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#### Abstract

A class of $p$-Laplacian equations with Sturm-Liouville type nonhomogeneous boundary value problem with nonlinear derivative depending on two control parameters is investigated. Existence and multiplicity of solutions are discussed by means of variational methods and critical point theory. Two examples supporting our theoretical results are also presented.


## 1. Introduction

Various generalizations of classical Sturm-Liouville problems for ordinary linear differential equations have attracted a lot of attention because of appearance of new important applications in physical sciences and applied mathematics. Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems have received a lot of attention in recent years. There have been many papers studying the existence of solutions for boundary value problems, for a small sample of recent work, we refer the reader to $[1,7,8,11,13,16-18]$ that authors have studied the existence of solutions of Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem by using critical point theorem and fixed point theorem. For example, Bonanno and Riccobono in [8] have established the existence of multiple solutions for the second order Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\rho \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+s \phi_{p}(x)=\lambda f(t, x), & t \in[a, b], \\ \alpha x^{\prime}(a)-\beta x(a)=A, \quad \gamma x^{\prime}(b)+\sigma x(b)=B, & \end{cases}
$$

[^0]where $p>1, \phi_{p}(x)=|x|^{p-2} x, \rho, s \in L^{\infty([a, b])}$ with $\operatorname{essinf}_{[a, b]} \rho>0$ and $\operatorname{essinf}_{[a, b]} s>0$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma>0, f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function and $\lambda$ is a positive real parameter. In [18] Tian and Ge, applying a three critical point theorem due to Averna and Bonanno discussed the existence of three solutions for a Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem depending upon the parameter $\lambda$, while in [17] using lower and upper solutions approach and variational methods they proved the existence of multiple solutions for second order Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
-L u=f(x, u), \\
R_{1}(u)=0, R_{2}(u)=0,
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where $L u=\left(p(x) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q(x) u$ is a Sturm-Liouville operator $R_{1}(u)=\alpha u^{\prime}(0)-\beta u(0)$, $R_{2}(u)=\gamma u^{\prime}(1)+\sigma u(1)$. In [13] using critical point theory and Ricceri's variational principle, the existence of infinitely many classical solutions to a boundary value system with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions was obtained.

In the present paper, we investigate the existence of solutions for the Sturm-Liouville type nonhomogeneous boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\left(\lambda f(x, u(x))+\int_{0}^{u^{\prime}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{(p-1)|\tau|^{p-2}}{h(x, \tau)}\right) d \tau\right) h\left(x, u^{\prime}(x)\right), \quad x \in(a, b),  \tag{1.1}\\
\alpha u(a)-\beta u^{\prime}(a)=A, \quad \gamma u(b)+\sigma u^{\prime}(b)=B,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p>1, \phi_{p}(t)=|t|^{p-1} t, \lambda>0$, is a parameter, $\alpha, \gamma, \beta, \sigma>0$ and $A, B$ are arbitrary constants. The function $h:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions
(i) $0<m:=\inf _{(x, t) \in[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}} h(x, t) \leq M:=\sup _{(x, t) \in[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}} h(x, t)$;
(ii) the function $t \rightarrow h(x, t)$ is continuous for all $x \in[a, b]$ and the function $x \rightarrow h(x, t)$ is in $C^{1}([a, b])$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
We also assume that the function $f:[a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function.
In [14] Sun et al. established the new criteria for the existence of infinitely many solutions for a class of one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equations with Sturm-Liouville type nonhomogeneous boundary problem (1.1) with the perturbation term $\mu g(x, u(x))$.

We also refer the interested reader to the papers $[3,12]$ in which using variational methods and critical point theory, the existence of solutions for boundary value problems with nonlinear derivative dependence have been discussed. A second-order impulsive differential inclusion with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions is studied. By using a nonsmooth version of a three critical point theorem of Ricceri, the existence of three solutions is obtained in [15]. In [4] utilizing variational methods the existence of at least one weak solution for elliptic problems on the real line was discused.

