

## COLORED TVERBERG THEOREMS FOR NON-PRIME POWERS

LEANDRO V. MAURI<sup>1</sup>, RADE T. ŽIVALJEVIĆ<sup>2</sup>, DENISE DE MATTOS<sup>1</sup>,  
AND EDIVALDO L. DOS SANTOS<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** We prove a relative of both the *original* and the *optimal (Type B)* version of the Colored Tverberg theorem of Živaljević and Vrećica (Theorems 2.2 and 2.3), which modifies these results in two different ways.

(1) We extend the original theorems beyond the prime powers by showing that the theorem is valid if the number of rainbow faces is  $q = p^n - 1$ .

(2) The size of some rainbow simplices may be smaller than in the original theorems. More precisely  $|C_i| \in \{2q - 2, 2q + 1\}$  while (for comparison) in the original theorems it is  $|C_i| = 2q - 1$ .

The proof relies on equivariant index theory and a result of Volovikov [17] about partial coincidences of maps  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , from a  $G$ -space into the Euclidean space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K \subset 2^{[m]}$  be a simplicial complex (with  $m$  vertices). A continuous map  $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is called an *almost  $r$ -embedding* if  $f(\Delta_1) \cap \cdots \cap f(\Delta_r) = \emptyset$  for each collection  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i=1}^r$  of pairwise disjoint faces of  $K$ . If an almost  $r$ -embedding of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  does not exist we say that  $K$  is *not almost  $r$ -embeddable* in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The general *Tverberg problem* is to describe interesting classes of simplicial complexes which are or are not almost  $r$ -embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Historically the case of an  $N$ -dimensional simplex  $K = \Delta_N$  was studied first. It is still one of the central research themes, side by side with the case when  $K = R_{(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k+1})} := C_1 * \cdots * C_{k+1}$  is the join of 0-dimensional complexes (the Colored Tverberg problem).

---

*Key words and phrases.* Colored Tverberg theorem, Volovikov index, connectedness, chessboard complex, deleted join, deleted product.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 55U10, 05E45. Secondary: 52C99, 55T10.  
<https://doi.org/10.46793/KgJMat2604.645M>

*Received:* February 08, 2024.

*Accepted:* March 30, 2024.

**1.1. Almost  $r$ -embedding for non prime powers.** It is known [7] that almost  $r$ -embeddability (or non-embeddability) of a simplicial complex is critically dependent on the arithmetical properties of  $r$ . More precisely  $r$  is assumed to be a prime power  $r = p^n$  in the majority of results of this type.

What if  $r$  is not a prime power? For example, if  $K = \Delta_N$  is an  $N$ -dimensional simplex, then, as documented in the following results, the non-prime power case holds only if we substantially increase the dimension of the simplex.

- <sub>1</sub>  $\Delta_N$  is not almost  $r$ -embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  if  $r = p^\nu$  is a prime power,  $d \geq 1$ , and  $N = (r - 1)(d + 1)$  (I. Bárány, S. B. Shlosman, A. Szűcs 1981 [4]; M. Özaydin 1987 (unpublished); A. Y. Volovikov 1996 [15]; etc.).

- <sub>2</sub>  $\Delta_{r(d+1)-1}$  is not almost  $r$ -embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for all  $r \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 1$  (F. Frick and P. Soberon 2020 in the preprint “*The topological Tverberg problem beyond prime powers*”).

- <sub>3</sub>  $\Delta_{(r-1)(d+1)}$  is almost  $r$ -embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  if  $r = p^\nu$  is not a prime power and  $d \geq 2r + 1$  ([2, 5, 7, 13, 14]).

- <sub>4</sub>  $\Delta_N$  is almost  $r$ -embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  if  $r$  is not a prime power and  $N = (d + 1)r - r \left\lceil \frac{d+2}{r+1} \right\rceil - 2$  (S. Avvakumov, R. Karasev and A. Skopenkov [1]).

All these results are instances of the following general problem: Determine integers  $a$  and  $d$  such that there exists (or there does not exist) an almost  $r$ -embedding  $\Delta_a \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ . All of them illustrate the fact that the case when  $r$  is not prime power is more subtle and currently in the mainstream of research in this area.

In the same vein it is quite natural to explore the possibilities of extending the *Colored Tverberg problem* [19] to non-prime powers. More explicitly, we want to study the almost  $r$ -non embeddability of “rainbow complexes”

$$K = R_{(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k+1})} := C_1 * C_2 * \dots * C_{k+1},$$

if  $r$  is not a prime power.

