

## ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF FUZZY MILD SOLUTION OF FRACTIONAL EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

AZIZ EL GHAZOUANI<sup>1</sup>, FOUAD IBRAHIM ABDOU AMIR<sup>1</sup>, M'HAMED ELOMARI<sup>1</sup>,  
AND SAID MELLIANI<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the nonlocal Cauchy problem is discussed for the fuzzy fractional evolution equations in an arbitrary Banach space for order  $q \in (1, 2)$  and the criteria on the existence and uniqueness of mild fuzzy solutions are obtained by using Schauder's fixed point theorem. An example to illustrate the applications of main results is also given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set theory has been attracting increasing interest in recent years as it is widely used in several fields such as mechanics, electrical engineering, signal processing, etc. As a result, in recent decades, fuzzy set theory has become a hot and current topic and has received much attention from researchers (see for instance [16, 17]).

Note that Kaleva [11] discussed the properties of differentiable fuzzy set-valued mappings by means of the concept of  $H$ -differentiability due to Puri and Ralescu [12], gave the existence and uniqueness theorem for a solution of the fuzzy differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad u'(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

when  $f : I \times E^n \rightarrow E^n$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition.

---

*Key words and phrases.* Fuzzy fractional evolution equations, mild fuzzy solutions, Banach space, Schauder fixed point theorem.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 34A07. Secondary: 35R13.

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2506.949G

*Received:* January 14, 2023.

*Accepted:* April 25, 2023.

In [13] Bhaskar Dubey and Raju K. George studied the linear time-invariant systems with fuzzy initial condition

$$(1.2) \quad u'(t) = Au(t) + Bc(t), \quad u(t_0) = u_0,$$

where  $c(t) \in (E^1)^m$  a control and  $A, B$ , are  $n \times n, n \times m$  real matrices, respectively,  $t_0 \geq 0$ .

In [14] Nguyen Thi Kim Son demonstrate the efficiency of theoretical results by studying the existence of fuzzy mild solutions of nonlinear fuzzy fractional evolution equations

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^q x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)), & t \in [0, a], \\ x(0) = \varphi_0, \end{cases}$$

where  ${}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^q$  is the fuzzy Caputo fractional derivative of order  $q \in (0, 1)$ , and  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  the set of all triangular fuzzy numbers.

Building on this work, we have opted for the fuzzy Caputo derivative to prove the existence and uniqueness of the soft solution of the fuzzy initial value problem of the fractional evolution equation of order  $q \in (1, 2)$

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^q x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(t)), & t \in [0, a], 1 < q < 2, \\ x(0) = x_0, \\ {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-i}x(0) = x_i, & i = 1, \dots, |q|, \end{cases}$$

where  $A$  is a linear operator and  $f$  is a continuous function.

The purpose of this study is to develop an original kind of fuzzy initial value problem of the fractional evolution equation of order  $q \in (1, 2)$  utilizing fuzzy Caputo derivative of order  $q \in (1, 2)$ , and also to show the existence and uniqueness of its mild solutions.

The following is a breakdown of the paper's structure. After this Introduction we give Preliminaries which will be used throughout this paper, Fractional Integral Equation can be found in Section 3, The existence of mild solutions of the Cauchy problem for fractional evolution equations is studied in Section 4. In Section 5, we provide an example to present the applications of the results obtained in the abstract.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this part we recall some basic notions that will be useful in the rest of our article.

### 2.1. The metric space $E^1$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A fuzzy number is a fuzzy set  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  that satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $x$  is normal, i.e., there is a  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x(t_0) = 1$ ;
2.  $x$  is a fuzzy convex set;

- 3.  $x$  is upper semi-continuous;
- 4.  $x$  closure of  $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : x(t) > 0\}$  is compact.

We denote by  $E^1$  the space of all fuzzy numbers on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$E^1 = \{x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1] : x \text{ satisfies 1-4. below}\}.$$

For all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  the  $\alpha$ -cut of an element of  $E^1$  is defined by

$$x^\alpha = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : x(t) \geq \alpha\}.$$

By the former parcels we can write

$$(2.1) \quad x^\alpha = [\underline{x}(\alpha), \bar{x}(\alpha)].$$

The distance between two element of  $E^1$  is given by (see [1])

$$(2.2) \quad d(x, y) = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1]} \max\{|\bar{x}(\alpha) - \bar{y}(\alpha)|, |\underline{x}(\alpha) - \underline{y}(\alpha)|\}.$$

And the following properties are valid:

- 1.  $d(x + z, y + z) = d(x, y)$ ;
- 2.  $d(\lambda x, \lambda y) = |\lambda|d(x, y)$ ;
- 3.  $d(x + y, w + z) \leq d(x, w) + d(y, z)$ .

The operations of addition and scalar multiplication of fuzzy numbers on  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  have the form

$$(2.3) \quad [x \oplus y]^\alpha = [x]^\alpha + [y]^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad [\lambda \odot x]^\alpha = \lambda[x]^\alpha, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$(2.4) \quad [x]^\alpha + [y]^\alpha = \{a + b : a \in [x]^\alpha, b \in [y]^\alpha\}$$

is the Minkowski sum of  $[x]^\alpha$  and  $[y]^\alpha$  and

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda[x]^\alpha = \{\lambda a : a \in [x]^\alpha\}.$$

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , the gH difference [2] of  $x$  and  $y$ , denoted by  $x \ominus_{gH} y$ , is defined as the element  $z \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad x \ominus_{gH} y = z \Leftrightarrow \{(i) \ x = y + z \ \text{or} \ (ii) \ y = x + (-1)z\}.$$