Here, we study the existence of multiple solutions for the problem (1.1). In Theorem 3.1 we prove the existence of at least two solutions for the problem (1.1). As a special case of Theorem 3.1, we investigate the existence of at least two solutions, when $w(x)=d$, that $d$ is a constant; see Corollary 3.1. In Theorem 3.2 we show that the
problem (1.1) has at least three solutions. We also show that for small values of the parameter and requiring an additional asymptotical behaviour of the potential at zero if $f(x, 0)=0$ for all $x \in[a, b]$, the solutions are nontrivial; see Remark 3.1. Moreover, we deduce the existence of solutions for small positive values of the parameter $\lambda$ such that the corresponding solutions have smaller and smaller energies as the parameter goes to zero; see Remark 3.2. Finally, we give two examples to show the application of our results.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a real Banach space and for two functions $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $r, r_{1}, r_{2}>$ $\inf _{X} \Psi$, with $r_{1}<r_{2}$ we define the following functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(r)=\inf _{u \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} \frac{\Phi(u)-\inf _{u \in \overline{\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)^{\omega}}} \Phi(u)}{r-\Psi(u)},  \tag{2.1}\\
& \varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=\inf _{u \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1}[]} \sup _{v \in \Psi^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)} \frac{\Phi(u)-\Phi(v)}{\Psi(v)-\Psi(u)}, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\overline{\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)^{\omega}}$ is the closure $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)$ in the weak topology.
Theorem 2.1. ([5, Theorem 1.1.]) Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, and let $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and Gâteaux differentiable functions. Assume that $\Psi$ is (strongly) continuous and satisfies $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi(u)$ $=+\infty$. Assume also that there exist two constants $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{1}\right) \inf _{X} \Psi<r_{1}<r_{2} ; \\
& \left(a_{2}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)<\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) ; \\
& \left(a_{3}\right) \varphi_{1}\left(r_{2}\right)<\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, there exists a positive real number $\sigma$ such that, for each

$$
\lambda \in] \frac{1}{\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)}, \min \left\{\frac{1}{\varphi_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)}, \frac{1}{\varphi_{1}\left(r_{2}\right)}\right\}[,
$$

the equation $\Psi^{\prime}+\lambda \Phi^{\prime}$ admits at least two solutions whose norms are less than $\sigma$.
For all $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}>\inf _{X} \Psi$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{3}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)=\inf _{u \in \Psi^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]\right)} \sup _{v \in \Psi^{-1}\left(\left[r_{2}, r_{3}\right]\right)} \frac{\Phi(u)-\Phi(v)}{\Psi(v)-\Psi(u)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\varphi_{2}\left(r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \leq \varphi_{3}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)$.
Theorem 2.2. ([5, Theorem 2.2.]) Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, and let $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and Gâteaux differentiable functions. Assume that $\Psi$ is (strongly) continuous and satisfies $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi(u)$ $=+\infty$. Assume also that there exist two constants $r_{1}, r_{3}$ and $r_{3}$ such that

$$
\left(b_{1}\right) \inf _{X} \Psi<r_{1}<r_{2}<r_{3} ;
$$

$\left(b_{2}\right) \max \left\{\varphi_{1}\left(r_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}\left(r_{2}\right), \varphi_{1}\left(r_{3}\right)\right\}<\min \left\{\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right), \varphi_{3}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)\right\}$.
Then there exists a positive real number $\sigma$ such that for each

$$
\lambda \in] \max \left\{\frac{1}{\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)}, \frac{1}{\varphi_{3}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right)}\right\}, \min \left\{\frac{1}{\varphi_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)}, \frac{1}{\varphi_{1}\left(r_{2}\right)}, \frac{1}{\varphi_{1}\left(r_{3}\right)}\right\}[
$$

the equation $\Psi^{\prime}+\lambda \Phi^{\prime}=0$ admits at least three solutions whose norms are less than $\sigma$.
Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 have been used to the existence of multiple solutions for a two point boundary value problem driven by one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian and a second-order Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem in [5, 16], respectively. The present paper paper is a continuation for the application of the critical point theorems.

