

THE $\bar{\partial}$ -CAUCHY PROBLEM ON WEAKLY q -CONVEX DOMAINS IN $\mathbb{C}P^n$

SAYED SABER^{1,2}

ABSTRACT. Let D be a weakly q -convex domain in the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$. In this paper, the (weighted) $\bar{\partial}$ -Cauchy problem with support conditions in D is studied. Specifically, the modified weight function method is used to study the L^2 existence theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on D . The solutions are used to study function theory on weakly q -convex domains via the $\bar{\partial}$ -Cauchy problem.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem is one of the important central problems of complex variables. A classical result due to Hörmander tells us that the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem is solvable in pseudoconvex domains, and hence, pseudoconvex domains has been widely accepted as the standard domain which we can solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem. In [16], Ho extend this problem to weakly q -convex domains. In fact, Ho is the first person to study the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem in q -convex domains in \mathbb{C}^n . This paper is devoted to studying the L^2 $\bar{\partial}$ Cauchy problem and the $\bar{\partial}$ -closed extension problem for forms on a weakly q -convex domain D in the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$. These problems were first studied by Kohn and Rossi [20] (see also [12]). They proved the holomorphic extension of smooth CR functions and the $\bar{\partial}$ -closed extension of smooth forms from the boundary bD of a strongly pseudoconvex domain to the whole domain D . The L^2 theory of these problems has been obtained for pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n or, more generally, for domains in complex manifolds with strongly plurisubharmonic weight functions (see Chapter 9 in [6] and the references therein). The L^2 $\bar{\partial}$ Cauchy problem was considered by Derridj [8, 9]. In [30, 31] Shaw has obtained a solution to this problem on a pseudoconvex domain with C^1 boundary in \mathbb{C}^n . Also, in the setting of strictly

Key words and phrases. $\bar{\partial}$, $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator, q -convex domains.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32F10. Secondary: 32W05.

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2004.581S

Received: April 23, 2017.

Accepted: July 06, 2018.

q -convex (or q -concave) domains, this problem has been studied by Sambou in his thesis (see [29]). In [1], Abdelkader-Saber studied this problem on pseudoconvex manifolds satisfying property B . In [26, 27], Saber studied this problem on a weakly q -convex domain with C^1 -smooth boundary and on a q -pseudoconvex domain D in \mathbb{C}^n , $1 \leq q \leq n$, with Lipschitz boundary. Recently, Saber [28] studied this result to a q -pseudoconvex domain D in a Stein manifold. On a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, Cao-Shaw-Wang [4] (cf. also [5]) obtained the L^2 existence theorem for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N and obtained the (weighted) L^2 $\bar{\partial}$ Cauchy-problem on such domains. The aim of this paper is to extend this result to the situation in which the boundaries are assumed weakly q -convex domain D in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Moreover, the solutions are used to study function theory on such domains via the $\bar{\partial}$ -Cauchy problem.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) be a (fixed) homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. If U_0 is the open set in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ defined by $x_0 \neq 0$ and if (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) , where $z_i = x_i/x_0$, is the homogeneous coordinates of U_0 , we assume that

$$\omega = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |dz_i|^2}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2} - \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^n z_i d\bar{z}_i|^2}{(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2)^2} \quad \text{on } U_0.$$

The Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ determined by (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) . This is well-known standard Kähler metric of $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Let D be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and let $C_{p,q}^\infty(D)$ be the space of complex-valued differential forms of class C^∞ and of type (p, q) on D . Denote by $L^2(D)$ the space of square integrable functions on D with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $L^2_{p,q}(D)$ the space of (p, q) -forms with coefficients in $L^2(D)$ and $L^2_{p,q}(D, \phi)$ the space of (p, q) -forms with coefficients in $L^2(D)$ with respect to the weighted function $e^{-\phi}$. For $u, v \in L^2_{p,q}(D)$, the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle$ and the norm $\|u\|$ are denoted by:

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_D u \wedge \star \bar{v} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|^2 = \langle u, u \rangle,$$

where \star is the Hodge star operator. Let $\text{dist}(z, bD)$ be the Fubini distance from $z \in D$ to the boundary bD and let δ be a C^2 defining function for D normalized by $|d\delta| = 1$ on bD such that

$$\delta = \delta(z) = \begin{cases} -\text{dist}(z, bD), & \text{if } z \in D, \\ \text{dist}(z, bD), & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{C}P^n \setminus D. \end{cases}$$