In terms of  $\alpha$ -levels we have

$$(x \ominus_{gH} y)^\alpha = [\min\{\underline{x}(\alpha) - \underline{y}(\alpha), \bar{x}(\alpha) - \bar{y}(\alpha)\}, \max\{\underline{x}(\alpha) - \underline{y}(\alpha), \bar{x}(\alpha) - \bar{y}(\alpha)\}].$$

And the conditions for the existence of  $z = x \ominus_g y \in E^1$  are:

case (i):

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \underline{z}(\alpha) = \underline{x}(\alpha) - \underline{y}(\alpha) \text{ and } \bar{z}(\alpha) = \bar{x}(\alpha) - \bar{y}(\alpha), \\ \text{with } \underline{z}(\alpha) \text{ increasing, } \bar{z}(\alpha) \text{ decreasing, } \underline{z}(\alpha) \leq \bar{z}(\alpha); \end{cases}$$

case (ii):

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \underline{z}(\alpha) = \bar{x}(\alpha) - \bar{y}(\alpha) \text{ and } \bar{z}(\alpha) = \underline{x}(\alpha) - \underline{y}(\alpha), \\ \text{with } \underline{z}(\alpha) \text{ increasing, } \bar{z}(\alpha) \text{ decreasing, } \underline{z}(\alpha) \leq \bar{z}(\alpha), \end{cases}$$

for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

In general, with  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , there does not exist  $y \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  such that  $x \oplus y = 0$ . Then, unfortunately,  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not a linear space with addition and scalar multiplication. Consequently,  $(\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}, \|\cdot\|)$  is not a Banach space, where  $\|x\| = d_{\infty}(x, \hat{0})$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Denote  $\mathcal{T}$  by the set of all triangular fuzzy numbers in  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .  $(\mathcal{T}, d_{\infty})$  is a subspace of the metric space  $(\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}, d_{\infty})$ . It is a complete metric space. Moreover, Bede [3] showed that if  $x, y \in \mathcal{T}$ , then the difference  $x \ominus_{gH} y$  always exists in  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $x \ominus_{gH} y = (-1) \odot (y \ominus_{gH} x)$ .

Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and denote  $\mathcal{C}(J, X)$  by the set of all continuous mappings  $f : J \rightarrow X$ .

**2.2. Hukuhara’s derivative.** Let  $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E^1$  a fuzzy-valued function. The  $\alpha$ -level of  $f$  is given by

$$f(t, \alpha) = \left[ \underline{f}(t, \alpha), \bar{f}(t, \alpha) \right], \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b], \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

**Definition 2.2** ([4]). Let  $t_0 \in (a, b)$  and  $h$  be such that  $t_0 + h \in (a, b)$ , then the generalized Hukuhara derivative of a fuzzy value function  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow E^1$  at  $t_0$  is defined as

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{f(t_0 + h) -_g f(t_0)}{h} -_g f'_{gH}(t_0) \right\|_1 = 0.$$

If  $f'_{gH}(t_0) \in E^1$  satisfying (3.4) exists, we say that  $f$  is generalized Hukuhara differentiable (gH-differentiable for short) at  $t_0$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([4]). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow E^1$  and  $t_0 \in (a, b)$ , with  $\underline{f}(t, \alpha)$  and  $\bar{f}(t, \alpha)$  both differentiable at  $t_0$ .

We say that

1.  $f$  is [(i) – gH]-differentiable at  $t_0$  if

$$(2.10) \quad f'_{i,gH}(t_0) = \left[ \underline{f}'(t, \alpha), \bar{f}'(t, \alpha) \right];$$

2.  $f$  is [(ii) – gH]-differentiable at  $t_0$  if

$$(2.11) \quad f'_{ii,gH}(t_0) = \left[ \bar{f}'(t, \alpha), \underline{f}'(t, \alpha) \right].$$

**Theorem 2.1** ([6]). Let  $f : J \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E^1$  and  $\phi : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in J$ . Suppose that  $\phi(t)$  is differentiable function at  $t$  and the fuzzy-valued function  $f(t)$  is gH-differentiable at  $t$ . So,

$$(2.12) \quad (f\phi)'_g(t) = (f'\phi)_g(t) + (f\phi')_g(t).$$

**Definition 2.4** ([5]). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow E^1$  and  $f'_gH(t)$  be gH-differentiable at  $t_0 \in (a, b)$ , moreover there isn’t any switching point on  $(a, b)$  and  $\underline{f}(t, \alpha)$  and  $\bar{f}(t, \alpha)$  both differentiable at  $t_0$ . We say that

- $f'$  is [(i) – gH]-differentiable at  $t_0$ , if

$$f''_{i,gH}(t_0) = \left[ \underline{f}''(t, \alpha), \bar{f}''(x, \alpha) \right];$$

- $f'$  is  $[(ii) - gH]$ -differentiable at  $t_0$ , if

$$f''_{ii,gH}(t_0) = \left[ \bar{f}''(t, \alpha), \underline{f}''(t, \alpha) \right].$$

**2.3. Fuzzy fractional derivative.** We present generalized fuzzy fractional derivative and their properties.

**Definition 2.5** ([9]). Let  $f \in L^{E^1}([a, b])$ . The fuzzy Riemann-Liouville integral of fuzzy-valued function  $f$  is defined as following:

$$(2.13) \quad I_{RL}^q f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \odot \int_a^t (t - s)^{q-1} \odot f(s) ds, \quad a < s < t, 0 < q < 1.$$