Let $X$ be the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}([a, b])$ equipped with norm

$$
\|u\|:=\left(\int_{a}^{b}|u(t)|^{p}+\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \text { for all } u \in X
$$

Then, the space $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ is a real reflexive Banach space and $\max \left\{\|u\|_{L^{p}},\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}\right\} \leq$ $\|u\|$ for each $u \in X$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem (see [9]), $X$ is compactly embedded into $C([a, b])$. We also denote $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ as the usual norm of $L^{\infty}([a, b])$.

For all $x \in[a, b]$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define the functions

$$
J_{x}(s)=J(x, s):=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(p-1)|\delta|^{p-2}}{h(x, \delta)} d \delta
$$

and

$$
H_{x}(s)=H(x, s):=\int_{0}^{s} J(x, \tau) d \tau
$$

For any fixed $x \in[a, b]$, the fact that $H_{x}^{\prime \prime}(s)=J_{x}^{\prime}(s)=\frac{(p-1)|s|^{p-2}}{h(x, s)} \geq 0$ implies that $H_{x}$ is a strictly convex $C^{2}$ function and $J_{x}$ is a strictly increasing $C^{1}$ function. Simple calculation shows that for every $x \in[a, b], s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|s|^{p-1}}{M} \leq|J(x, s)| \leq \frac{|s|^{p-1}}{m}, \quad \frac{|s|^{p}}{p M} \leq|H(x, s)| \leq \frac{|s|^{p}}{p m} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $u \in X$, let the functionals $\Psi, \Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(u)=\int_{a}^{b} H\left(x, u^{\prime}(x)\right) d x+\frac{\beta}{\alpha} H\left(a, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} u(a)-\frac{1}{\beta} A\right)+\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} H\left(b,-\frac{\gamma}{\sigma} u(b)+\frac{1}{\sigma} B\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(u)=\int_{a}^{b} F(x, u(x)) d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F(x, t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s, \quad \text { for all }(x, t) \in[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}
$$

In view of (2.4), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{M p}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\beta^{p-1}}\left|u(a)-\frac{1}{\alpha} A\right|^{p}+\frac{\gamma^{p-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}}\left|u(b)-\frac{1}{\gamma} B\right|^{p}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq \Psi(u) \leq \frac{1}{m p}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\beta^{p-1}}\left|u(a)-\frac{1}{\alpha} A\right|^{p}+\frac{\gamma^{p-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}}\left|u(b)-\frac{1}{\gamma} B\right|^{p}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. ([14, Lemma 2.1]) Assume that $u \in X$ and there exists $r>0$ such that $\Phi(u) \leq r$, then, we have

$$
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq(M p r)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+(b-a)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha}|A|
$$

where $q$ is the conjugate of $p$, i.e., $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Definition 2.1. We say that $u$ is a classical solution to (1.1) if $u \in C^{1}([a, b])$, $\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime} \in A C^{1}([a, b]), \alpha u(a)-\beta u^{\prime}(a)=\int_{a}^{b} \xi(x) u(x) d x, \gamma u(b)-\sigma u^{\prime}(b)=\int_{a}^{b \eta(x)} u(x) d x$ and

$$
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{\prime}=\left(\lambda f(x, u(x))+\int_{0}^{u^{\prime}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{(p-1)|\tau|^{p-2}}{h(x, \tau)} d \tau\right)\right) h\left(x, u^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

for almost every complete $x \in[a, b]$, where $A C^{1}([a, b])$ denotes the space of those functions whose first derivatives along with themselves are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