Our Theorem 4.2 is an example of such an extension where:

- (1) the number of intersecting rainbow faces is  $q = p^n - 1$ ;
- (2)  $|C_1| = |C_2| = \dots = |C_m| = 2q + 1$ ,  $|C_{m+1}| = \dots = |C_{k+1-m}| = 2q - 2$ , under the condition

$$(1.1) \quad m \geq (d - k)(p^n - 1) = (d - k)q.$$

If  $k = d$  the condition (1.1) disappears and we observe (Corollary 4.1) that the result is valid if  $m = 0$ . This is a slight improvement over Theorem 2.2, where

$$|C_1| = |C_2| = \dots = |C_{d+1}| = 2r - 1.$$

(Note however that neither Theorem 2.2 nor Theorem 2.3 is formal consequence of Theorem 4.2.)

Examples 4.1 and 4.2 illustrate some special, low-dimensional cases of Theorem 4.2 which indicate that this result should be often close to the optimal in the case when the number of rainbow simplices is  $p^n - 1$ .

2. AN OVERVIEW OF TOPOLOGICAL TVERBERG TYPE RESULTS

The following result is known as the topological Tverberg theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (Topological Tverberg theorem, [4, 15] and M. Özaydin 1987 (unpublished)). *Let  $d \geq 1$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , and  $N = (r - 1)(d + 1)$  be integers. If  $r$  is a prime power, then for any continuous map  $f : \Delta_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  there are  $r$  pairwise disjoint faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  of  $\Delta_N$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$ .*

Interesting problems and (conjectured) extensions and relatives of the Topological Tverberg theorem have emerged over the years. In particular, motivated by questions from discrete and computational geometry, Bárány and Larman [3] formulated in 1992 the *colored Tverberg problem*.

**Definition 2.1** (Coloring). Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $V(\Delta_N)$  be the set of vertices of the simplex  $\Delta_N$ . A *coloring* of vertices of  $V(\Delta_N)$  by  $l$  colors is a partition  $(C_1, \dots, C_l)$  of  $V(\Delta_N)$ , that is  $V(\Delta_N) = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_l$ , with  $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ , for  $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ . The elements of the partition  $(C_1, \dots, C_l)$  are called *color classes*.

**Definition 2.2** (Rainbow face). Let  $(C_1, \dots, C_l)$  be the coloring of  $V(\Delta_N)$  by  $l$  colors. A face  $\sigma$  of the simplex  $\Delta_N$  is a *rainbow face* if  $|\sigma \cap C_i| \leq 1$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

*Problem 2.1* (Bárány-Larman colored Tverberg problem). Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 2$  be integers. Determine the smallest number  $n = n(d, r)$  such that for every map  $f : \Delta_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , and every coloring  $(C_1, \dots, C_{d+1})$  of the vertex set  $V(\Delta_{n-1})$  of the simplex  $\Delta_{n-1}$  by  $d + 1$  colors, with each color of size at least  $r$ , there exist  $r$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  of  $\Delta_{n-1}$  satisfying  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$ .

A modified colored Tverberg problem was presented by Živaljević and Vrećica in [20].

*Problem 2.2* (Živaljević-Vrećica colored Tverberg problem). Let  $d \geq 1$  and  $r \geq 2$  be integers. Determine the smallest number  $t = t(d, r)$  such that for every affine (or continuous) map  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , and every coloring  $(C_1, \dots, C_{d+1})$  of the the vertex set  $V(\Delta)$  by  $d + 1$  colors, with each color of size at least  $t$ , there exist  $r$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  of  $\Delta$  satisfying  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$ .

For  $r \geq 2$  a prime power, Živaljević and Vrećica proved that  $t(d, r) \leq 2r - 1$ . This result is known as the (*original*) *Colored Tverberg theorem of Živaljević and Vrećica*.

**Theorem 2.2** (*Colored Tverberg theorem of Živaljević and Vrećica* [20]). *Let  $d \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $r = p^n \geq 2$  be a prime power. For every continuous map  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , and every coloring  $(C_1, \dots, C_{d+1})$  of the the vertex set  $V(\Delta)$  by  $d + 1$  colors, with each color of size at least  $2r - 1$ , there exist  $r$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  of  $\Delta$  satisfying  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$ .*

The following result is known as *Optimal (Type B) Colored Tverberg theorem of Živaljević and Vrećica*, see [18, 19].