**Definition 2.6** ([6], Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative-RL). Let us consider  $f \in L^{E^1}([a, b])$  is a fuzzy number valued function,

$$(2.14) \quad D_{RL,gH}^q f(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \odot \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^n \int_a^s (s - t)^{n-q-1} \odot f(t) dt, & n - 1 < q < n, \\ \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{n-1} f(s), & q = n - 1. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.7** ([6]). In the definition of RL fractional derivative, suppose the integer order of the derivative is an operator inside of the integral and operating on operand function  $f(t) \in E^1, t \in [a, b]$ . We get the definition of Caputo  $gH$  derivative of  $f(t)$

$$(2.15) \quad {}_C D_{gH}^q f(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \odot \int_a^s (s - t)^{n-q-1} \odot f_{gH}^{(n)}(t) dt, & n - 1 < q < n, \\ \left(\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{n-1} f(s), & q = n - 1. \end{cases}$$

Also we say that  $f$  is  $[(i) - gH]$ -differentiable at  $t_0$ , if

$$(2.16) \quad {}_{gH} D_t^q f(x, t; \alpha) = \left[ D^q \underline{f}(x, t; \alpha), D^q \bar{f}(x, t; \alpha) \right], \quad \text{for all } q \in (0, 1),$$

and  $f$  is  $[(ii) - gH]$ -differentiable at  $t_0$ , if

$$(2.17) \quad {}_{gH} D_t^q f(x, t; \alpha) = \left[ D^q \bar{f}(x, t; \alpha), \underline{f}(x, t; \alpha) \right], \quad \text{for all } q \in (0, 1).$$

**Definition 2.8** ([10]). Let  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X \subset \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  be a continuous function such that  $e^{-st} \odot f(t)$  is integrable. Then the fuzzy Laplace transform of  $f$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}[f(t)]$ , is

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{L}[f(t)] := F(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-st} \odot f(t) dt, \quad s > 0.$$

A fuzzy-valued function  $f$  is exponent bounded of order  $\beta$  if there exists  $M > 0$  similar that

$$(\exists t_0 > 0) d_{+\infty}(f(t), \hat{0}) \leq M e^{\beta t}, \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $x(t)$  is a fuzzy peace-wise continuous function on  $[0, +\infty]$  and of exponential order  $a$ , then

$$(2.19) \quad \mathbf{L}((x \star y)(t)) = \mathbf{L}(x(t)) \odot \mathbf{L}(y(t)),$$

where  $y(t)$  is a peace-wise continuous real function on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}(x(t)) \odot \mathbf{L}(y(t)) &= \left( \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s\tau} \odot x(\tau) d\tau \right) \odot \left( \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s\sigma} \odot y(\sigma) d\sigma \right) \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-s(\tau+\sigma)} \odot x(\tau) d\tau \right) \odot y(\sigma) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Let us to hold  $\tau$  fixed in the interior integral, substituting  $t = \tau + \sigma$  and  $d\sigma = dt$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}(x(t)) \odot \mathbf{L}(y(t)) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left( \int_{\sigma}^{+\infty} e^{-st} \odot x(\tau) \odot y(t - \tau) dt \right) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} e^{-st} \odot x(\tau) \odot y(t - \tau) dt d\tau \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-st} \odot \left( \int_0^t x(t - \sigma) \odot y(\sigma) d\tau \right) d\sigma \\ &= \mathbf{L}((x \star y)(t)). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 2.9** ([15]). 1. The Gamma function is given by

$$(2.20) \quad \Gamma(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

2. The  $\mathbb{B}$  function is defined by

$$(2.21) \quad \mathbb{B}(x, y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1 - t)^{y-1}, \quad \text{for all } x, y > 0.$$

**Proposition 2.2** ([15]). 1. For all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ ,  $\mathbb{B}(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$ .

2. For all  $x > 0$ ,  $\Gamma(x + 1) = x\Gamma(x)$ . It is easy to show the following lemma.

**Proposition 2.3.** For all  $\alpha > 0$ , we get the following result

$$(2.22) \quad \int_0^t E_{\alpha,1}(As^\alpha) ds = tE_{\alpha,2}(At^\alpha).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t E_{\alpha,1}(As^\alpha) ds &= \int_0^t \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{s^{n\alpha}}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)} A^n ds \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\int_0^t s^{n\alpha} ds}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)} A^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^{n\alpha+1}}{(n\alpha + 1)\Gamma(n\alpha + 1)} A^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^{n\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(n\alpha + 2)} A^n \\ &= tE_{\alpha,2}(At^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.1.** For all  $\alpha \in [1, 2]$  and  $s > 0$ , we have

1.  $s^{\alpha-1} (s^\alpha - A)^{-1} = \mathcal{L} (E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha)) (s)$ ;
2.  $s^{\alpha-2} (s^\alpha - A)^{-1} = \mathcal{L} (tE_{\alpha,2} (At^\alpha)) (s)$ ;
3.  $(s^\alpha - A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \mathcal{L} \left( \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-2} E_{\alpha,1} (As^\alpha) ds \right)$ .