Definition 2.2. We say that $u$ is a weak solution to (1.1) if $u \in X$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} J\left(x, u^{\prime}(x)\right) v^{\prime}(x) d x+J\left(a, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} u(a)-\frac{1}{\beta} A\right) v(a)-J\left(b,-\frac{\gamma}{\sigma} u(b)+\frac{1}{\sigma} B\right) v(b) \\
& -\lambda \int_{a}^{b} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $v \in X$.
Lemma 2.2. ([14, Lemma 2.4]) Weak solutions of (1.1) coincide with classical solutions of (1.1).
Lemma 2.3. ([14, Lemma 2.5]) Assume that the functional $\Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by (2.5). Then $\Psi$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, continuous, $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi(u)=+\infty$ and its Gâteaux derivative $u \in X$ is the functional $\Psi^{\prime}(u)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{\prime}(u)(v)= & \int_{a}^{b} J\left(x, u^{\prime}(x)\right) v^{\prime}(x) d x+J\left(a, \frac{\alpha}{\beta} u(a)-\frac{1}{\beta} A\right) v(a) \\
& -J\left(b,-\frac{\gamma}{\sigma} u(b)+\frac{1}{\sigma} B\right) v(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $v \in X$.

Remark 2.1. If $u \in X$ is a critical point of $I_{\lambda}=\Psi+\lambda \Phi$ in view of Definition 2.2, then, $u$ is a classical solution of the problem (1.1).

## 3. Main Results

For any $\nu>0$, we define

$$
Q(\nu):=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}:|t| \leq \nu\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+(b-a)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha}|A|\right\} .
$$

We formulate our first main result as an application of Theorem 2.1 as follows.
Theorem 3.1. Assume there exist two positive constants $c_{1}<c_{2}$ and a function $w \in X$ such that
$\left(A_{1}\right) c_{1}^{p} \leq K_{w} \leq \frac{m}{M} c_{2}^{p}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
K_{w}:=\left(\left\|w^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\beta^{p-1}}\left|w(a)-\frac{1}{\alpha} A\right|^{p}+\frac{\gamma^{p-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}}\left|w(b)-\frac{1}{\gamma} B\right|^{p}\right) \\
\left(A_{2}\right) A_{c_{i}} M p<\frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, w(x)) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q\left(c_{1}\right)} F(x, t) d x}{\Psi(w)} \text { for } i=1,2
\end{array}
$$

Then, for each

$$
\lambda \in] \frac{\Psi(w)}{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, w(x)) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q\left(c_{1}\right)} F(x, t) d x}, \frac{\min \left\{\frac{1}{A c_{1}}, \frac{1}{A c_{2}}\right\}}{M p}[,
$$

the problem (1.1) has at least two classical solutions whose norms in $C([a, b])$ are less than $c_{2}$ where $A_{c_{i}}=\frac{1}{c_{i}^{p}} \int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q\left(c_{i}\right)} F(x, t) d x$.

Proof. Let $\Psi, \Phi$ be as given by (2.5) and (2.6), respectively. By Lemma 2.3 we observe that $\Psi, \Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous and Gâteaux differentiable functions and $\Psi$ is continuous and satisfies $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi(u)=+\infty$. We want to obtain at least two critical points of $I_{\lambda}=\Psi+\lambda \Phi$ by applying Theorem 2.1. It remains to verify condition $\left(a_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}\right)$ and $\left(a_{3}\right)$ in Theorem 2.1. Let $r_{i}=\frac{c_{i}^{p}}{M p}, i=1,2$. By (2.7) and $\left(A_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
r_{1}<\frac{1}{M p} K_{w} \leq \Psi(w) \leq \frac{1}{m p} K_{w}<r_{2}
$$

