

POLYNOMIAL WEIGHTED APPROXIMATION BY SZÁSZ-MIRAKYAN OPERATORS OF MAX-PRODUCT TYPE

ECEM ACAR¹, ADRIAN HOLHOŞ², AND SEVILAY KIRCI SERENBAY³

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study approximation of Szász-Mirakyan operators of max-product type in polynomial weighted spaces. We reckon the rate of approximation in terms of some exponential weighted spaces for obtain a better rate of approximation than the corresponding positive linear operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [9], the Szász-Mirakjan operators were defined as below

$$(1.1) \quad S_n(f; x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in [0, \infty), n \geq 1.$$

Many studies have been done about the approximation results for this operators and estimates of the rate of convergence. These studies are mainly using positive linear operators. However, nonlinear operators of max-product type were studied in the papers [2–4] and the conclusion is that they have the same order of approximation as in the case of positive linear operators and even better for some subclasses of functions. In [3], the authors investigated the nonlinear operators of Favard-Szász-Mirakjan of max-product type defined by

$$(1.2) \quad F_n(f, x) = \frac{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}{\bigvee_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}}, \quad x \in [0, \infty),$$

Key words and phrases. Szász-Mirakyan operators, weighted modulus of continuity, weighted approximation

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 41A10, Secondary: 41A25, 41A36.

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2503.365A

Received: January 03, 2020.

Accepted: April 08, 2022.

where \vee indicates the supremum. Moreover, they studied these operators for continuous and bounded functions defined on $x \geq 0$ in [3, 4]. In [5], A. Holhoş studied the approximation properties of F_n in weighted spaces with the weight $w(x) = e^{\alpha\varphi(x)}$, where $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$ and $\alpha > 0$ is constant independent of x (see [1]). In [8], he introduced a new modulus of continuity. In [5], the author estimated the rate of convergence of these operators to the identity operator. Firstly, he introduce some general notations to obtain the results.

The function $\varphi : I \rightarrow J$ is defined on a noncompact interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is just $\varphi(I)$. The space of continuous functions is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad C_{\varphi,\alpha} = \left\{ f \in C(I) \text{ there is } M > 0 \text{ such that } \frac{|f(x)|}{e^{\alpha\varphi(x)}} \leq M \text{ for every } x \in I \right\}.$$

This space can be endowed with the norm

$$(1.4) \quad \|f\|_{\varphi,\alpha} = \sup_{x \in I} e^{-\alpha\varphi(x)} |f(x)|.$$

The modulus of continuity $\omega_{\varphi,\alpha}(f; \cdot)$ is given for every $f \in C_{\varphi,\alpha}$ and $\delta \geq 0$ as follows

$$(1.5) \quad \omega_{\varphi,\alpha}(f; \delta) = \frac{|f(t) - f(x)|}{\max(e^{\alpha\varphi(t)}, e^{\alpha\varphi(x)}),}$$

which the supremum is taken for all $x, t \in I$ such that $\varphi(t) \in (\varphi(x) - \delta, \varphi(x) + \delta) \cap \varphi(I)$. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\varphi(x) = x$, we obtain the usual modulus of continuity $\omega(f; \delta)$.

In this paper, our main problem is that the operators F_n can be used for approximation with polynomial weight $w(x) = (1 + x)^\alpha$ by taking $\varphi(x) = \ln(1 + x)$ and we estimate the rate of convergence of these operators to the identity operator. Hence, we show approximation of Szász-Mirakyan operators of max-product type in polynomial weighted spaces.

2. POLYNOMIAL WEIGHTED BY SZÁSZ-MIRAKYAN OPERATORS

In this section, we prove some auxiliary results to obtain some estimates of the rate of approximation of functions given by (1.1) and (1.2).

Remark 2.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take the intervals

$$(2.1) \quad I_0 = \left[0, \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^\alpha\right), \quad I_k = \left[k \left(\frac{n+k-1}{n+k}\right)^\alpha, (k+1) \left(\frac{n+k}{n+k+1}\right)^\alpha\right].$$

The intervals are nonempty, disjoint and their union is the positive half line. Indeed,

$$l_k = (k+1) \left(\frac{n+k}{n+k+1}\right)^\alpha - k \left(\frac{n+k-1}{n+k}\right)^\alpha \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If $nx \in I_j$, then $\vee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \binom{n+k}{n}^\alpha = \frac{(nx)^j}{j!} \binom{n+j}{n}^\alpha$.*