Let $\phi_t = -t \log |\delta|$, $t \geq 0$, for $u, v \in L^2_{p,q}(D, \phi_t)$, the inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_{\phi_t}$ and the norm $\|u\|_{\phi_t}$ are denoted by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, v \rangle_{\phi_t} &= \langle u, v \rangle_t = \int_D u \wedge \star_{(t)} \bar{v}, \\ \|u\|_{\phi_t}^2 &= \|u\|_t^2 = \langle u, u \rangle_t, \end{aligned}$$

where $\star_{(t)} = \delta^t \star = \star \delta^t$. Since ϕ_t is bounded on \bar{D} , the two norms $\| \cdot \|$ and $\| \cdot \|_t$ are equivalent. Let $\bar{\partial} : \text{dom } \bar{\partial} \subset L^2_{p,q}(D, \phi_t) \rightarrow L^2_{p,q+1}(D, \phi_t)$ be the maximal closure of the Cauchy-Riemann operator and $\bar{\partial}^*_\phi$ be its Hilbert space adjoint. Let $\square_t = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*_t + \bar{\partial}^*_t \bar{\partial}$ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator, where $\bar{\partial}^*_t = \bar{\partial}^*_{\phi_t}$.

Denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with the standard Fubini-Study metric ω . Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of vector fields. For any two vector fields f, g , the curvature operator of the connection ∇ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{R}(f, g) = \nabla_f \nabla_g - \nabla_g \nabla_f - \nabla_{[f,g]}.$$

By setting $\mathcal{R}_{ijkl} = \omega(\mathcal{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_l)$, the Ricci tensor \mathcal{R}_{ij} is denoted by

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij} = \sum_k \varepsilon_k \mathcal{R}_{ikkj},$$

which turns out to be self-adjoint with respect to ω and the scalar curvature

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta = \sum_i \mathcal{R}_{ii} = \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \mathcal{R}_{jii}$$

as the trace of the Ricci tensor.

Definition 2.1. Let D be an open set in an n -dimensional complex manifold X , let k be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and put $E = X \setminus D$. The set D is said to be pseudoconvex of order k in X if, for every $b \in E$ and for every coordinate neighborhood $(U, (z_1, \dots, z_n))$ which contains b as the origin, the set

$$\left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U : z_i = 0, 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 < \sum_{i=k+1}^n |z_i|^2 < t \right\}$$

contains no points of E for some $t > 0$, then there exists $\ell > 0$ such that for each (z'_1, \dots, z'_k) with $|z'_i| < \ell, 1 \leq i \leq k$, the set

$$\left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in U : z_i = z'_i, 1 \leq i \leq k, \sum_{i=k+1}^n |z_i|^2 < t \right\}$$

contains at least one point of E .

Definition 2.2. Let D be an n -dimensional complex manifold and let q be an integer, $1 \leq q \leq n$. By Fujita ([13], Proposition 8) a C^2 function $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is pseudoconvex of order $n - q$, if and only if its Levi form $\partial \bar{\partial} \phi$ has at least $n - q + 1$ non negative eigenvalues at each point of D .

Definition 2.3. Let D be an open subset of an n -dimensional complex manifold X . D is said to have C^2 boundary in X if for all $z \in \partial D$ there exist an open neighborhood U of z and a C^2 function $\delta : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called a defining function of D at z such that $d\delta(z) \neq 0$ and $D \cap U = \{z \in U : \delta(z) < 0\}$. Following Ho [16], D is said to be a

weakly q -convex ($q \geq 1$) if at every point $x_0 \in bD$ we have

$$\sum_{|K|} \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2 \delta}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} u_{jK} \bar{u}_{kK} \geq 0, \quad \text{for every } (0, q)\text{-form,}$$

where

$$u = \sum_{|J|=q} u_J d\bar{z}^J \text{ such that } \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial z_j} u_{jK} = 0, \quad \text{for all } |K| = q - 1.$$

Moreover, D is weakly q -convex if and only if for any $z \in bD$ the sum of any q eigenvalues $\delta_{i_1}, \dots, \delta_{i_q}$, with distinct subscripts, of the Levi-form at z satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^q \delta_{i_j} \geq 0$ (cf. [15] and Lemma 4.7 in [34]).