*Proof.* 1. For  $s > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} (E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha)) (s) &= \mathcal{L} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{t^{\alpha n} A^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{L} (t^{\alpha n}) \frac{A^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^{n\alpha+1}} A^n \\ &= s^{\alpha-1} (s^\alpha - A)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

2. For  $s > 0$ ,  $s^{\alpha-1} (s^\alpha - A)^{-1} = \mathcal{L} (E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha)) (s)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} s^{\alpha-2} (s^\alpha - A)^{-1} &= s^{-1} s^{\alpha-1} (s^\alpha - A)^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{L} (1)(s) \mathcal{L} (E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha)) (s) \\ &= \mathcal{L} (1 * E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha)) (s) \\ &= \mathcal{L} \left( \int_0^t E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha) \right) (s) \\ &= \mathcal{L} (tE_{\alpha,2} (t^\alpha A)) (s). \end{aligned}$$

3. From (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (s^\alpha - A)^{-1} &= s^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{L} (E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha)) (s) \\ &= \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \right) \mathcal{L} (E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha)) (s) \\ &= \mathcal{L} \left( \frac{t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} * E_{\alpha,1} (At^\alpha) \right) (s) \\ &= \mathcal{L} \left( \int_0^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} E_{\alpha,1} (A\delta^\alpha) d\delta \right) (s), \end{aligned}$$

hence the desired result. □

**Lemma 2.2** ([10]). (1) Let  $f, g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$  be continuous functions,  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then

$$\mathcal{L} [c_1 \odot f(t) + c_2 \odot g(t)] = c_1 \odot \mathcal{L}[f(t)] + c_2 \odot \mathcal{L}[g(t)].$$

(2) Let  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow X$  be a continuous function. Then

$$\mathcal{L} [e^{at} \odot f(t)] = F(s-a), \quad s-a > 0.$$

(3) Let  $f \in C^1([0, +\infty), X)$  be exponent bounded of order  $\beta$ . Then

- (i) if  $f$  is  $[(i) - gH]$  differentiable, then  $\mathcal{L} [\mathcal{D}_{gH}^i f(t)] = s \odot \mathcal{L}[f(t)] \ominus f(0)$ ;
- (ii) if  $f$  is  $[(ii) - gH]$  differentiable, then  $\mathcal{L} [\mathcal{D}_{gH}^{ii} f(t)] = (-1) \odot f(0) \ominus (-s) \odot \mathcal{L}[f(t)]$ .

Now, we recall Schauder’s fixed point theorem and the Ascoli-Arzela theorem as follows.

**Theorem 2.2** (Schauder fixed point theorem). *Let  $Y$  be a nonempty, closed, bounded and convex subset of a Banach space  $X$ , and suppose that  $P : Y \rightarrow Y$  is a compact operator. Then  $P$  has at least one fixed point in  $Y$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** (Ascoli-Arzela). *Let  $\phi_n(t)$  be a sequence of functions from  $[a, b]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  which is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Then,  $\phi_n(t)$  has a uniformly convergent subsequence.*

### 3. FUZZY FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATION

In this section, we have performed the Cauchy problem for fuzzy fractional evolution equations involving Caputo gH derivatives

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^q x(t) = Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(t)), & t \in [0, a], \\ x(0) = x_0, & 1 < q < 2, \\ {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-i} x(0) = x_i, & i = 1, \dots, |q|, \end{cases}$$

where  ${}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^q$  is the fuzzy Caputo fractional derivative of order  $q \in (1, 2)$ , and  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Using Caputo’s fuzzy fractional derivative definition, applying the Riemann-Liouville mixed fractional integral operator  ${}^{RL} \mathcal{J}^q_{0+}$  member to member in (3.1) and using the Newton-Leibnitz formula for the gH derivative [3], we get

$$(3.2) \quad x(t) \ominus_{gH} x(0) \ominus_{gH} t \odot x'(0) = {}^{RL} \mathcal{J}^q_{0+} (Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(t))).$$

From the definition of gH difference and (3.1), we get the following assertions.

(i) If  $x$  is Caputo  $[(i) - gH]$  differentiable, then

$$(3.3) \quad x(t) = x(0) + t \odot x'(0) + {}^{RL} \mathcal{J}^q_{0+} (Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(t))).$$

(ii) If  $x$  is Caputo  $[(ii) - gH]$  differentiable, then

$$(3.4) \quad x(t) = x(0) + t \odot x'(0) \ominus (-1) \odot {}^{RL} \mathcal{J}^q_{0+} (Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(t))).$$

By applying the fuzzy Laplace transform in [10], we obtain the precise integral formula of the Cauchy problem (3.1) as follows.

**Lemma 3.1.** *(i) If  $x$  is Caputo  $[(i) - gH]$  differentiable satisfying the Cauchy problem (3.1), then*

$$(3.5) \quad x(t) = E_{q,1} (At^q) \odot x_0 + t \odot E_{q,2} (At^q) \odot x_1$$

$$(3.6) \quad + \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s)) \, d\delta ds.$$



(ii) If  $x$  is Caputo  $[(ii) - gH]$  differentiable satisfying the Cauchy problem (3.1), then

$$(3.7) \quad x(t) = E_{q,1}(At^q) \odot x_0 + t \odot E_{q,2}(At^q) \odot x_1 \\ \ominus (-1) \odot \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds.$$

Here,  $E_{q,1}(At^q)$  is the Mittag-Leffler function.