It is easy to see that $\left(a_{1}\right)$ holds since $r_{1}, r_{2}>0$. Now we will show that $\left(a_{2}\right)$ in Theorem 2.1 is satisfied. Taking into account that the function $u \equiv 0$ on $[a, b]$ obviously belongs to $\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)$ and that $\Psi(0)=\Phi(0)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}(r)=\inf _{u \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)} \frac{\Phi(u)-\inf _{u \in \overline{\left.\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r \mid\right)^{\omega}}} \Phi(x)}{r-\Psi(u)} \leq-\frac{1}{r} \inf _{u \in \overline{\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)^{\omega}}} \Phi(u) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noticing $\overline{\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)^{\omega}}=\Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r[)$ by Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{-1}(-\infty, r) & =\{u \in X: \Psi(u)<r\} \\
& \subseteq\left\{u \in X:\|u\|_{\infty} \leq(M p r)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+(b-a)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha}|A|\right\} \\
& =\left\{u \in X: \max _{x \in[a, b]}|u(x)| \in Q(c)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{1}(r) & \leq \frac{\sup _{u \in \Psi^{-1}(-\infty, r)} \int_{a}^{b} F(x, u(x)) d x}{r} \\
& \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q(c)} F(x, t) d x}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore, we have

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(r_{i}\right) \leq \frac{M p}{c_{i}^{p}} \int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q\left(c_{i}\right)} F(x, t) d x, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

On the one hand, by Lemma 2.1 and $r_{1} \leq \Psi(w) \leq r_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) & =\inf _{\left.u \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1} \mid\right)} \sup _{v \in \Psi^{-1}\left(\left[r_{1}, r_{2}[)\right.\right.} \frac{\Phi(u)-\Phi(v)}{\Psi(v)-\Psi(u)} \\
& \geq \inf _{\left.u \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1} \mid\right)} \frac{\Phi(u)-\Phi(w)}{\Psi(w)-\Psi(u)} \\
& \geq \inf _{\left.u \in \Psi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_{1} \mid\right)} \frac{1}{\Psi(w)-\Psi(u)}\left(\int_{a}^{b} F(x, w(x)) d x-\int_{a}^{b} F(x, u(x)) d x\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, w(x)) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q\left(c_{1}\right)} F(x, t) d x}{\Psi(w)-\Psi(u)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\left(A_{2}\right)$ we have that $\int_{a}^{b} F(x, w(x)) d x-\int_{a}^{b} F(x, u(x)) d x>0$, so

$$
\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \geq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} F(x, w(x)) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q\left(c_{1}\right)} F(x, t) d x}{\Psi(w)}
$$

Then, from $\left(A_{2}\right),\left(a_{2}\right)$ and $\left(a_{3}\right)$ in Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled. By choosing $\sigma=r_{2}$, the conclusion follows. Therefore, it follows that the functional $I_{\lambda}$ has two critical points which are the weak solutions of the problem (1.1), and since from Lemma 2.3 the weak solutions coincide with the classical solutions, we have the desired result.

In Theorem 3.1, the condition $\left(A_{2}\right)$ is related to the function $w \in W^{1, p}$. A different function $w \in W^{1, p}$ would lead to a different condition, which is similar to $\left(A_{2}\right)$. For example, we let $w(x)=d$ where $d$ is a constant. We have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. Assume there exist three positive constants $c_{1}, d, c_{2}$ such that
$\left(A_{1}^{\prime}\right) c_{1}^{p}<K_{d}<\frac{m}{M} c_{2}^{p}$, where

$$
K_{d}:=\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{p-1}\left|d-\frac{1}{\alpha} A\right|^{p}+\left(\frac{\gamma}{\sigma}\right)^{p-1}\left|d-\frac{1}{\gamma} B\right|^{p}
$$

( $\left.A_{2}^{\prime}\right) A_{c_{i}} \frac{M}{m}<\frac{B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}{K_{d}}$,
where $A_{c_{i}}$ is defined in Theorem 3.1 and

$$
B\left(d, c_{1}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} F(x, d) d x-\int_{a}^{b} \sup _{t \in Q\left(c_{1}\right)} F(x, t) d x .
$$

Then, for every

$$
\lambda \in] \frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \frac{\min \left\{\frac{1}{A c_{1}}, \frac{1}{A c_{2}}\right\}}{M p}[,
$$

the problem (1.1) has at least two classical solutions whose norms in $C([a, b])$ are less than $c_{2}$.