Definition 2.4. Let D be a smooth domain in \mathbb{C}^n , D is said to be a weakly q -concave if \bar{D}^c is weakly q -convex.

Lemma 2.1 ([16]). *Let D be a smooth domain in \mathbb{C}^n and ρ be its defining function. The following two conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) D is weakly q -convex.
- (2) For any $z \in bD$ the sum of any q eigenvalues $\rho_{i_1}, \dots, \rho_{i_q}$, with distinct subscripts, of the Levi-form at z satisfies $\sum_{j=1}^q \rho_{i_j} \geq 0$.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that D is weakly q -concave if and only if for any q eigenvalues $\rho_{i_1}, \dots, \rho_{i_q}$ of the Levi-form at $z \in bD$ with distinct subscripts we have $\sum_{j=1}^q \rho_{i_j} \leq 0$.

Example 2.1. Let D be an open subset of an n -dimensional complex manifold X and suppose that the boundary bD is a real hypersurface of class C^2 in X , that is, there exist, for each $z \in bD$, a neighborhood U of z and a C^2 function $\rho : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $d\rho(z) \neq 0$ and $D \cap U = \{z \in U : \rho(z) < 0\}$. Then D is pseudoconvex of order $n - q$ in X , if and only if the Levi form $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho$ has at least $n - q$ non-negative eigenvalues on $T'_z(bD)$ for each defining function ρ of D near z , where $T'_z(bD) (\subset T_z(bD))$ is the holomorphic tangent space of the real hypersurface bD at z (cf. [10, 35] called such a subset D a $(q - 1)$ -pseudoconvex open subset with C^2 boundary).

Theorem 2.1 ([23]). *Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a pseudoconvex domain of order $n - q$, $1 \leq q \leq n$. Let $d(z, bD)$ be the Fubini distance from $z \in D$ to the boundary bD . Then the function $-\log d(z, bD)$ is $(q - 1)$ -plurisubharmonic in D .*

Lemma 2.2 ([17], Lemma 2.6). *Let ϕ be a real valued function of class C^2 defined in an n -dimensional complex manifold D . Then ϕ is $(q - 1)$ -plurisubharmonic, $1 \leq q \leq n$, in D if and only if ϕ is weakly q -convex in D .*

Remark 2.1. Pseudoconvex open sets in the original sense are pseudoconvex of order $n - 1$.

Remark 2.2. The pseudoconvexity of order $n - q$ of an open subset D in X is a local property of the boundary $bD \subset X$ of D . More precisely, D is pseudoconvex of order

$n - q$ in X if, for each $p \in bD$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset X$ of p such that $D \cap U$ is pseudoconvex of order $n - q$ in U .

Remark 2.3. If an open set D in an n -dimensional complex manifold X is weakly q -convex, $1 \leq q \leq n$, then D is pseudoconvex of order $n - q$ in X . However, the converse is not valid even if $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ (see [10] and [22]). By Fujita [13], an open subset D of \mathbb{C}^n is pseudoconvex of order $n - q$ in \mathbb{C}^n , if and only if D has an exhaustion function which is pseudoconvex of order $n - q$ on D . Thus, by the approximation theorem of Bungart [3], an open subset D of X is pseudoconvex of order $n - q$ in X , if and only if D is locally q -complete with corners in X in the sense of Peternell [24].

Proposition 2.1 (Bochner-Hörmander-Kohn-Morrey formula). *Let D be a compact domain with C^2 -smooth boundary bD and $\delta(x) = -d(x, bD)$. Suppose that Θ is the curvature term defined in (2.1) with respect to the Fubini-Study metric ω . Then, for any $u \in C_{p,q}^\infty(\bar{D}) \cap \text{dom} \bar{\partial}_\phi^*$ with $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$, and $\phi \in C^2(\bar{D})$, we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}u\|_\phi^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_\phi^*u\|_\phi^2 = & \langle \Theta u, \bar{u} \rangle_\phi + \left\| \frac{\partial u_{IJ}}{\partial \bar{z}^k} \right\|_\phi^2 + \langle (i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi)u, \bar{u} \rangle_\phi \\ & + \int_{bD} ((i\partial\bar{\partial}\delta)u, \bar{u}) e^{-\phi} ds. \end{aligned}$$