*Proof.* Set

$$(3.9) \quad X(s) = \mathcal{L}[x(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \odot x(t) dt$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad F(s) = \mathcal{L}[f(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \odot f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(t)) dt.$$

Case 1. Assume that  $x$  is Caputo  $[(i) - gH]$  differentiable on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Then from (3.3) and [10] we have

$$x(t) = x(0) + t \odot x'(0) + {}^{RL}\mathcal{J}_{0+}^q \left( Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(t)) \right), \\ X(s) = \mathcal{L}(x(0)) + \mathcal{L}(t \odot x'(0)) + \mathcal{L} \left( {}^{RL}\mathcal{J}_{0+}^q \left( Ax(t) + f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(t)) \right) \right), \\ = \frac{1}{s} \odot x_0 + \frac{1}{s^2} \odot x_1 + \frac{1}{s^q} \odot AX(s) + \frac{1}{s^q} \odot F(s),$$

which implies

$$s^q \odot X(s) = s^{q-1} \odot x_0 + s^{q-2} \odot x_1 + AX(s) + F(s), \\ (s^q \odot Id \ominus A) \odot X(s) = s^{q-1} \odot x_0 + s^{q-2} \odot x_1 + F(s), \\ X(s) = (s^q \odot Id \ominus A)^{-1} \odot s^{q-1} \odot x_0 + (s^q \odot Id \ominus A)^{-1} \odot s^{q-2} \odot x_1 \\ + (s^q \odot Id \ominus A)^{-1} \odot F(s)$$

or

$$(s^q \odot Id \ominus A)^{-1} \odot s^{q-1} = \mathcal{L}(E_{q,1}(At^q))(s), \\ (s^q \odot Id \ominus A)^{-1} \odot s^{q-2} = \mathcal{L}(t \odot E_{q,2}(At^q))(s), \\ (s^q \odot Id \ominus A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot \mathcal{L} \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{q-2} E_{q,1}(A\tau^q) d\tau \right),$$

which give

$$(3.11) \quad X(s) = \mathcal{L}(E_{q,1}(At^q)) \odot x_0 + \mathcal{L}(t \odot E_{q,2}(At^q)) \odot x_1 + \mathcal{L}(g * f),$$

with  $g(t) = \int_0^t \frac{(t-\tau)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A\tau^q) d\tau$ .

Applying the inverse Laplace transformation, we get:

$$(3.12) \quad x(t) = E_{q,1}(At^q) \odot x_0 + t \odot E_{q,2}(At^q) \odot x_1$$

$$(3.13) \quad + \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) \, d\delta ds.$$

Case 2. Assume that  $x$  is Caputo [(ii) –  $gH$ ] differentiable on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Then from (3.4) we have in the same way

$$(3.14)$$

$$(3.15) \quad x(t) = E_{q,1}(At^q) \odot x_0 + t \odot E_{q,2}(At^q) \odot x_1 \\ \ominus (-1) \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) \, d\delta ds.$$

This completes the proof. □

#### 4. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF MILD SOLUTIONS

**Definition 4.1.** By a mild fuzzy solution in type 1 of the Cauchy problem (3.1), we mean a function  $x \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$  that satisfies (3.5). By a mild fuzzy solution in type 2 of the Cauchy problem (3.1), we mean a function  $x \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$  that satisfies (3.7).

The following hypotheses will be used in the next results.

(H1) For almost all  $t \in [0, a]$ , the function  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, a] \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$  is continuous and for each  $z \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ , the function  $f(\cdot, z, {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}z) : [0, a] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is strongly measurable.

(H2) There exist  $q_2 \in [0, q), B_r := \{x \in \mathcal{T} : d_\infty(x, \hat{0}) \leq r\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $\rho(\cdot) \in L^{\frac{1}{q_2}}([0, a], \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], B_r)$  we have

$$(4.1) \quad d_\infty(f(t, x(t), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(t)), f(t, y(t), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}y(t))) \leq \rho(t)d_\infty(x(t), y(t)), \quad t \in [0, a].$$

(H3) There exists a constant  $q_1 \in [0, q)$  and  $m \in L^{\frac{1}{q_1}}([0, a], \mathbb{R}^+)$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad d_\infty(f(t, z(t), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}z(t)), \hat{0}) \leq m(t),$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$  and for almost all  $t \in [0, a]$ .

(H4)  $E_{q,n}(At^q)$  is a compact operator for every  $t > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Under hypotheses (H<sub>1</sub>)-(H<sub>4</sub>) the Cauchy problem (3.1) has a mild fuzzy solution in type 1 in space  $\mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ . Since  $x$  is continuous with respect to  $t$  and hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>),  $f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s))$  is a measurable function on  $[0, a]$ . Let

$$(4.3) \quad b = \frac{q - 1}{1 - q_1}, \quad M_1 = \|m\|_{L^{\frac{1}{q_1}}[0, a]}.$$

For  $t \in [0, a]$ , by applying Holder’s inequality and (H<sub>3</sub>), we have

$$d_\infty\left(\int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) ds, \hat{0}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \odot d_\infty(f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)), \hat{0}) ds \\ &\leq \left( \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{q-1}{1-q_1}} ds \right)^{1-q_1} \|m\|_{L^{\frac{1}{q_1}}[0, t]} \\ &\leq \frac{M_1 a^{(1+b)(1-q_1)}}{(1+b)^{1-q_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &d_\infty \left( \int_0^t \int_s^t \left( \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) \right) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\ &\leq \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot d_\infty \left( E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)), \hat{0} \right) d\delta ds \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(q)} \odot \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} d_\infty(f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)), \hat{0}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{M_1 M a^{(1+b)(1-q_1)}}{\Gamma(q)(1+b)^{1-q_1}}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, a]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds$$

is bounded for all  $t \in [0, a]$ .

For  $x \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1x)(t) &= E_{q,1}(At^q) \odot x_0 + t \odot E_{q,2}(At^q) \odot x_1, \quad t \in [0, a], \\ (F_2x)(t) &= \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$k_0 = M(\|x_0\| + a\|x_1\|) + \frac{M_1 M a^{(1+b)(1-q_1)}}{\Gamma(q)(1+b)^{1-q_1}}$$

and  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0} := \{x(\cdot) \in C([0, a], \mathcal{T}) : d_\infty(x(t), \hat{0}) \leq k_0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, a]\}$ . We will prove that  $F_1x + F_2x$  has a fixed point on  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ .

**Step 1.** We show for every  $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ ,  $F_1x + F_2x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ . Indeed, with  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq a$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d_\infty((F_2x)(t_2), (F_2x)(t_1)) \\ &= d_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} \frac{(t_2-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} \frac{(t_1-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d_\infty \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds \right. \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds \\
&\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} \frac{(t_1 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
&= d_\infty \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds \right. \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} \frac{[(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2} - (t_1 - \delta)^{q-2}]}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \\
&\quad \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds \\
&\quad \left. + \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
&\leq d_\infty \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
&\quad + d_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} \frac{[(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2} - (t_1 - \delta)^{q-2}]}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
&\quad + d_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
&= I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= d_\infty \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
I_2 &= d_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} \frac{[(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2} - (t_1 - \delta)^{q-2}]}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
I_3 &= d_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$I_1 = d_\infty \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{MM_1}{\Gamma(q)} \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{1-q_1} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{MM_1}{(1+b)^{1-q_1}\Gamma(q)} (t_2 - t_1)^{(b+1)(1-q_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= d_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} \frac{[(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2} - (t_1 - \delta)^{q-2}]}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(q)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - t_1)^{q-1}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \odot d_\infty \left( f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) ds, \hat{0} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(q)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^{q-1} - (t_1 - s)^{q-1}] \odot d_\infty \left( f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) ds, \hat{0} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - t_1)^{q-1} \odot d_\infty \left( f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) ds, \hat{0} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(q)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} [(t_2 - s)^b - (t_1 - s)^b]^{1-q_1} \odot M_1 - (t_2 - t_1)^{q-1} \odot t_1^{1-q_1} M_1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{MM_1}{(b+1)^{1-q_1}\Gamma(q)} \left( -(t_2 - t_1)^{b+1} + t_2^{b+1} - t_1^{b+1} - (t_2 - t_1)^{q-1}(b+1)^{1-q_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Likewise

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= d_\infty \left( \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\Gamma(q)} \left( \int_0^{t_1} (t_2 - t_1)^{q-1} \odot d_\infty \left( f(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)) ds, \hat{0} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{MM_1(t_2 - t_1)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} t_1^{1-q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is straightforward that  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$  tend to 0 as  $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$ . So,  $(F_2x)(t)$  is continuous in  $t \in [0, a]$ . It is easy to see that  $(F_1x)(t)$  is also continuous in  $t \in [0, a]$ . Now, for any  $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$  and  $t \in [0, a]$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad d_\infty \left( (F_1x)(t) + (F_2x)(t), \hat{0} \right) \leq M (\|x_0\| + a\|x_1\|) + \frac{M_1Ma^{(1+b)(1-q_1)}}{\Gamma(q)(1+b)^{1-q_1}} \leq k_0.$$

Then  $F_1 + F_2$  is an operator from  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$  into  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ .

**Step 2.** We prove that  $F_2$  is a fully continuous operator that can be decomposed into several small steps.

First, we show that  $F_2$  is continuous in  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ .

Let  $\{x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  on  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ . Applying hypothesis  $(H_2)$ , we get

$$(4.5) \quad f\left(s, x_n(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x_n(s)\right) \rightarrow f\left(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)\right), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

almost everywhere  $t \in [0, a]$ .

From the hypothesis  $(H_3)$ ,

$$d_\infty\left(f\left(s, x_n(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x_n(s)\right), f\left(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)\right)\right) \leq 2m(s).$$

Therefore, by the domination convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d_\infty\left((F_2x_n)(t), (F_2x)(t)\right) \\ & \leq \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{M(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot d_\infty\left(f\left(s, x_n(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x_n(s)\right), f\left(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)\right)\right) d\delta ds \\ & \leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \frac{qM}{\Gamma(1+q)} \odot d_\infty\left(f\left(s, x_n(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x_n(s)\right), f\left(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)\right)\right) ds \\ & \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , This means  $F_2$  is continuous.

Next, we show that  $F_2(\mathcal{B}_{k_0})$  is relatively compact. This is the family of functions  $\{F_2x : x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}\}$  and  $\{(F_2x)(t)\}$  relative compactness:  $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ , where  $t \in [0, a]$ .

We proved this for all  $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$  and  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq a$

$$d_\infty\left((F_2x)(t_2), (F_2x)(t_1)\right) \leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

We now have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \frac{MM_1}{(1+b)^{1-q_1}\Gamma(q)}(t_2-t_1)^{(b+1)(1-q_1)}, \\ I_2 & \leq \frac{MM_1}{(b+1)^{1-q_1}\Gamma(q)}\left(- (t_2-t_1)^{b+1} + t_2^{b+1} - t_1^{b+1} - (t_2-t_1)^{q-1}(b+1)^{1-q_1}\right), \\ I_3 & \leq \frac{MM_1(t_2-t_1)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)}t_1^{1-q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

From **Step 1**, it is easy to see that  $F_2(\mathcal{B}_{k_0})$  is equicontinuous.

