KRAGUJEVAC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS VOLUME 44(3) (2020), PAGES 443–458.

CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE COSINE SERIES

KARANVIR SINGH¹ AND KANAK $MODI^2$

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider double cosine series whose coefficients form a null sequence of bounded variation of order (p, 0), (0, p) and (p, p) with the weight $(jk)^{p-1}$ for some p > 1. We study pointwise convergence, uniform convergence and convergence in L^r -norm of the series under consideration. In a certain sense our results extend the results of Young [7], Kolmogorov [3] and Móricz [4,5].

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the double cosine series

(1.1)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j \lambda_k a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky,$$

on positive quadrant $T = [0, \pi] \times [0, \pi]$ of the two dimensional torus where $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda_j = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

The rectangular partial sums $S_{mn}(x, y)$ and the *Cesàro* means $\sigma_{mn}(x, y)$ of the series (1.1) are defined as

$$S_{mn}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_j \lambda_k a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky,$$

$$\sigma_{mn}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(m+1)(n+1)} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} S_{jk}(x,y), \quad m,n > 0,$$

Key words and phrases. Rectangular partial sums, L^r -convergence, Cesaro means, monotone sequences.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 42A20, 42A32.

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2003.443S

Received: September 17, 2017.

Accepted: June 05, 2018.

and for $\lambda > 1$, the truncated Cesáro means are defined by

$$V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x,y) = \frac{1}{([\lambda m] - m)([\lambda n] - n)} \sum_{j=m+1}^{[\lambda m]} \sum_{k=n+1}^{[\lambda n]} S_{jk}(x,y).$$

Now assuming the coefficients $\{a_{jk} : j, k \ge 0\}$ in (1.1) be a double sequence of real numbers which satisfy the following conditions for some positive integer p:

(1.2)
$$|a_{jk}|(jk)^{p-1} \to 0 \text{ as } \max\{j,k\} \to \infty,$$

(1.3)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| (jk)^{p-1} = 0,$$

(1.4)
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| (jk)^{p-1} = 0,$$

(1.5)
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| (jk)^{p-1} < \infty.$$

The finite order differences $\triangle_{pq}a_{jk}$ are defined by

$$\begin{split} & \bigtriangleup_{00} a_{jk} = a_{jk}, \\ & \bigtriangleup_{pq} a_{jk} = \bigtriangleup_{p-1,q} a_{jk} - \bigtriangleup_{p-1,q} a_{j+1,k}, \quad p \ge 1, q \ge 0, \\ & \bigtriangleup_{pq} a_{jk} = \bigtriangleup_{p,q-1} a_{jk} - \bigtriangleup_{p,q-1} a_{j,k+1}, \quad p \ge 0, q \ge 1. \end{split}$$

Also a double induction argument gives

$$\triangle_{pq} a_{jk} = \sum_{s=0}^{p} \sum_{t=0}^{q} (-1)^{s+t} \binom{p}{s} \binom{q}{t} a_{j+s, k+t}.$$

We can call the above mentioned conditions (1.2)-(1.5) as conditions of bounded variation of order (p, 0), (0, p) and (p, p) respectively with the weight $(jk)^{p-1}$. Obviously these conditions generalise the concept of monotone sequences. Also any sequence satisfying (1.5) with p = 2 is called a quasi-convex sequence [3,5]. Clearly the conditions (1.3) and (1.4) can be derived from (1.2) and (1.5) for p = 1 and moreover for p = 1, the conditions (1.2) and (1.5) reduce to $|a_{jk}| \to 0$ as $\max\{j,k\} \to \infty$ and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\triangle_{11}a_{jk}|<\infty.$$

Generally the pointwise convergence of the series (1.1) is defined in Pringsheim's sense ([8], Vol. 2, Ch. 17) which means that the rectangular partial sums of the type

$$S_{mn}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_j \lambda_k a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky, \quad m,n \ge 0,$$

are formed and then by taking both m, n tend to ∞ (independently of one another) the limit f(x, y) (provided it exists) is assigned to the series (1.1) as its sum.