This formula is known (cf. [2, 7, 15, 18, 19, 32, 36]) for some special cases, although it has not been stated in the literature in the form (2.2). If u has compact support in the interior of D , the (2.2) was proved in [2], Chapter 8 of [7] and (2.12) of [36]. The boundary term had been computed in [14], Chapter 3 by combining the Morrey-Kohn technique on the boundary with non-trivial weight function. If one combines the results of [15] and [37] with the interior formulae discussed above, one can prove that (2.2) holds for the general case with a weight function $e^{-\phi}$ and the curvature term. Specially, for $\phi = 0$, (2.2) was proved in [32].

Proposition 2.2. *For any (p, q) -form u of $D \Subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ with $q \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Theta u, \bar{u} \rangle &= q(2n + 1)|u|^2, \quad \text{when } u \text{ is a } (0, q)\text{-form,} \\ \langle \Theta u, \bar{u} \rangle &= 0, \quad \text{for any } (n, q)\text{-form } u, \\ \langle \Theta u, \bar{u} \rangle &\geq 0, \quad \text{when } p \geq 1 \text{ and } u \text{ is a } (p, q)\text{-form.} \end{aligned}$$

The statement for $(0, q)$ -forms and (n, q) -forms was computed in [32] and [36]. Also, following Lemma 3.3 of Henkin-Iordan [14] and its proof showed that the curvature operator Θ acting on $L_{p,q}^2(D)$ is a non-negative operator.

3. THE $\bar{\partial}$ -CAUCHY PROBLEM ON WEAKLY q -CONVEX DOMAINS

This section is devoted to showing the existence of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on a weakly q -convex domain D in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, and by applying these existence to solve the $\bar{\partial}$ problem with support conditions on D . The boundary integral in (2.2) is

non-negative for $q \geq 1$ by the assumption on D . Also, by taking $\phi \equiv 0$ in (2.2) and using Proposition 2.2, we find the fundamental estimate

$$\|u\|^2 \leq c \left(\|\bar{\partial}u\|^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^*u\|^2 \right).$$

This means that \square has closed range and $\ker \square = \{0\}$. Thus, one can establish the L^2 -existence theorem of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a weakly q -convex domain with C^2 smooth boundary. Then, for each $0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$, there exists a bounded linear operator $N : L^2_{p,q}(D) \rightarrow L^2_{p,q}(D)$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $\text{Range } N \subset \text{dom } \square$, $\square N = N \square = \text{Id}$ on $\text{dom } \square$;
- (ii) for $f \in L^2_{p,q}(D)$,

$$f = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^* N f \oplus \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} N f;$$

- (iii) $N \bar{\partial} = \bar{\partial} N$ on $\text{dom } \bar{\partial}$, $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$;
- (iv) $\bar{\partial}^* N = N \bar{\partial}^*$ on $\text{dom } \bar{\partial}^*$, $2 \leq q \leq n$;
- (v) N , $\bar{\partial} N$ and $\bar{\partial}^* N$ are bounded linear operators on $L^2_{p,q}(D)$.

Using the duality relations pertaining to the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem, one solve the L^2 $\bar{\partial}$ Cauchy problem on weakly q -convex domains in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $1 \leq q \leq n$. This method was first used by Kohn-Rossi [20] for smooth forms on strongly pseudoconvex domains. More precisely, we prove the following L^2 Cauchy problem for $\bar{\partial}$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n$:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a weakly q -convex domain, $1 \leq q \leq n$ with C^2 smooth boundary. Then, for $f \in L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}P^n)$, $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{D}$, $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$, satisfying $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, there exists $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}P^n)$, $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{D}$ such that $\bar{\partial} u = f$ in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}P^n)$, $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{D}$, then $f \in L^2_{p,q}(D)$. From Theorem 3.1, $N_{n-p,n-q}$ exists for $n - q \geq 1$. Since $N_{n-p,n-q} = \square_{n-p,n-q}^{-1}$ on $\text{Range } \square_{n-p,n-q}$ and $\text{Range } N_{n-p,n-q} \subset \text{dom } \square_{n-p,n-q}$, then $N_{n-p,n-q} \star \bar{f} \in \text{dom } \square_{n-p,n-q} \subset L^2_{n-p,n-q}(D)$, for $q \leq n - 1$. Thus, we can define $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(D)$ by