Proving this is enough for each  $t \in [0, a]$ ,  $V(t) = \{(F_2x)(t) : x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}\}$  is relatively compact. For any fixed  $0 < t \leq a$ , for all  $\epsilon \in (0, t)$  and for all  $\delta > 0$ , let the operator  $F_{\epsilon,\delta}$  be define as

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_{\epsilon,\delta}x)(t) \\ & = \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_{s+\eta}^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q) \odot f\left(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)\right) d\delta ds \\ & = \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_{s+\eta}^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1}(A(\delta-s)^q - A(\eta-\epsilon) + A(\eta-\epsilon)) \\ & \quad \odot f\left(s, x(s), {}^C_{gH}\mathcal{D}^{q-1}x(s)\right) d\delta ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= E_{q,1} (A(\eta - \epsilon)) \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_{s+\eta}^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q - A(\eta - \epsilon)) \\
 &\quad \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ . From hypothesis (H4),  $E_{q,1} (A(\eta - \epsilon))$  is the compact operator, then  $V_{\epsilon,\delta}(t) = \{(F_{\epsilon,\delta}x)(t) : x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}\}$  is relatively compact. Moreover, for all  $x \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d_\infty ((F_2x)(t), (F_{\epsilon,\delta}x)(t)) \\
 &= d_\infty \left( \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_{s+\eta}^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds \right) \\
 &= d_\infty \left( \int_0^t \int_s^{s+\eta} \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{s+\eta}^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_{s+\eta}^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
 &\leq d_\infty \left( \int_0^t \int_s^{s+\eta} \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
 &\quad + d_\infty \left( \int_{t-\epsilon}^t \int_{s+\eta}^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds, \hat{0} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{M_1 M}{(b + 1)\Gamma(q)} \left[ ((-\eta)^{b+1} - (a - \eta)^{b+1} + a^{b+1})^{1-q_1} ( -(-\eta)^{b+1} + (-\epsilon - \eta)^{b+1} )^{1-q_1} \right] \\
 &\rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

when  $\eta, \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have a relatively compact set arbitrarily close to  $V(t), t > 0$ , which means that  $V(t), t > 0$ , is also relatively compact.

Applying the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem shows that  $F_2(\mathcal{B}_{k_0})$  is relatively compact. Since  $F_2$  is continuous and  $F_2(\mathcal{B}_{k_0})$  is relatively compact,  $F_2$  is a fully continuous operator.

According to Schauder's fixed point theorem,  $F_1 + F_2$  has a fixed point at  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ . So the nonlocal Cauchy problem (3.1) has a mild fuzzy solution of type 1.  $\square$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{F}[x](t) &= E_{q,1} (At^q) \odot x_0 + tE_{q,2} (At^q) \odot x_1 \\
 &\quad \odot (-1) \odot \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t - \delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q - 1)} \odot E_{q,1} (A(\delta - s)^q) \\
 &\quad \odot f \left( s, x(s), {}^C_{gH} \mathcal{D}^{q-1} x(s) \right) d\delta ds
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \hat{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T}) = \{x \in \mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T}) : \hat{F}[x](t) \text{ exists for all } t \in [0, a]\}.$$

The following results show that there exists a mild fuzzy solution for type 2 in the space  $\mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *The hypotheses (H1)-(H4) are true and*

(Q2)  $\hat{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T}) \neq \emptyset$ ;

(Q3) *if*  $x \in \hat{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ , *hence*  $\hat{F}[x] \in \hat{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ .

*In this case the Cauchy problem (3.1) has a mild fuzzy solution of type 2 in space  $\mathcal{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in \hat{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ ,  $\hat{F}[x](t) = (F_1x)(t) \ominus (-1) \odot (F_2x)(t)$ .

Set

$$k_0 = M (\|x_0\| + a\|x_1\|) + \frac{M_1 M a^{(1+b)(1-q_1)}}{\Gamma(q)(1+b)^{1-q_1}}.$$

Using a similar method as before with the Caputo  $[(i) - \text{gH}]$  derivative, we get:  $F_1x \ominus (-1) \odot F_2y \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$  for any pair  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0} \subset \hat{C}([0, a], \mathcal{T})$ , where  $(F_1x)(t)$  and  $(F_2x)(t)$  are continuous in  $t \in [0, a]$ .

Now for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\infty \left( (F_1x)(t) \ominus (-1) \odot (F_2x)(t), \hat{0} \right) &\leq d_\infty \left( (F_1x)(t), \hat{0} \right) + d_\infty \left( (F_2x)(t), \hat{0} \right) \\ &\leq M (\|x_0\| + a\|x_1\|) + \frac{M_1 M a^{(1+b)(1-q_1)}}{\Gamma(q)(1+b)^{1-q_1}} \\ &= k_0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $F_1 \ominus (-1) \odot F_2$  is an operator from  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$  into  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ .

Since  $F_2$  is a fully continuous operator, according to the Schauder fixed point theorem  $F_1 \ominus (-1) \odot F_2$  has a fixed point on  $\mathcal{B}_{k_0}$ , this means that the Cauchy problem (3.1) has a mild fuzzy solution of type 2. □

### 5. AN EXAMPLE

Consider the following equations

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) + \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} \left( \frac{|u(t, x)|}{1 + |u(t, x)|} \right), & (t, x) \in ]0, 1[ \times ]0, 1[, \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0, & t \in ]0, 1[, \\ u(0, x) = \psi(x), & x \in ]0, 1[, \\ {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(0, x) = \phi(x), & x \in ]0, 1[. \end{cases}$$

We choose  $\mathbb{X} = \mathcal{C}([0, 1] \times \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$  and we do not forget the operator  $A : D(A) \subset \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  defined by

$$D(A) = \left\{ u \in \mathbb{X} : \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } u(0, 0) = u(0, 1) = 0 \right\}, \quad Au = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u.$$



Then, we get

$$(5.2) \quad \overline{D(A)} = \{u \in \mathbb{X} : u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0\}.$$

This implies that  $A$  satisfies (H4).