Also let $||f||_r$ denotes the $L^r(T^2)$ -norm, i.e,

$$||f||_r = \left(\int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |f(x,y)|^r dx dy\right)^{1/r}, \quad 1 \le r < \infty$$

and ||f|| denotes $L^1(T^2)$ -norm, i.e,

$$||f|| = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} |f(x,y)| \, dx \, dy.$$

In this paper, we will investigate the validity of the following statements:

- (a) $S_{mn}(x, y)$ converges pointwise to f(x, y) for every $(x, y) \in T^2$;
- (b) $S_{mn}(x, y)$ converges uniformly to f(x, y) on T^2 ;
- (c) $||S_{mn}(x,y) f(x,y)||_r = o(1) \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to 0.$

Such type of problems have been studied by Young [7] and Kolmogorov [3] for onedimensional case (single trigonometric series especially cosine series) and by Móricz [4, 5] and K. Kaur, Bhatia and Ram [2] for double trigonometric series. In [5], Móricz studied both double cosine series and double sine series as far as their integrability and convergence in L^1 -norm is concerned where as in [4] he studied double trigonometric series of the form

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}c_{jk}e^{i(jx+ky)},$$

under coefficients of bounded variation. All of them discussed the case for p = 1 or p = 2 only. Our aim in this paper is to extend the above results from p = 1 to general cases for double cosine series.

In the results, C_p and C_{pr} denote constants which may not be the same at each occurrence. Also we write $\lambda_n = [\lambda n]$ where n is a positive integer, $\lambda > 1$ is a real number and $[\cdot]$ means greatest integral part.

The first main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that conditions (1.2)–(1.5) are satisfied for some $p \ge 1$, then

- (i) $S_{mn}(x,y)$ converges pointwise to f(x,y) for every $(x,y) \in T^2$ such that x, y > 0;
- (ii) $||S_{mn}(x,y) f(x,y)||_r = o(1)$ as $\min\{m,n\} \to \infty, 1 \le r < \infty$.

The above theorem has been proved by Móricz [4,5] for p = 1 and p = 2 using suitable estimates for Dirichlet's kernel $D_j(x)$ and Fejér kernel $K_j(x)$. In the case of a single series for p = 2, the results regarding convergence have been proved by Kolmogorov [3].

Obviously, condition (1.5) implies any of the following conditions:

(1.6)
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| (jk)^{p-1} = 0,$$

(1.7)
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| (jk)^{p-1} = 0.$$

We introduce the following three sums for $m, n \ge 0$ and $\lambda > 1$:

$$\sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky,$$

$$\sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky,$$

$$\sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky$$

and we have

$$\sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_m - m)} \sum_{u=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \left(\sum_{01}^{\lambda} (u, n; x, y) - \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y) \right),$$
$$\sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_n - n)} \sum_{v=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \left(\sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m, v; x, y) - \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y) \right).$$

This implies

(1.8)
$$\sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y) \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 2 \sup_{\substack{m \leq u \leq \lambda_m \\ n \leq v \leq \lambda_n}} \left(\left| \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (u, n; x, y) \right| \right) \\ 2 \sup_{\substack{n \leq v \leq \lambda_n \\ n \leq v \leq \lambda_n}} \left(\left| \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m, v; x, y) \right| \right) \end{array} \right\}.$$

The second result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. (i) Let $E \subset T^2$. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1.9)
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} \left| \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right) = 0,$$

(1.10)
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} \left| \sum_{0}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right) = 0.$$

If $V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x,y)$ converges uniformly to f(x,y) on $E \subset T^2$ as $\min\{m,n\} \to \infty$ (that is, in the unrestricted sense), then so does S_{mn} .

(ii) Assume that the following conditions are satisfied for some $r \ge 1$:

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim_{m,n \to \infty}} \left(\|\sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y)\|_r \right) = 0,$$

(1.11)
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\left\| \sum_{0,1}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right\|_r \right) = 0.$$

If $||V_{mn}^{\lambda} - f||_r \to 0$ unrestictedly then $||S_{mn} - f||_r \to 0$ as $\min\{m, n\} \to \infty$.