$$u = - \star \overline{\bar{\partial} N_{n-p,n-q} \star \bar{f}}.$$

Thus $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{D}$ and u vanishes on bD . Now, we extend u to $\mathbb{C}P^n$ by defining $u = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n \setminus D$. It follows from the same arguments of Theorem 9.1.2 in [6] and Theorem 2.2 in [1] that the form u satisfies the equation $\bar{\partial} u = f$ in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Thus the proof follows. \square

4. THE WEIGHTED $\bar{\partial}$ -CAUCHY PROBLEM

In this section, we assume that D is a weakly q -convex domain, $1 \leq q \leq n$, with C^2 smooth boundary in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Also, we will choose $\phi_t = -t \log |\delta|$, $t > 0$ in (2.2), and using Remark 2.3 and by using Proposition 2.2, the inequality (2.2) implies the

weighted L^2 -existence for the $\bar{\partial}$. Also, for $u \in \text{Dom}(\square_t)$ of degree $q \geq 1$ and for $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} t\|u\|_t^2 &\leq (\|\bar{\partial}u\|_t^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_t^*u\|_t^2) \\ &= \langle \square_t u, u \rangle_t \\ &\leq \|\square_t f\|_t \|u\|_t, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$t\|u\|_t \leq \|\square_t u\|_t.$$

Since \square_t is a linear closed densely defined operator, then, from [15, Theorem 1.1.1], $\text{Range}(\square_t)$ is closed. Thus, from (1.1.1) in [15] and the fact that \square_t is self adjoint, we have the Hodge decomposition

$$L_{p,q}^2(D, \phi_t) = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}_t^* \text{dom}(\square_t) \oplus \bar{\partial}_t^* \bar{\partial} \text{dom}(\square_t).$$

Since \square_t is one to one on $\text{dom}(\square_t)$ from (1.5.3) in [15], then there exists a unique bounded inverse operator

$$N_t : \text{Ran}(\square_t) \rightarrow \text{dom}(\square_t) \cap (\ker(\square_t))^\perp$$

such that $N_t \square_t f = f$ on $\text{dom}(\square_t)$. Therefore, we can establish the existence theorem of the inverse of \square_t the so called weighted $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N_t .

Theorem 4.1. *For any $1 \leq q \leq n$ and $t > 0$, there exists a bounded linear operator $N_t : L_{p,q}^2(D, \phi_t) \rightarrow L_{p,q}^2(D, \phi_t)$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $\text{Range}(N_t) \subset \text{dom}(\square_t)$, $N_t \square_t = I$ on $\text{dom}(\square_t)$;
- (ii) for $f \in L_{p,q}^2(D, \phi_t)$, we have $u = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}_t^* N_t f \oplus \bar{\partial}_t^* \bar{\partial} N_t f$;
- (iii) $\bar{\partial} N_t = N_t \bar{\partial}$, $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$;
- (iv) $\bar{\partial}^* N_t = N_t \bar{\partial}^*$, $2 \leq q \leq n$;
- (v) for all $f \in L_{p,q}^2(D, \phi_t)$, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} t\|N_t f\|_t &\leq \|f\|_t, \\ \sqrt{t}\|\bar{\partial} N_t f\|_t + \sqrt{t}\|\bar{\partial}_t^* N_t f\|_t &\leq \|f\|_t; \end{aligned}$$

- (vi) if $\bar{\partial} f = 0$, then $u_t = \bar{\partial}_t^* N_t f$ solves the equation $\bar{\partial} u_t = f$.