Proof. Let us denote $a_k = \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{n+k}{n}\right)^\alpha$. We get

$$0 \leq a_{k+1} \leq a_k \text{ if and only if } nx \in \left[0, (k+1) \left(\frac{n+k}{n+k+1}\right)^\alpha\right).$$

Let us take $k = 0, 1, \dots$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \leq a_0 & \text{ if and only if } nx \in \left[0, \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^\alpha\right), \\ a_2 \leq a_1 & \text{ if and only if } nx \in \left[0, 2 \left(\frac{n+1}{n+2}\right)^\alpha\right), \\ a_3 \leq a_2 & \text{ if and only if } nx \in \left[0, 3 \left(\frac{n+2}{n+3}\right)^\alpha\right), \end{aligned}$$

and so on. From all these inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } nx \in I_0, & \text{ then } a_k \leq a_0, \quad \text{for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \text{if } nx \in I_1, & \text{ then } a_k \leq a_1, \quad \text{for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \text{if } nx \in I_2, & \text{ then } a_k \leq a_2, \quad \text{for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Generally, if $nx \in I_j$, then $a_k \leq a_j$, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots$, that proves the lemma. □

Lemma 2.2. *For every $x \geq 0$ we obtain $F_n((1+t)^\alpha, x) \leq (1+x)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha$.*

Proof. Let us take $nx \in I_j$. By using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$F_n((1+t)^\alpha, x) = \frac{\bigvee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{n+k}{n}\right)^\alpha}{\bigvee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}} = \frac{\frac{(nx)^j}{j!} \left(\frac{n+j}{n}\right)^\alpha}{\frac{(nx)^m}{m!}}.$$

Let us take $m = \lfloor nx \rfloor$. So, we have $m \leq nx < (j+1) \left(\frac{n+k}{n+k+1}\right)^\alpha < j+1$ and we can say that $nx \leq \lfloor nx \rfloor + 1 = m+1$, hence we obtain $\frac{nx}{m+1} \leq 1$. By using Bernoulli inequality, we have

$$j - nx \leq j - j \left(\frac{n+j-1}{n+j}\right)^\alpha = j \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+j}\right)^\alpha\right) \leq j\alpha \frac{1}{n+j} \leq \alpha.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\alpha \ln(1+x)} F_n((1+t)^\alpha, x) &= \frac{1}{(1+t)^\alpha} \frac{\frac{(nx)^j}{j!} \left(\frac{n+j}{n}\right)^\alpha}{\frac{(nx)^m}{m!}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{nx}{m+1}\right)^{j-m} \left(\frac{n+j}{n(1+x)}\right)^\alpha \leq \left(\frac{n+j}{n+nx}\right)^\alpha \\ &= \left(\frac{n+j+nx-nx}{n+nx}\right)^\alpha = \left(1 + \frac{j-nx}{n+nx}\right)^\alpha \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Remark 2.2. For every $x \geq 0$, we have

$$F_n(\max\{(1+t)^\alpha, (1+x)^\alpha\}, x) \leq (1+x)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(\max\{(1+t)^\alpha, (1+x)^\alpha\}, x) &= \max\{F_n((1+t)^\alpha, x), F_n((1+x)^\alpha, x)\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{(1+x)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha, (1+x)^\alpha\right\} \\ &= (1+x)^\alpha \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. For $\varphi(x) = \ln(1+x)$, for every function f belonging to $C_{\varphi,\alpha}$ the functions $F_n f$ also belonging to $C_{\varphi,\alpha}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(f, x)| &\leq F_n(|f|, x) \leq F_n(\|f\|_{\varphi,\alpha} (1+x)^\alpha, x) \\ &= \|f\|_{\varphi,\alpha} F_n((1+x)^\alpha, x) = \|f\|_{\varphi,\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha (1+x)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. For every $x \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following inequality is obtained

$$\frac{\bigvee_{k \leq nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} (\ln(1+(nx)) - \ln(1+k))}{\bigvee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. For $x = 0$, we obtain equality. By taking $m = \lfloor nx \rfloor$, we proved that $\bigvee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} = \frac{(nx)^m}{m!}$. Let us consider the inequality $\ln(1+x) \leq x$, then we get

$$\ln(1+(nx)) - \ln(1+k) = \ln\left(\frac{1+(nx)}{1+k}\right) \leq \frac{(nx) - k}{1+k}.$$