We will also prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. Assume that the conditions (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.6)-(1.7) are satisfied for some $p \ge 1$, then

- (i) if $V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x,y)$ converges uniformly to f(x,y) as $\min\{m,n\} \to \infty$, then so does S_{mn} ;
- (ii) if $||V_{mn}^{\lambda} f||_r \longrightarrow 0$ unrestictedly for some r with $1 \leq r < \infty$, then $||S_{mn} f||_r \longrightarrow 0$ as $\min\{m, n\} \to \infty$.

2. NOTATION AND FORMULAS

We define for every $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ the sequence $S_0^{\alpha}, S_1^{\alpha}, S_2^{\alpha}, \ldots$ by the conditions

$$S_n^0 = S_n, \quad S_n^\alpha = \sum_{u=0}^n S_u^{\alpha - 1}, \quad \alpha \ge 1$$

and

$$A_n^0 = 1, \quad A_n^\alpha = \sum_{u=0}^n A_u^{\alpha - 1}, \quad \alpha \ge 1,$$

denotes binomial coefficients. Also

$$A_n^{\alpha} = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} \simeq \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}, \quad \alpha \neq -1, -2, -3, \dots$$

The Cesàro means T_n^{α} of order α of $\sum a_n$ will be defined by $T_n^{\alpha} = \frac{S_n^{\alpha}}{A_n^{\alpha}}$ and also it is known [8] that $\int_0^{\pi} |T_n^{\alpha}(x)| dx$, $\alpha > 0$, is bounded for all n.

3. Lemmas

We require the following lemmas for the proof of our results.

Lemma 3.1. For $m, n \ge 0$ and p > 1, the following representation holds:

$$S_{mn}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_j \lambda_k a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky$$

= $\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_n^t(y)$
+ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_m^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_m^s(x) S_n^t(y).$

Lemma 3.2 ([1]). For $m, n \ge 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, the following representation holds:

$$S_{mn} - \sigma_{mn} = \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{m, \lambda_n} + \sigma_{mn}) + \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{mn}) + \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{mn}) - \sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y) - \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y) - \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y)$$

Lemma 3.3. For $m, n \ge 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, we have the following representation:

$$V_{mn}^{\lambda} - S_{mn} = \sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y) + \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y) + \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m, n, x, y).$$

Proof. We have

$$V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_m - m)(\lambda_n - n)} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} S_{jk}(x,y).$$

Performing double summation by parts, we have

$$\begin{split} V_{mn}^{\lambda} = & \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} - \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{\lambda_m, n} \\ & - \frac{m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{m, \lambda_n} + \frac{m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \sigma_{mn} \\ = & \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{m, \lambda_n} + \sigma_{mn}) \\ & + \frac{\lambda_m + 1}{\lambda_m - m} (\sigma_{\lambda_m, n} - \sigma_{mn}) + \frac{\lambda_n + 1}{\lambda_n - n} (\sigma_{m, \lambda_n} - \sigma_{mn}) + \sigma_{mn}. \end{split}$$

The use of Lemma 3.2, gives

$$V_{mn}^{\lambda} - S_{mn} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky$$
$$+ \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky$$
$$+ \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky.$$

Lemma 3.4. For $m, n \ge 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, we have the following representation:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky \\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \bigtriangleup_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \\ &+ \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_m - j + 1}{\lambda_m - m} \bigtriangleup_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_n^t(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_m - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \bigtriangleup_{sp} a_{j+1,k} S_j^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_m - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_m} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^n \bigtriangleup_{st} a_{j+1,n+1} S_j^s(x) S_n^t(y) \end{split}$$

$$-\sum_{s=0}^{p-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n} \triangle_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_m^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) -\sum_{s=0}^{p-1}\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_m^s(x) S_n^t(y).$$