Theorem 4.2. *For $f \in L_{p,q}^2(D, \phi_t)$, $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$, $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{D}$, satisfying $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, there exists $u \in L_{p,q-1}^2(D, \phi_t)$, $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{D}$ such that $\bar{\partial} u = f$ in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.*

Proof. Following Theorem 4.1, N_t exists for forms in $L_{n-p,n-q}^2(D, \phi_t)$. Thus, one can defines $u_t \in L_{p,q-1}^2(D, \phi_t)$ by

$$(4.1) \quad u_{(t)} = - \star_{(t)} \overline{\bar{\partial} N_{n-p,n-q} \star_{(-t)} f}.$$

Thus $\text{supp } u_t \subset \bar{D}$ and u_t vanishes on bD . Now, we extend u_t to $\mathbb{C}P^n$ by defining $u_t = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n \setminus D$. We want to prove that the extended form u_t satisfies the equation

$\bar{\partial}u_t = f$ in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. For $\eta \in L^2_{n-p, n-q-1}(D, -\phi_t) \cap \text{dom } \bar{\partial}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\partial} \eta, \star_{(t)} f \rangle_D &= \int_D \bar{\partial} \eta \wedge \star_{(-t)}(\star_{(t)} f) \\ &= \int_D \bar{\partial} \eta \wedge \star_{(-t)} \star_{(t)} f \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \int_D \bar{\partial} \eta \wedge f \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \langle f, \star_{(-t)} \bar{\partial} \eta \rangle_D \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \langle f, \star_{(-t)} \bar{\partial} \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{C}P^n}, \end{aligned}$$

because $\text{supp } f \subset \bar{D}$. Since $\vartheta|_D = \bar{\partial}^*|_D$, when ϑ acts in the distribution sense (see [15]), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\partial} \eta, \star_{(t)} f \rangle_D &= \langle f, \vartheta \star_{(-t)} \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{C}P^n} \\ &= \langle \bar{\partial} f, \star_{(-t)} \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{C}P^n} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bar{\partial}_t^*(\star_{(t)} f) = 0$ on D . Using Theorem 4.1 (iv), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{\partial}_t^* N_t(\star_{(t)} f) = N_t \bar{\partial}_t^*(\star_{(t)} f) = 0.$$

Thus, from (4.1) and (4.2), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}u_t &= -\overline{\partial \star_{-t} \bar{\partial} N_{n-p, n-q} \star_t \bar{f}} \\ &= (-1)^{p+q+1} \overline{\star \star \partial \star \bar{\partial} N_{n-p, n-q} \star \bar{f}} \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \overline{\star \bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} N_{n-p, n-q} \star \bar{f}} \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \overline{\star (\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^*) N_{n-p, n-q} \star \bar{f}} \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \overline{\star \star \bar{f}} \\ &= f, \end{aligned}$$

in the distribution sense in D . Since $u = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n \setminus D$, then for $u \in L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \cap \text{dom } \bar{\partial}^*$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \bar{\partial}^* u \rangle_{\mathbb{C}P^n} &= \langle u, \bar{\partial}^* u \rangle_D \\ &= \langle \star \bar{\partial}^* u, \star_{(-t)} u \rangle_{(t)D} \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \langle \bar{\partial} \star u, \star_{(-t)} u \rangle_{(t)D} \\ &= (-1)^{p+q} \langle \star u, \bar{\partial}^* \star_{(-t)} u \rangle_{(t)D} \\ &= \langle \star u, \star_{(-t)} \bar{\partial} u \rangle_{(t)D} \\ &= \langle f, u \rangle_D \\ &= \langle f, u \rangle_{\mathbb{C}P^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality holds since $\star u = (-1)^{q+1} \bar{\partial} N_{n-p, n-q} \star f \in \text{dom } \bar{\partial}^*$. Thus $\bar{\partial}u_t = f$ in the distribution sense in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. \square

As in [5], we prove the following results.

Proposition 4.1. *Let D be the same as in Theorem 3.1. Put $\Omega = \mathbb{C}P^n \setminus \bar{D}$. Then, for any $f \in W_{p,q}^{1+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$, $\bar{\partial}f = 0$, $0 \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, there exists $F \in W_{p,q}^\varepsilon(\mathbb{C}P^n)$ such that $F|_\Omega = f$ and $\bar{\partial}F = 0$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.*

Proof. Since D has C^2 smooth boundary, there exists a bounded extension operator from $W_{p,q}^s(\Omega)$ to $W_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{C}P^n)$ for all $s \geq 0$ (cf. e.g. [33]). Let $\tilde{f} \in W_{p,q}^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}P^n)$ be the extension of f so that $\tilde{f}|_\Omega = f$ with

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_{W^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{C}P^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{W^{1+\varepsilon}(\Omega)}.$$

Furthermore, we can choose an extension such that $\bar{\partial}\tilde{f} \in W^\varepsilon(D) \cap L^2(D, \phi_{2\varepsilon})$.