Let us take $b_k = \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{(nx)-k}{1+k}$. Firstly, to evaluate the maximum of b_k , we observe that

$$\frac{b_k}{b_{k-1}} = \frac{nx}{k+1} \cdot \frac{nx-k}{nx-k+1} \leq 1$$

if and only if $(nx)^2 - (2k+1)(nx) + (k^2 - 1) \leq 0$. This inequality's solution is equivalent to $nx \in [p_k, q_k]$ which is

$$p_k = \frac{(2k+1) - \sqrt{4k+5}}{2}, \quad q_k = \frac{(2k+1) + \sqrt{4k+5}}{2}.$$

We can write the following inequality

$$0 \leq p_k < p_{k+1} < \frac{2k+1}{2} < \frac{2k+3}{2} < q_k < q_{k+1} \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0.$$

After some computations, we get $nx \in \left[\frac{2m-1}{2}, \frac{2m+1}{2}\right)$. We deduce that if $nx \in I_j$, then $b_k \leq b_j$ for every $k \geq 1$. We obtain

$$\frac{\bigvee_{1 \leq k \leq nx} b_k}{\frac{(nx)^m}{m!}} \leq \left(\frac{2j+1}{2} - j\right) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.4. *For $x \geq 0$, the following inequality holds true*

$$\frac{\bigvee_{k > nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{n+k}{n}\right)^\alpha (\ln(1+k) - \ln(1+nx))}{\bigvee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha (1+x)^\alpha.$$

Proof. For $x = 0$ we have equality. Let us take $x > 0$. Consider $m \geq 0$ the integer with the property that $nx \in \left[\frac{2m-1}{2}, \frac{2m+1}{2}\right)$. Using the inequality we get

$$\ln(1+k) - \ln(1+nx) \leq \frac{k-nx}{1+nx},$$

and denoting

$$c_k = \frac{\frac{(nx)^k}{k!}}{\frac{(nx)^m}{m!}} \left(\frac{n+k}{n(1+x)}\right)^\alpha \frac{k-nx}{1+nx},$$

it remains to prove that

$$\bigvee_{k=m+1}^\infty c_k \leq \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha.$$

Let us take the inequality

$$\frac{c_{k+1}}{c_k} = \frac{nx}{k+1} \left(\frac{n+k+1}{n+k}\right)^\alpha \frac{k+1-nx}{k-nx} \geq 1$$

if and only if

$$\alpha_k (nx)^2 - (\alpha_k(k+1) + k+1)(nx) + k(k+1) \leq 0,$$

where $\alpha_k = \left(\frac{n+k+1}{n+k}\right)^\alpha$. Hence, $c_{k+1} \geq c_k$ is true if and only if $nx \in [r_k, s_k]$, where

$$r_k = \frac{k+1}{2} + \frac{k+1}{2\alpha_k} - \sqrt{E_k}, \quad s_k = \frac{k+1}{2} + \frac{k+1}{2\alpha_k} + \sqrt{E_k}$$

and

$$E_k = \frac{(\alpha_k(k+1) + k+1)^2 - 4\alpha_k k(k+1)}{4\alpha_k^2}.$$

Now, we prove below that

$$(2.2) \quad 0 < r_k < r_{k+1} < \frac{k+2}{2} < s_k.$$

By using (2.2) we deduce that $r_m < \frac{m}{2} \leq nx$. Let us take the unique $j \geq m$ such that $x \in [r_j, r_{j+1})$. For every $k \geq j+1$, we get $nx \in [r_k, s_k]$, so $c_{k+1} \leq c_k$. We obtain that $c_k \leq c_{j+1}$ for every $k \geq j+1$. Now consider $k \in \{m, \dots, j\}$. Using (2.2) again, we get $r_k \leq r_j \leq nx < \frac{m+2}{2} < \frac{k+2}{2} < s_k$. Since $nx \in [r_k, s_k]$, we obtain $c_{k+1} \geq c_k$ and so $c_{j+1} \geq c_k$ for every $k \in \{m, \dots, j\}$.