Proof. We have by summation by parts,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=0}^{n} (m,n;x,y) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \cos ky \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_{m}} \frac{\lambda_{m} - j + 1}{\lambda_{m} - m} a_{jk} \cos jx \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \cos ky \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_{m}} \frac{\lambda_{m} - j + 1}{\lambda_{m} - m} \bigtriangleup_{p0} a_{jk} S_{j}^{p-1}(x) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_{m} - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_{m}} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \bigtriangleup_{s0} a_{j+1,k} S_{j}^{s}(x) - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \bigtriangleup_{s0} a_{m+1,k} S_{m}^{s}(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_{m}} \frac{\lambda_{m} - j + 1}{\lambda_{m} - m} S_{j}^{p-1}(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \bigtriangleup_{p0} a_{jk} \cos ky \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_{m} - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \bigtriangleup_{s0} a_{j+1,k} \cos ky \right) S_{j}^{s}(x) \\ &- \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \bigtriangleup_{s0} a_{m+1,k} \cos ky \right) S_{m}^{s}(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_{m}} \frac{\lambda_{m} - j + 1}{\lambda_{m} - m} S_{j}^{p-1}(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \bigtriangleup_{pp} a_{jk} S_{k}^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \bigtriangleup_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_{n}^{t}(y) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_{m} - m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{\lambda_{m}} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \bigtriangleup_{sp} a_{j+1,k} S_{k}^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \bigtriangleup_{st} a_{j+1,n+1} S_{n}^{t}(y) \right) S_{j}^{s}(x) \\ &- \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \bigtriangleup_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_{k}^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \bigtriangleup_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_{n}^{t}(y) \right) S_{m}^{s}(x). \end{split}$$

Similarly we can have representation for $\sum_{01}^{\lambda}(m,n;x,y)$.

4. Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $m, n \ge 0$ and p > 1, we have from Lemma 3.1,

$$S_{mn}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \triangle_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_n^t(y) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_m^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) + \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_m^s(x) S_n^t(y)$$

$$=\sum_{1} + \sum_{2} + \sum_{3} + \sum_{4}$$

Using the results as given in [6] that $S_j^p(x) = O\left(\frac{1}{x^p}\right)$, for all $p \ge 2, \ 0 < x \le \pi$, etc, we have for $0 < x, y \le \pi$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y)| < \infty \quad (by \ (1.2))$$

and also by (1.3)-(1.5), we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{pt} a_{j,n+1} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^{t} \binom{t}{v} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} |\triangle_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| \right)$$
$$\leq \sup_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^{m} |\triangle_{p0} a_{jk}|$$
$$\leq \sup_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^{m} |\triangle_{p0} a_{jk}| \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m, n\} \to \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_n^t(y) \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty.$$

And similarly

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \bigtriangleup_{sp} a_{m+1,k} \leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{s} \binom{s}{u} (\sum_{k=0}^{n} |\bigtriangleup_{0p} a_{m+u+1,k}|)$$
$$\leq \sup_{m < j \le m+p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\bigtriangleup_{0p} a_{jk}|$$
$$\leq \sup_{m < j \le m+p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\bigtriangleup_{0p} a_{jk}| \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_m^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \to 0,$$

as $\min\{m, n\} \to \infty$. Also

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} \le \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{s} \sum_{v=0}^{t} \binom{s}{u} \binom{t}{v} |\triangle_{00} a_{m+u+1,n+v+1}| \le \sup_{j>m,k>n} |a_{jk}| \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty.$$

So,

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_m^s(x) S_n^t(y) \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty.$$

Consequently, series (1.1) converges to the function f(x, y) where

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \triangle_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \text{ and } \lim_{m,n\to\infty} S_{mn}(x,y) = f(x,y).$$

