = \{(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \boxtimes H) \mid a = b \in V(G) \text{ and } xy \in E(H)\},\$$

$$B = \{(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \boxtimes H) \mid a = b \in V(G) \text{ and } xy \in E(H)\},\$$

$$C = \{(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \boxtimes H) \mid ab \in E(G) \text{ and } x = y \in V(H)\}.$$

$$C = \{(a, x)(b, y) \in E(G \boxtimes H) \mid ab \in E(G) \text{ and } x = y \in V(H)\}$$

The sum of $deg_{G \boxtimes H}(u) deg_{G \boxtimes H}(v)$ over all edges of A, is equal to

 $(2.4) \ 2(|E(H)| + M_1(H) + M_2(H))M_2(G) + 2(|E(G)| + M_1(G))M_2(H) + M_1(G)M_1(H).$

On the other hand, the summation of $deg_{G\boxtimes H}(u)deg_{G\boxtimes H}(v)$ over all edges of B, is equal to

 $(2.5) |E(H)|M_1(G) + (2|E(G)| + M_1(G))M_1(H) + (|V(G)| + 4|E(G)| + M_1(G))M_2(H),$ and finally, summing $deg_{G\boxtimes H}(u)deg_{G\boxtimes H}(v)$ over all edges of C we arrive at

$$|E(G)|M_1(H) + (2|E(H)| + M_1(H))M_1(G) + (|V(H)| + 4|E(H)| + M_1(H))M_2(G).$$

Now, by summation of (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), the result can be proved.

A connected graph is called a self-centered graph if all of its vertices have the same eccentricity [3]. Then a connected graph G is self-centered if and only if r(G) = D(G).

Theorem 2.8. Let G and H be self-centered graphs that $D(H) \leq D(G)$. Then $\xi(G \boxtimes H) = 2r(G)(|E(G)||V(H)| + |E(H)||V(G)| + 2|E(G)||E(H)|).$

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that

$$|E(G \boxtimes H)| = |E(G)||V(H)| + |E(H)||V(G)| + 2|E(G)||E(H)|.$$

Acknowledgment: The research of the third author is partially supported by the University of Kashan under Grant No. 159020/37.

References

- [1] A. R. Ashrafi, M. Saheli, M. Ghorbani, The eccentric connectivity index of nanotubes and nanotori, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 235 (2011) 4561-4566.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 244, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [3] F. Buckley, F. Harary, Distance in Graphs, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, New York, 1989.
- [4] G. G. Cash, Relationship between the Hosoya polynomial and the Hyper-Wiener index, Appl. Math. Lett. 15 (2002) 893–895.
- [5] G. G. Cash, Polynomial expressions for the hyper-Wiener index of extended hydrocarbon networks, Comput. Chem. 25 (2001) 577–582.
- [6] A. A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer, I. Gutman, Wiener index of trees: Theory and applications, Acta Appl. Math. 66 (2001) 211–249.

(2.6)

- [7] A. A. Dobrynin, I. Gutman, S. Klavžar, P. Zigert, Wiener index of hexagonal systems, Acta Appl. Math. 72 (2002) 247–294.
- [8] A. Graovac, T. Pisanski, On the Wiener index of a graph, J. Math. Chem. 8 (1991) 53–62.
- [9] I. Gutman, S. Klavžar, B. Mohar (Eds.), Fifty years of the Wiener index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 35 (1997), 1–259.
- [10] I. Gutman, S. Klavžar, B. Mohar (Eds.), Fiftieth anniversary of the Wiener index, Discrete Appl. Math. 80 (1) (1997), 1–113.
- [11] I. Gutman, Relation between hyper-Wiener and Wiener index, Chem. Phys. Lett. 364 (2002) 352–356.
- [12] R. Hammack, W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, Handbook of Product Graphs, Second edition, Taylor & Francis Group, 2011.
- [13] A. Ilić, I. Gutman, Eccentric connectivity index of chemical trees, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 65 (2011) 731–744.
- [14] M.H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A.R. Ashrafi, The first and second Zagreb indices of graph operations, Discrete Appl. Math. 157 (2009) 804–811.
- [15] S. Klavžar, P. Zigert, I. Gutman, An algorithm for the calculation of the hyper-Wiener index of benzenoid hydrocarbons, Comput. Chem. 24 (2000) 229–233.
- [16] S. Klavžar, I. Gutman, A theorem on Wiener-type invariants for isometric subgraphs of hypercubes, Appl. Math. Lett. 19 (2006) 1129–1133.
- [17] D. J. Klein, I. Lukovits, I. Gutman, On the definition of the hyper-Wiener index for cyclecontaining structures, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 35 (1995) 50–52.
- [18] S. Nikolić, G. Kovačević, A. Miličević, N. Trinajstić, *The Zagreb Indices 30 Years After*, Croat. Chem. Acta **76** (2003) 113–124.
- [19] V. Sharma, R. Goswami, A. K. Madan, Eccentric connectivity index: a novel highly discriminating topological descriptor for structure property and structure activity studies, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 37 (1997) 273–282.
- [20] H. Wiener, Structural determination of the paraffin boiling points, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 69 (1947) 17–20.
- [21] Y. N. Yeh, I. Gutman, On the sum of all distances in composite graphs, Discrete Math. 135 (1994) 359–365.
- [22] G. Yu, L. Feng, A. Ilić, On the eccentric distance sum of trees and unicyclic graphs, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 375 (2011) 99–107.
- [23] B. Zhou, Z. Du, On eccentric connectivity index, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 63 (2010) 181–198.
- [24] B. Zhou, I. Gutman, Relations between Wiener, hyper-Wiener and Zagreb indices, Chem. Phys. Lett. 394 (2004) 93–95.

¹Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P. O. Box 1159, Mashhad 91775, I. R. Iran

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science University of Kashan, Kashan 87317-51167, I. R. Iran