One defines $T\tilde{f}$ by $T\tilde{f} = -\star_{2\varepsilon} \bar{\partial}N_{2\varepsilon}(\star_{-2\varepsilon}\bar{\partial}\tilde{f})$ in Ω . As in Theorem 4.2, $T\tilde{f} \in L^2(D, \phi_{2\varepsilon})$. But for a C^2 -smooth domain, we have that $T\tilde{f} \in L^2(D, \phi_{2\varepsilon})$ is comparable to $W^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ for $0 \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. This gives that $T\tilde{f} \in W_{p,q}^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ and $T\tilde{f}$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}T\tilde{f} = \bar{\partial}\tilde{f}$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ in the distribution sense if we extend $T\tilde{f}$ to be zero outside Ω .

Since $0 \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, the extension by 0 outside Ω is a continuous operator from $W^\varepsilon(\Omega)$ to $W^\varepsilon(\mathbb{C}P^n)$ (cf. e.g. [21]). Thus we have $T\tilde{f} \in W^\varepsilon(\mathbb{C}P^n)$.

Define

$$F = \begin{cases} f, & \text{if } z \in \bar{D}, \\ \tilde{f} - T\tilde{f}, & \text{if } z \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then $F \in W_{p,q}^\varepsilon(\mathbb{C}P^n)$ and F is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed extension of f to $\mathbb{C}P^n$. □

Corollary 4.1. *Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a weakly q -concave domain, $n \geq 2$ with C^2 smooth boundary. Then $W_{p,0}^{1+\varepsilon}(D) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} = \{0\}$, $1 \leq p \leq n$ and $W_{0,0}^{1+\varepsilon}(D) \cap \ker \bar{\partial} = \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Using Proposition 4.1 for $q = 0$, we have that any holomorphic $(p, 0)$ -form on D extends to be a holomorphic $(p, 0)$ in $\mathbb{C}P^n$, which are zero (when $p > 0$) or constants (when $p = 0$). □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $D \Subset \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a weakly q -concave domain, $n \geq 2$ with C^2 smooth boundary. Then, for any $f \in W_{p,q}^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$, where $0 \leq p \leq n$, $1 \leq q \leq n - 2$, $p \neq q$, and $0 \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, such that $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ in D , there exists $u \in W_{p,q-1}^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in D .*

Proof. If $p \neq q$, we have that $F = \bar{\partial}u$ for some $U \in W_{p,q-1}^1(\mathbb{C}P^n)$. Let $u = U$ on D , we have $u \in W_{p,q-1}^1(D)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = f$ in D . □

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to the referee for several helpful remarks and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Abdelkader and S. Saber, *Solution to $\bar{\partial}$ -equations with exact support on pseudoconvex manifolds*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **4** (2007), 339–348.
- [2] A. Andreotti and E. Vesentini, *Carleman estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami equation on complex manifolds*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. (1965), 81–150.