Now we will calculate $\|\sum_1\|$, $\|\sum_2\|$, $\|\sum_3\|$ and $\|\sum_4\|$ in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{1}\right\| &= \left\|\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} S_{j}^{p-1}(x) S_{k}^{p-1}(y)\right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| A_{j}^{p-1} A_{k}^{p-1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} |T_{j}^{p-1}(x) T_{k}^{p-1}(y)| dx dy \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| A_{j}^{p-1} A_{k}^{p-1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} |T_{j}^{p-1}(x) T_{k}^{p-1}(y)| dx dy \\ &\leq C_{p} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}, \\ \left\|\sum_{2}\right\| &= \left\|\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_{j}^{p-1}(x) S_{n}^{t}(y)\right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^{t} \left(\frac{t}{v}\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}|\right) A_{j}^{p-1} A_{n}^{t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |T_{j}^{p-1}(x) T_{n}^{t}(y)| dx dy \\ &\leq C_{p} \sup_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^{m} |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} n^{t}\right) \\ &\leq C_{p} \sup_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^{m} |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}, \\ \left\|\sum_{3}\right\| &= \left\|\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_{m}^{s}(x) S_{k}^{p-1}(y)\right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{s} \left(\frac{s}{u}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| k^{p-1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} m^{s}\right) \\ &\leq C_{p} \sup_{m < j \le m+p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| k^{p-1} \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} m^{s}\right) \\ &\leq C_{p} \sup_{m < j \le m+p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}, \\ \left\|\sum_{4}\right\| &= \left\|\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_{m}^{s}(x) S_{n}^{t}(y)\right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^{s} \sum_{v=0}^{t} \binom{s}{u} \binom{t}{v} |\Delta_{00}a_{m+u+1,n+v+1}| A_m^s A_n^t \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} |T_m^s(x)T_n^t(y)| dxdy$$

$$\leq C_p \sup_{j>m,k>n} |a_{jk}| \ j^{p-1}k^{p-1}.$$

Now let R_{mn} consists of all (j,k) with j > m or k > n, that is,

$$\sum_{(j,k)\in R_{mn}} = \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} .$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \|f - S_{mn}\|_{r} &= \left(\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} |f(x,y) - S_{mn}(x,y)|^{r} \, dx dy\right)^{1/r}, \quad 1 \leq r < \infty, \\ &\leq \left\|\sum_{(j,k)} \sum_{\in R_{mn}} \Delta_{pp} a_{jk} S_{j}^{p-1}(x) S_{k}^{p-1}(y)\right\|_{r} \\ &+ \left\|\sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_{j}^{p-1}(x) S_{n}^{t}(y)\right\|_{r} \\ &+ \left\|\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_{m}^{s}(x) S_{k}^{p-1}(y)\right\|_{r} \\ &+ \left\|\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_{m}^{s}(x) S_{n}^{t}(y)\right\|_{r} \\ &+ \left\|\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \Delta_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_{m}^{s}(x) S_{n}^{t}(y)\right\|_{r} \\ &\leq C_{pr} \left\{ \left(\sum_{(j,k)\in R_{mn}} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| \, j^{p-1} k^{p-1}\right) \\ &+ \left(\sup_{n< k \leq n+p} \sum_{j=0}^{n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| \, j^{p-1} k^{p-1}\right) \\ &+ \left(\sup_{m < j \leq m+p} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| \, j^{p-1} k^{p-1}\right) \\ &+ \left(\sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| \, j^{p-1} k^{p-1}\right) \right\} \quad (\text{as discussed above }) \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as } \min\{m, n\} \to \infty \quad (\text{by } (1.2) \cdot (1.5)), \end{split}$$

which proves (ii) part.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using the relation (1.8), we find that (1.9) or (1.10) implies

(4.1)
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} \left| \sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right) = 0.$$

Assume that $V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x,y)$ converges uniformly on E to f(x,y). Then by Lemma 3.3, we get

$$\frac{\lim_{m,n\to\infty} \left(\left| \sup_{(x,y)\in E} \left(S_{mn}(x,y) - V_{mn}^{\lambda}(x,y) \right) \right| \right) \leq \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y)\in E} \left| \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right) \\
+ \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y)\in E} \left| \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right) \\
+ \lim_{m,n\to\infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y)\in E} \left| \sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right).$$

After taking $\lambda \downarrow 1$ the result follows from (1.9), (1.10) and (4.1).