Next, we state our second main result as an application of Theorem 2.2 as follows.
Theorem 3.2. Assume that there exist five constants $c_{1}, d_{1}, c_{2}, d_{2}, c_{3}$ with

$$
c_{i}^{p}<K_{d_{i}} \leq c_{i+1}^{p} \frac{m}{M}, \quad i=1,2,
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M}{m} A^{*}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right) \leq B_{c_{1}, c_{2}}^{*}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A^{*}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)=\max \left\{A_{c_{i}}: i=1,2,3\right\}
$$

and

$$
B_{c_{1}, c_{2}}^{*}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=\min \left\{\frac{B\left(d_{1}, c_{1}\right)}{K_{d_{1}}}, \frac{B\left(d_{2}, c_{2}\right)}{K_{d_{2}}}\right\} .
$$

Then, for each

$$
\lambda \in] \frac{1}{m p B_{c_{1}, c_{2}}^{*}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)}, \frac{1}{M p A^{*}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right)}[
$$

the problem (1.1) admits at least three classical solutions whose norms in $C([a, b])$ are less than $c_{3}$.

Proof. Take the Banach space $X$ and the functionals $\Psi, \Phi$ on $X$ are defined by (2.5) and (2.6). Let $r_{i}=\frac{c_{i}^{p}}{M p}$ and $w_{1}=d_{1}, w_{2}=d_{2}$. By the same arguing as given in the proof of Theorem 3.1 one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}<\Psi\left(w_{1}\right)<r_{2}<\Psi\left(w_{2}\right)<r_{3}, \\
\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \geq \frac{m p}{K_{d_{1}}} B\left(d_{1}, c_{1}\right), \\
\varphi_{2}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \geq \varphi_{2}\left(r_{2}, r_{3}\right) \geq \frac{m p}{K_{d_{2}}} B\left(d_{2}, c_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\varphi\left(r_{i}\right) \leq M p A_{c_{i}}, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

Therefore, taking into account (3.2), there exist at least three classical solutions. Not taking into account the zero solution, there are at least three nonzero classical solutions whose norms in $C([a, b])$ are less than $c_{3}$. Then, taking into account the fact that the weak solutions of the problem (1.1) are exactly critical points of the functional $I_{\lambda}$, also by using Lemma 2.3, we know the weak solutions coincide with the classical solutions, so we have the desired conclusion.

Remark 3.1. If $f(x, 0) \neq 0$ for some $x \in[a, b]$, then the ensured solutions in Theorem 3.1 are non-trivial. On the other hand, the non-triviality of the solution can be achieved also in $f(x, 0)=0$ for some $x \in[a, b]$, requiring the extra condition at zero, and there are a non-empty open set $D \subseteq(a, b)$ and $B \subset D$ such that

$$
\limsup _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\inf _{x \in B} F(x, \xi)}{|\xi|^{p}}=+\infty
$$

and

$$
\liminf _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\inf _{x \in D} F(x, \xi)}{|\xi|^{p}}>-\infty
$$

Indeed, let $0<\bar{\lambda}<\lambda^{*}$, where

$$
\lambda^{*}=\frac{\min \left\{\frac{1}{A c_{1}}, \frac{1}{A c_{2}}\right\}}{M p} .
$$

Let $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ be as given in (2.5) and (2.6), respectively. Due to Corollary 3.1 for every $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \bar{\lambda}\right)$ there exists a critical point of $I_{\lambda}=\Psi+\lambda \Phi$ such that $u_{\lambda} \in \Psi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$, where $r_{\lambda}=\frac{c_{\lambda}^{p}}{M p}$. In particular, $u_{\lambda}$ is a global minimum of the restriction of $I_{\lambda}$ to $\Psi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$. We will prove that $u_{\lambda}$ cannot be trivial. Let us show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\|u\| \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\Phi(u)}{\Psi(u)}=+\infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thanks to our assumptions at zero, we can fix a sequence $\left\{\xi_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{+}$converging to zero and two constants $\sigma, \kappa$ (with $\sigma>0$ ) such that for every $\xi \in[0, \sigma]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\inf _{x \in B} F\left(x, \xi_{n}\right)}{\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{p}}=+\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\inf _{x \in D} F(x, \xi)>\kappa|\xi|^{p}
$$