Now, we need some estimates to evaluate the maximum of c_k for $k \geq m + 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} j + 1 - r_j &= j + 1 - \frac{j + 1}{2} - \frac{j}{2\alpha_j} + \sqrt{E_k} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_j(j + 1) - j}{2\alpha_j} + \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha_k(k + 1) + k)^2 - 4\alpha_k k^2}{4\alpha_k^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{n^2 + 1}{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\alpha_j(j + 1) = (j + 1) \left(e^{\alpha \ln(1 + \frac{1}{n+j})} \right) \leq (j + 1) \alpha \frac{1}{n + j} \leq \alpha.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_{k=m+1}^{\infty} c_k &= c_{j+1} \\ &= \frac{\frac{(nx)^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}}{\frac{(nx)^m}{m!}} \left(\frac{n + j + 1}{n + nx} \right)^\alpha \frac{(j + 1 - nx)}{1 + nx} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n + j + 1}{n + r_j} \right)^\alpha \frac{j + 1 - r_j}{1 + r_j} \leq \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n} \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the inequality (2.2). The most difficult to prove is the inequality $r_{k+1} > r_k$, other statuses as in [6]. We have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1} - r_k &= \frac{k + 2}{2} + \frac{k + 2}{2\alpha_{k+1}} - \sqrt{E_{k+1}} - \frac{k + 1}{2} + \frac{k + 1}{2\alpha_k} - \sqrt{E_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{(k + 2)\alpha_k - (k + 1)(\alpha_{k+1})}{2\alpha_k\alpha_{k+1}} + \frac{E_k - E_{k+1}}{\sqrt{E_k} - \sqrt{E_{k+1}}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k(k + 2) (\sqrt{E_k} + \sqrt{E_{k+1}}) - (k + 1)\alpha_{k+1} (\sqrt{E_k} + \sqrt{E_{k+1}})}{4\alpha_k\alpha_{k+1}} \\ &\quad + \frac{(E_k - E_{k+1}) 4\alpha_k\alpha_{k+1}}{4\alpha_k\alpha_{k+1} (\sqrt{E_k} + \sqrt{E_{k+1}})}. \end{aligned}$$

This equality's first half is positive, it is clear. For positivity of the second part of the equality, let us take $\frac{k+2}{2} < s_k$ and $r_{k+1} < \frac{k+2}{2}$ from (2.2), then we get

$$\sqrt{E_k} > \frac{\alpha_k - k}{2\alpha_k}, \quad \sqrt{E_{k+1}} > \frac{k + 1}{2\alpha_{k+1}}.$$

Hence, we proved the lemma. □

Lemma 2.5. *For every $x \geq 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get*

$$F_n(\max \{(1 + t)^\alpha, (1 + x)^\alpha\} |\ln(1 + t) - \ln(1 + x)|, x) \leq \max \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n} \right)^\alpha, \frac{1}{2} \right\} (1 + x)^\alpha.$$

Proof. We have

$$F_n(\max\{(1+t)^\alpha, (1+x)^\alpha\} |\ln(1+t) - \ln(1+x)|, x) = \max\{A_n, B_n\},$$

where

$$A_n = \frac{\bigvee_{k>nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} \left(\frac{n+k}{n}\right)^\alpha (\ln(1+k) - \ln(1+nx))}{\bigvee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}},$$

$$B_n = \frac{\bigvee_{k\leq nx} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} (1+x)^\alpha (\ln(1+nx) - \ln(1+k))}{\bigvee_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!}}.$$

By Lemma 2.4 we have $(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n})^\alpha$ and by Lemma 2.3, $\frac{1}{2} (1+x)^\alpha$. □

Theorem 2.1. For $\varphi(x)$, for every $f \in C_{\varphi,\alpha}$ the estimation of the error of uniform approximation by F_n is bounded by

$$\|F_n f - f\|_{\varphi,\alpha} \leq \left(\left(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha (1+x)^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} (1+x)^\alpha \right) \omega_{\varphi,\alpha} \left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Because $F_n(1, x) = 1$, using ([3], Lemma 2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq F_n(|f(t) - f(x)|, x) \\ &\leq F_n \left(\max\{(1+t)^\alpha, (1+x)^\alpha\} \left(1 + \frac{|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|}{\delta_n}\right), x \right) \omega_{\varphi,\alpha}(f, \delta_n) \\ &\leq \left(C_n + \frac{D_n(x)}{\delta_n} \right) \omega_{\varphi,\alpha}(f, \delta_n), \end{aligned}$$

which

$$C_n(x) = F_n(\max\{(1+t)^\alpha, (1+x)^\alpha\}, x),$$

$$D_n(x) = F_n(\max\{(1+t)^\alpha, (1+x)^\alpha\} |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|, x).$$

Using Remark 2.2 and Lemma 2.5 and choosing $\delta_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{(1+x)^\alpha} |F_n(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \left(\left(1 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}\right)^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega_{\varphi,\alpha} \left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

which proves the theorem. □

Remark 2.4. Let us take consideration that for polynomial weighted of the operator given in (1.2) the order of approximation is better than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. From [3], we deduce that the estimate

$$|F_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{M}{n}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

is true for a positive, increasing, concave and Lipschitz function f , which is not necessarily bounded.