For (ii) part of theorem, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{11}^{\lambda}(m,n;x,y)\right\|_{r} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_{m}-m}\sum_{u=m+1}^{\lambda_{m}}\left(\left\|\sum_{01}^{\lambda}(u,n;x,y)\right\|_{r} + \left\|\sum_{01}^{\lambda}(m,n;x,y)\right\|_{r}\right)\\ &\leq 2\left(\sup_{m\leq u\leq \lambda_{m}}\left(\left\|\sum_{01}^{\lambda}(u,n;x,y)\right\|_{r}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Thus (1.11) implies

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \left\| \overline{\lim_{m,n \to \infty}} \right\| \sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right\|_{r} = 0.$$

Thus, the result of Theorem 1.2 (ii) follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Using the Lemma 3.4, we can write the expression for $\sum_{01}^{\lambda}(m,n;x,y)$ as

$$\begin{split} \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} a_{jk} \cos jx \cos ky \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \bigtriangleup_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \\ &+ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \bigtriangleup_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_m^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \bigtriangleup_{pt} a_{j,k+1} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^t(y) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_n - n} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \bigtriangleup_{st} a_{m+1,k+1} S_m^s(x) S_k^t(y) \\ &- \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \bigtriangleup_{pt} a_{j,n+1} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_n^t(y) \end{split}$$

$$-\sum_{s=0}^{p-1}\sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \triangle_{st} a_{m+1,n+1} S_m^s(x) S_n^t(y)$$

= $I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6.$

Now by using (1.2)–(1.4) and (1.6) along with estimates of $S_j^{p-1}(x)$ etc., as mentioned in [6], we have the following estimates in brief:

$$|I_1| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \triangle_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \left| \triangle_{pp} a_{jk} \right|$$
$$\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \min\{m, n\} \to \infty.$$

Consequently, $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |I_1| \right) \to 0$ as $\min\{m,n\} \to \infty$. Also,

$$|I_2| = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_m^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \right|$$
$$\leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \binom{s}{u} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{m+u+1,k}|$$
$$\leq \sup_{m < j \le m+p} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| \to 0 \quad \text{as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty$$

So, $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |I_2| \right) \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty. \text{ Also,}$ $|I_3| \leq \sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+1}|$ $\leq \sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+v+1}|$ $\leq \sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty,$

which implies $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |I_3| \right) \to 0$ as $\min\{m,n\} \to \infty$. Now, $|I_4| \leq \sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} |\Delta_{st} a_{m+1,k+1}|$ $\leq \sup_{j > m,k > n} |a_{jk}| \to 0$ as $\min\{m,n\} \to \infty$.

Thus
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |I_4| \right) \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty. \text{ Also,}$$
$$|I_5| \leq \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{t}{v} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| \leq \sup_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty,$$
which implies
$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |I_5| \right) \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty. \text{ Also,}$$
$$|I_6| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \sum_{v=0}^t \binom{s}{u} \binom{t}{v} |\Delta_{00} a_{m+u+1,n+v+1}|$$
$$\leq \sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} |I_6| \right) \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m,n\} \to \infty.$$

Thus, combining all these, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \ \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} \left| \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right) = 0.$$

Similarly (1.2)–(1.4) and (1.7) results in

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{(x,y) \in E} \left| \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right| \right) = 0.$$

Thus, first part of theorem follows from Theorem 1.2. **Proof of (ii).** We have

$$||S_{mn} - f||_r \le ||S_{mn} - V_{mn}^{\lambda}||_r + ||V_{mn}^{\lambda} - f||_r$$

By assumption $||V_{mn}^{\lambda} - f||_r \to 0$, so it is sufficient to show that

$$||S_{mn} - V_{mn}^{\lambda}||_r \to 0 \text{ as } \min\{m, n\} \to \infty$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$||S_{mn} - V_{mn}^{\lambda}||_{r} \leq ||\sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y)||_{r} + ||\sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y)||_{r} + ||\sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y)||_{r}.$$