We consider a set $G \subset B$ of positive measure and a function $v \in X$ such that

$$
\left(k_{1}\right) v(t) \in[0,1] \text { for every } t \in(a, b) ;
$$

( $k_{2}$ ) $v(t)=1$ for every $t \in G$;
$\left(k_{3}\right) v(t)=0$ for every $t \in(a, b) \backslash D$.

Finally, fix $M>0$ and consider a real positive number $\eta$ with

$$
M<\frac{m p \eta \operatorname{meas}(G)+m p \kappa \int_{D \backslash G}|v(t)| d t}{K_{u}}
$$

where

$$
K_{u}=\frac{1}{m p}\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\beta^{p-1}}\left|u(a)-\frac{1}{\alpha} A\right|^{p}+\frac{\gamma^{p-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}}\left|u(b)-\frac{1}{\gamma} B\right|^{p}\right) .
$$

Then, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi_{n}<\sigma$ and

$$
\inf _{x \in B} F\left(x, \xi_{n}\right) \geq \kappa\left|\xi_{n}\right|^{p}
$$

for every $n>n_{0}$. Now, for every $n>n_{0}$, by considering the properties of the function $v$ (that is $0 \leq \xi_{n} v(t)<\sigma$ for $n$ large enough), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Phi\left(\xi_{n} v\right)}{\Psi\left(\xi_{n} v\right)} & =\frac{\int_{G} F\left(t, \xi_{n}\right) d t+\int_{D \backslash G} F\left(t, \xi_{n} v(t)\right) d t}{\Psi\left(\xi_{n} v\right)} \\
& >\frac{m p \eta \operatorname{meas}(G)+m p \kappa \int_{D \backslash G}|v(t)| d t}{K_{u}}>M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M$ could be arbitrarily large, it yields

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi\left(\xi_{n} v\right)}{\Psi\left(\xi_{n} v\right)}=+\infty
$$

from which (3.3) clearly follows. Hence, there exists $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\} \subset X$ strongly converging to zero such that, $\omega_{n} \in \Psi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$ and

$$
I_{\lambda}\left(\omega_{n}\right)=\Psi\left(\omega_{n}\right)+\lambda \Phi\left(\omega_{n}\right)<0
$$

Since $u_{\lambda}$ is a global minimum of the restriction of $I_{\lambda}$ to $\Psi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)<0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. From (3.5) we easily observe that the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right) \ni \lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is negative. Also, one has

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|=0
$$

Indeed, bearing in mind that $\Psi$ is coercive and for every $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ the solution $u_{\lambda} \in \Psi^{-1}(-\infty, r)$, one has that there exists a positive constant $L$ such that $\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\| \leq L$ for every $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$. Then, there exists a positive constant $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x, u(x)) v(x) d x\right| \leq N\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\| \leq N L \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$. Since $u_{\lambda}$ is a critical point of $I_{\lambda}$, we have $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)(v)=0$ for every $v \in X$ and every $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$. In particular $I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=0$, that is

$$
\Psi^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} f\left(x, u_{\lambda}(x)\right) u_{\lambda}(x) d x
$$

for every $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$. Then, it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \frac{1}{M p}\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}^{p}+\frac{\alpha^{p-1}}{\beta^{p-1}}\left|u_{\lambda}(a)-\frac{1}{\alpha} A\right|^{p}+\frac{\gamma^{p-1}}{\sigma^{p-1}}\left|u_{\lambda}(b)-\frac{1}{\gamma} B\right|^{p}\right) \\
& \leq \Psi^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \\
& =-\lambda \int_{a}^{b} f\left(x, u_{\lambda}(x)\right) u_{\lambda}(x) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$. Letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$by (3.7), we get