- [3] L. Bungart, *Piecewise smooth approximations to q -plurisubharmonic functions*, Pacific J. Math. **142** (1990), 227–244.
- [4] J. Cao, M. C.-Shaw and L. Wang, *Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem and nonexistence of C^2 Levi-flat hypersurfaces in X* , Math. Z **248** (2004), 183–221.
- [5] J. Cao and M.-C. Shaw, *The $\bar{\partial}$ -Cauchy problem and nonexistence of Lipschitz Levi-flat hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with $n \geq 3$* , Math. Z. **256** (2007), 175–192.
- [6] S.-C. Chen and M.-C. Shaw, *Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **19**, AMS, Providence, Rhodes Island, 2001.
- [7] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, American Math. Society (to appear).
- [8] M. Derridj, *Régularité pour $\bar{\partial}$ dans quelques domaines faiblement pseudo-convexes*, J. Differential Geom. **13** (1978), 559–576.
- [9] M. Derridj, *Inégaités de Carleman et extension locale des fonctions holomorphes*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. **15** (1981), 645–669.
- [10] K. Diederich and J. E. Fornæss, *Smoothing q -convex functions and vanishing theorems*, Invent. Math. **82** (1985), 291–305.
- [11] M. G. Eastwood and G. V. Suria, *Cohomologically complete and pseudoconvex domains*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55** (1980), 413–426.
- [12] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Princeton Math. Ser. **75** (1972).
- [13] O. Fujita, *Domaines pseudoconvexes d'ordre général et fonctions pseudoconvexes d'ordre général*, Kyoto J. Math. **30** (1990), 637–649.
- [14] G. M. Henkin and A. Iordan, *Regularity of $\bar{\partial}$ on pseudococave compacts and applications*, Asian J. Math. **4** (2000), 855–884.
- [15] L. Hörmander, *L^2 -estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [16] L. Ho, *$\bar{\partial}$ -problem on weakly q -convex domains*, Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 3–18.
- [17] L. R. Hunt and J. J. Murray, *q -plurisubharmonic functions and a generalized Dirichlet problem*, Michigan Math. J. **25** (1978), 299–316.
- [18] J. J. Kohn, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudoconvex manifolds I*, Ann. of Math. **78** (1963), 112–148.
- [19] J. J. Kohn, *Harmonic integrals on strongly pseudoconvex manifolds II*, Ann. of Math. **79** (1964), 450–472.
- [20] J. J. Kohn and H. Rossi, *On the extension of holomorphic functions from the boundary of a complex manifold*, Ann. of Math. **81** (1965), 451–472.
- [21] J.-L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1972.
- [22] K. Matsumoto, *Pseudoconvex domains of general order in Stein manifolds*, Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Series A, Mathematics **43**(2) (1989), 67–76.
- [23] K. Matsumoto, *Pseudoconvex domains of general order and q -convex domains in the complex projective space*, Kyoto J. Math. **33** (1993), 685–695.
- [24] M. Peternell, *Continuous q -convex exhaustion functions*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), 249–262
- [25] R. M. Range, *Holomorphic Functions and Integral Representations in Several Complex Variables*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1986.
- [26] S. Saber, *Solution to $\bar{\partial}$ problem with exact support and regularity for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on weakly q -convex domains*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **7**(1) (2010), 135–142.
- [27] S. Saber, *The $\bar{\partial}$ problem on q -pseudoconvex domains with applications*, Math. Slovaca **63**(3) (2013), 521–530.
- [28] S. Saber, *The L^2 $\bar{\partial}$ -Cauchy problem on weakly q -pseudoconvex domains in Stein manifolds*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **65**(3) (2015), 739–745.

- [29] S. Sambou, *Résolution du $\bar{\partial}$ pour les courants prolongeables définis dans un anneau*, Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse: Mathématiques **11**(1) (2002), 105–129.
- [30] M. C. Shaw, *Local existence theorems with estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ on weakly pseudo-convex boundaries*, Math. Ann. **294** (1992), 677–700.
- [31] M. C. Shaw, *The closed range property for $\bar{\partial}$ on domains with pseudoconcave boundary*, Complex Analysis Trends in Mathematics (2010), 307–320.
- [32] Y. T. Siu, *Complex-analyticity of harmonic maps, vanishing and Lefschetz theorems*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 55–138.
- [33] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Math. Series **30**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [34] E. J. Straube, *Lectures on the L^2 -Sobolev Theory of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, Freiburg, Germany, 2010.
- [35] G. V. Suria, *q -pseudoconvex and q -complete domains*, Compos. Math. **53** (1984), 105–111.
- [36] H. H. Wu, *The Bochner Technique in Differential Geometry*, Harwood Academic, New York, 1988.
- [37] G. Zampier, *Complex Analysis and CR Geometry*, AMS **43**, Providence, Rhode Island, 2008.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES,
UMM AL-QURA UNIVERSITY,
SAUDI ARABIA

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BENI-SUEF UNIVERSITY,
BENI SUEF, EGYPT
Email address: sayedkay@yahoo.com