Now in order to estimate $\|\sum_{01}^{\lambda}(m,n;x,y)\|_r$, we first find $\|I_1\|$, $\|I_2\|$, $\|I_3\|$, $\|I_4\|$, $\|I_5\|$ and $\|I_6\|$, so we have

$$\|I_1\| = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \triangle_{pp} a_{jk} S_j^{p-1}(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \right\|$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \triangle_{pp} a_{jk} A_j^{p-1} A_k^{p-1} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |T_j^{p-1}(x) T_k^{p-1}(y)| dxdy$$

$$\begin{split} &\leq C_p \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}, \\ \|I_2\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{\lambda_n - k + 1}{\lambda_n - n} \Delta_{sp} a_{m+1,k} S_m^s(x) S_k^{p-1}(y) \right\| \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{u=0}^s \left(\sum_{u}^s \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{m+u+1,k}| k^{p-1} m^s \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{m < j \le m+p} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| k^{p-1} \right) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} m^s \right) \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{m < j \le m+p} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_n} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| k^{p-1}, \\ \|I_3\| &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+1}| j^{p-1} k^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=0}^t \left(\sum_{v}^t \right) \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{pt} a_{j,k+v+1}| j^{p-1} k^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p-1} |\Delta_{st} a_{m+1,k+1}| m^s k^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{j > m, k > n} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1}, \\ \|I_4\| &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sup_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \ge n+p} \sum_{j=0}^n |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \ge n+p} \sum_{j=0}^n |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \le n+p} \sum_{j=0}^n |\Delta_{p0} a_{j,n+v+1}| j^{p-1} n^t \\ &\leq C_p \sum_{n < k \ge n+p} \sum_{j < n+q} \sum_{j < n+$$

Thus, we can estimate

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sum_{01}^{\lambda} (m, n; x, y) \right\|_{r} \leq C_{pr} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{\lambda_{n} - k + 1}{\lambda_{n} - n} |\Delta_{pp} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \\ + C_{pr} \left(\sup_{m < j \le m+p} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\lambda_{n}} |\Delta_{0p} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \end{split}$$

$$+ C_{pr} \left(\sup_{n < k \le \lambda_n + p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \\
+ C_{pr} \left(\sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \\
+ C_{pr} \left(\sup_{n < k \le n + p} \sum_{j=0}^m |\Delta_{p0} a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right) \\
+ C_{pr} \left(\sup_{j > m, k > n} |a_{jk}| j^{p-1} k^{p-1} \right).$$

By (1.2)–(1.4) and (1.6), we conclude that

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \ \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \left(\left\| \sum_{0,1}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right\|_r \right) = 0.$$

Similarly, by conditions (1.2)-(1.4) and (1.7), we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim_{m,n \to \infty}} \left(\left\| \sum_{10}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \right\|_r \right) = 0.$$

Also, by (1.8), we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \overline{\lim_{m,n \to \infty}} \Big(\| \sum_{11}^{\lambda} (m,n;x,y) \|_r \Big) = 0.$$

Thus, $||S_{mn} - V_{mn}^{\lambda}||_r \to 0$ as $\min\{m, n\} \to \infty$.

Acknowledgements. The authors are very thankful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

References

- C. P. Chen and Y. W. Chauang, L¹-convergence of double Fourier series, Chin. J. Math. 19(4) (1991), 391–410.
- [2] K. Kaur, S. S. Bhatia and B. Ram, L¹-Convergence of complex double trigonometric series, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 113(3) (2003), 1–9.
- [3] A. N. Kolmogorov, Sur l'ordre de grandeur des coefficients de la s

 érie de Fourier-Lebesque, Bulletin L'Academie Polonaise des Science (1923), 83–86.
- [4] F. Móricz, Convergence and integrability of double trigonometric series with coefficients of bounded variation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 633–640.
- [5] F. Móricz, On the integrability and L¹-convergence of double trigonometric series, Studia Math. (1991), 203–225.
- [6] T. M. Vukolova, Certain properties of trigonometric series with monotone coefficients (English, Russian original), Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 39(6) (1984), 24–30, translation from Vestnik Moskov. Univ. 1(6) (1984), 18–23.
- [7] W. H. Young, On the Fourier series of bounded functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 12(2) (1913), 41–70.
- [8] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Vols. I, II, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1959.

¹DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GZS CAMPUS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, MAHARAJA RANJIT SINGH PUNJAB TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY BATHINDA, PUNJAB, INDIA Email address: karanvir@mrsptu.ac.in

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMITY UNIVERSITY OF RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR, INDIA *Email address*: kmodi@jpr.amity.edu