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|=0
$$

Then, we have obviously the desired conclusion. Finally, we have to show that the map $\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)$ is strictly decreasing in $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$. We see that for any $u \in X$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}=\lambda\left(\frac{\Psi(u)}{\lambda}+\Phi(u)\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us fix $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda^{*}$ and let $u_{\lambda_{i}}$ be the global minimum of the functional $I_{\lambda_{i}}$ restricted to $\Psi(-\infty, r)$ for $i=1,2$. Also, set

$$
m_{\lambda_{i}}=\left(\frac{\Psi\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)}{\lambda_{i}}+\Phi\left(u_{\lambda_{i}}\right)\right)=\inf _{v \in \Psi-1(-\infty, r)}\left(\frac{\Psi(v)}{\lambda_{i}}+\Phi(v)\right),
$$

for every $i=1,2$. Clearly, (3.6) together with (3.8) and the positivity of $\lambda$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda_{i}}<0, \quad \text { for } i=1,2 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda_{2}}<m_{\lambda_{1}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the fact that $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$. Then, by (3.8)-(3.10) and again by the fact that $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$, we get

$$
I_{\lambda_{2}}\left(u_{\lambda_{2}}\right)=\lambda_{2} m_{\lambda_{2}} \leq \lambda_{2} m_{\lambda_{1}}<\lambda_{1} m_{\lambda_{1}},
$$

so that the map $\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)$ is strictly decreasing in $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$. The arbitrariness of $\lambda<\lambda^{*}$ shows that $\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)$ is strictly decreasing in $\lambda \in\left(\frac{K_{d}}{m p B\left(d, c_{1}\right)}, \lambda^{*}\right)$.

We now present the following example to illustrate Corollary 3.1.

Example 3.1. Let $a=0, b=1, \alpha=\beta=1, \gamma=1, \sigma=2, A=0, B=10, p=2$, $h(x, t)=1+x+|\sin t|$ for every $(x, t) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $f(x, t)=\frac{1}{10^{6}}\left(t^{9} e^{-t}(10-t) \sin x\right)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By the expression of $f$, we have $F(x, t)=\frac{1}{10^{6}}\left(t^{10} e^{-t} \sin x\right)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We observe that $m=1$, and $M=3$. Choosing $d=10, c_{1}=\frac{1}{10}, c_{2}=10^{2}$, since $Q\left(c_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{10}, Q\left(c_{2}\right)=2 \times 10^{2}, K_{d}=10^{2}$, we see that all conditions in Corollary 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, taking Remark 3.2 it follows that for each

$$
\lambda \in] \frac{10^{2}}{9180 e^{-10}}, \frac{2^{13} \times 57375 e^{-200}}{6}[,
$$

the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\left(\lambda f(u)+\int_{0}^{u^{\prime}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{(p-1)|\tau|^{p-2}}{1+x+|\sin \tau|}\right) d \tau\right) \\
\times\left(1+x+\left|\sin u^{\prime}(x)\right|\right), \\
u(0)-u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u(1)+2 u^{\prime}(1)=10,
\end{array} x \in(0,1),\right.
$$

has at least two nontrivial solutions $u_{1 \lambda}$ and $u_{2 \lambda}$ in $X$ such that

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{i \lambda}\right\|=0
$$

and the real function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \rightarrow & \int_{a}^{b} H\left(x, u_{i \lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right) d x+H\left(0, u_{i \lambda}(0)\right)+\frac{\sigma}{\gamma} H\left(1,-\frac{1}{2} u_{i \lambda}(1)+\frac{1}{2} 10\right) \\
& +\frac{\lambda}{10^{6}} \int_{0}^{1} t^{10} e^{-t} \sin u_{i \lambda}(x) d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=1,2$.
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