As is well known that  $A$  generates a compact  $C_0$ -semigroup  $E_{q,n}(At^q)$  on  $\overline{D(A)}$ . Let's pose  $X(t) = u(t, \cdot)$ , that is  $X(t)(x) = u(t, x)$ , for all  $(t, x) \in ]0, 1[ \times ]0, 1[$ .

In this example, we have the function  $f : ]0, 1[ \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is given by

$$f(t, X(t)) = \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} \left( \frac{|X(t)|}{1 + |X(t)|} \right).$$

It is clear that for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], B_r)$  we have

$$d_\infty(f(t, X(t)), f(t, Y(t))) \leq \rho(t) d_\infty(X(t), Y(t)), \quad \text{with } \rho(t) = \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} \in L^1,$$

for all  $t \in ]0, 1[$ , and that

$$d_\infty(f(t, X(t), \tilde{0})) \leq m(t), \quad \text{with } m(t) = \frac{1}{9 + e^t} \in L^1, \text{ for all } t \in ]0, 1[.$$

Moreover,  $f$  is continuous, therefore it is strongly measurable. Hence, according to Theorem 4.1 and Definition 4.1, problem (5.1) admits two types of solutions expressed as follow

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) = & E_{q,1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} t^q \right) \odot x_0 + t \odot E_{q,2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} t^q \right) \odot x_1 \\ & + \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\delta-s)^q \right) \odot \frac{e^{-s}}{9 + e^s} \left( \frac{|X(s)|}{1 + |X(s)|} \right) d\delta ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) = & E_{q,1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} t^q \right) \odot x_0 + t \odot E_{q,2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} t^q \right) \odot x_1 \\ & \ominus (-1) \int_0^t \int_s^t \frac{(t-\delta)^{q-2}}{\Gamma(q-1)} \odot E_{q,1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\delta-s)^q \right) \odot \frac{e^{-s}}{9 + e^s} \left( \frac{|X(s)|}{1 + |X(s)|} \right) d\delta ds. \end{aligned}$$

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this work, the nonlocal Cauchy problem of fuzzy evolutionary equations in arbitrary Banach spaces of order  $q \in (1, 2)$  is discussed, and the existence and uniqueness criteria for mild fuzzy solutions are determined utilizing the Schauder fixed point theorem. An example illustrating the application of the main results is also provided.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. A. Anastassiou, *Fuzzy Mathematics: Approximation Theory*, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-11220-1>

- [2] B. Bede and S. G. Gal, *Generalizations of the differentiability of fuzzy-number-valued functions with applications to fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **151**(3) (2005), 581–599. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2004.08.001>
- [3] B. Bede, *Fuzzy sets*, in: *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013, 1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35221-8\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35221-8_1)
- [4] B. Bede and L. Stefanini, *Generalized differentiability of fuzzy valued functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **230**(0) (2013), 119–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2012.10.003>
- [5] T. Allahviranloo, Z. Gouyandeh, A. Armand and A. Hasanoglu, *On fuzzy solutions for heat equation based on generalized Hukuhara differentiability*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **265** (2015), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2014.11.009>
- [6] T. Allahviranloo, *Fuzzy fractional differential operators and equations*, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing **397** (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-51272-9>
- [7] H. C. Wu, *The improper fuzzy Riemann integral and its numerical integration*, Inf. Sci. **111**(14) (1998), 109–137. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-0255\(98\)00016-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-0255(98)00016-4)
- [8] H. Brezis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-70914-7>
- [9] A. Armand and Z. Gouyandeh, *Fuzzy fractional integro-differential equations under generalized Caputo differentiability*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics **10**(5) (2015), 789–798.
- [10] T. Allahviranloo and M. B. Ahmadi, *Fuzzy Laplace transforms*, Soft Computing **14**(3) (2010), 235–243. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-008-0397-6>
- [11] O. Kaleva, *Fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **24**(3) (1987), 301–317. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114\(87\)90029-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(87)90029-7)
- [12] M. L. Puri, D. A. Ralescu and L. Zadeh, *Fuzzy random variables*, in: *Readings in Fuzzy Sets for Intelligent Systems*, Morgan Kaufmann, 1993, 265–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4832-1450-4.50029-8>
- [13] B. Dubey and R. K. George, *Controllability of linear time-invariant dynamical systems with fuzzy initial condition*, Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering and Computer Science (2) (2013).
- [14] N. T. K. Son, *A foundation on semigroups of operators defined on the set of triangular fuzzy numbers and its application to fuzzy fractional evolution equations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **347** (2018), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2018.02.003>
- [15] R. Gorenflo, A. A. Kilbas, F. Mainardi and S. V. Rogosin, *Mittag-Leffler Functions*, Springer, New York, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-43930-2>
- [16] N. Iqbal, A. U. K. Niazi, R. Shafqat and S. Zaland, *Existence and uniqueness of mild solution for fractional-order controlled fuzzy evolution equation*, Journal of Function Spaces **2021** (2021), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5795065>
- [17] A. U. K. Niazi, J. He, R. Shafqat and B. Ahmed, *Existence, uniqueness, and  $E_q$ -Ulam-type stability of fuzzy fractional differential equation*, Fractal and Fractional **5**(3) (2021), paper ID 66. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fractalfract5030066>

<sup>1</sup>LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, SULTAN MOULAY SLIMANE UNIVERSITY, BENI MELLAL, MOROCCO

*Email address:* aziz.elghazouani@usms.ac.ma

*Email address:* s.melliani@usms.ma

*Email address:* m.elomari@usms.ma

*Email address:* fouadibramih@gmail.com