Theorem 2.2. For $f \in C_{\varphi,\alpha}$ we have

$$\|S_n f - f\|_{\varphi,\alpha} \leq C_\alpha \cdot \omega_{\varphi,\alpha} \left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. We know that $S_n(e^{\alpha\sqrt{t}}, x) \leq M_\alpha \cdot e^{\alpha\sqrt{x}}$, which $M_\alpha > 0$ is a constant depending only on α in [1], Lemma 3.1 and in [7], similar inequality given for $\alpha = 0$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq S_n(|f(t) - f(x)|, x) \\ &\leq S_n \left(((1+t)^\alpha + (1+x)^\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)}{\delta_n} \right), x \right) \omega_{\varphi,\alpha}(f, \delta_n) \\ &\leq \left(C_n(x) + \frac{D_n(x)}{\delta_n} \right) \omega_{\varphi,\alpha}(f, \delta_n), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_n(x) &= S_n((1+t)^\alpha + (1+x)^\alpha, x) \leq (M+1)(1+x)^\alpha, \\ D_n(x) &= S_n(((1+t)^\alpha + (1+x)^\alpha) |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|, x) \\ &= S_n((1+t)^\alpha |\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|, x) + (1+x)^\alpha S_n(|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|, x). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $|S_n(fg, x)| \leq \sqrt{S_n(f^2, x)} \cdot \sqrt{S_n(g^2, x)}$ and the estimation $S_n(|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|^2, x) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ (see the proof of Corollary 3.2 and Remark 3.3 from [1]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(x) &\leq \sqrt{S_n((1+t)^{2\alpha}, x)} \sqrt{S_n(|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|^2, x)} + (1+x)^\alpha S_n(|\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)|, x) \\ &\leq \sqrt{(M_{2\alpha}(1+t))^{2\alpha}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + (1+x)^\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = \left(\sqrt{M_{2\alpha}} + 1 \right) (1+x)^\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\delta_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$\frac{1}{(1+x)^\alpha} |S_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq C_\alpha \omega_{\varphi,\alpha} \left(f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right),$$

which proves the theorem. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors thank to the referees for their careful reading and their excellent suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Holhoş, *Uniform weighted approximation by positive linear operators*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **56**(3) (2011), 135–146.
- [2] B. Bede, L. Coroianu and S. G. Gal, *Approximation by Max-Product Type Operators*, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, 2016.

- [3] B. Bede, L. Coroianu and S. G. Gal, *Approximation and shape preserving properties of the nonlinear Favard-Szász-Mirakyan operator of max-product type*, Filomat **24**(3) (2010), 55–72. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1003055B>
- [4] B. Bede and S. G. Gal, *Approximation by nonlinear Bernstein and Favard-Szász-Mirakyan operators of max-product kind*, Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics **8**(2) (2010), 193–207.
- [5] A. Holhoş, *Approximation of functions by Favard-Szász-Mirakyan operator of max-product type in weighted spaces*, Filomat **32**(7) (2017), 2567–2576. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1807567H>
- [6] A. Holhoş, *Weighted approximation of functions by Meyer-König and Zeller operators of max-product type*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **39**(6) (2018), 689–703. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01630563.2017.1413386>
- [7] V. Totik, *Uniform approximation by Szász-Mirakjan type operators*, Acta Math. Hungar. **41**(3) (1983), 291–307.
- [8] A. Holhoş, *Quantitative estimates of Voronovskaya type in weighted spaces*, Results Math. **73**(2) (2018), 52–73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-018-0814-9>
- [9] O. Szasz, *Generalization of Bernstein's polynomials to the infinite interval*, Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards **45**(3) (1950), 239–245.

¹TURKEY,
HARRAN UNIVERSITY
Email address: karakusecem@harran.edu.tr

²ROMANIA,
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA
Email address: Adrian.Holhos@math.utcluj.ro

³TURKEY,
HARRAN UNIVERSITY
Email address: sevilaykirci@gmail.com