**Theorem 2.3** (Optimal (Type B) Colored Tverberg theorem of Živaljević and Vrećica). *Assume that  $r = p^v$  is a prime power,  $d \geq 1$ , and let  $k$  be an integer such that  $\frac{r-1}{r}d \leq k < d$ . Then, the complex*

$$R_{(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_k)} := C_0 * \dots * C_k$$

*is not almost  $r$ -embeddable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , if  $|C_i| \geq 2r - 1$  for all  $i$ .*

### 3. TOPOLOGICAL PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect central definitions and results needed for the proof of our main theorem.

**3.1. Configuration spaces.** *Deleted joins* and *deleted products* are the standard *configuration spaces* used, in the framework of the *configuration space/test map scheme* [8, 10, 19], in applications of topological methods to problems of combinatorics and discrete and computational geometry.

**Definition 3.1** (Deleted join). Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex, let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 2$  be integers, and let  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The  $n$ -fold  $k$ -wise deleted join of the simplicial complex  $K$  is the simplicial complex:

$$K_{\Delta(k)}^{*n} = \left\{ \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n \in \sigma_1 * \dots * \sigma_n \subset K^{*n} \mid (\forall I \subset [n]) |I| \geq k \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} \sigma_i = \emptyset \right\},$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  are faces of  $K$ , including the empty face. The symmetric group  $\mathcal{G}_n = \text{Sym}(n)$  acts on  $K_{\Delta(k)}^{*n}$  by:

$$\pi \cdot (\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n) = \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(1)} x_{\pi^{-1}(1)} + \dots + \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(n)} x_{\pi^{-1}(n)},$$

for  $\pi \in \mathcal{G}_n$  and  $\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_n x_n \in K_{\Delta(k)}^{*n}$ .

**Definition 3.2** (Deleted product). Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex, let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 2$  be integers, and let  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The  $n$ -fold  $k$ -wise deleted product of the simplicial complex  $K$  is the cell complex:

$$K_{\Delta(k)}^{\times n} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \sigma_1 \times \dots \times \sigma_n \subset K^{\times n} \mid (\forall I \subset [n]) |I| \geq k \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i \in I} \sigma_i = \emptyset \right\},$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  are non-empty faces of  $K$ . The symmetric group  $\mathcal{G}_n = \text{Sym}(n)$  acts on  $K_{\Delta(k)}^{\times n}$  by:

$$\pi \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\pi^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi^{-1}(n)}),$$

for  $\pi \in \mathcal{G}_n$  and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K_{\Delta(k)}^{\times n}$ .

**Definition 3.3** (Chessboard complex). The  $m \times n$  chessboard complex  $\Delta_{m,n}$  is the simplicial complex whose vertex set is  $[m] \times [n]$ , and the simplexes of  $\Delta_{m,n}$  are the subsets  $\{(i_0, j_0), \dots, (i_k, j_k)\} \subset [m] \times [n]$ , where  $i_s \neq i_{s'}, 1 \leq s < s' \leq k$ , and  $j_t \neq j_{t'}, 1 \leq t < t' \leq k$ .

**Definition 3.4** (Rainbow subcomplex). Let  $\Delta$  be a simplex with a coloring  $\mathcal{C} = (C_1, \dots, C_{d+1})$  by  $(d + 1)$  colors. We define the *rainbow subcomplex*  $R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{d+1})} \subset \Delta$  as follows:

$$R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{d+1})} \cong C_1 * \dots * C_{d+1},$$

where  $C_i$  is a discrete set of points, for every  $i \in [d + 1]$ .

**3.2. Volovikov index.** The following fundamental result of cohomology theory is used in the definition the Volovikov index of a  $G$ -space  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.1** (The cohomology Leray-Serre Spectral sequence [12, Theorem 5.2]). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with the unity. Given a fibration  $F \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$ , where  $B$  is a path-wise connected space, there is a first quadrant spectral sequence of algebras  $\{E_r^{*,*}, d_r\}$ , with*

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(B; \mathcal{H}^q(F; R)),$$

*the cohomology of  $B$ , with local coefficients in the cohomology of  $F$ , the fiber of  $p$ , and converging to  $H^*(E; R)$  as an algebra. Furthermore, this spectral sequence is natural with the respect to fiber-preserving maps of fibrations.*

We continue with the definition of the Volovikov index [16]. It is defined as a function on  $G$ -spaces (where  $G$  is a compact Lie group) whose values are either positive integers or  $\infty$ . For our application it is sufficient to assume that  $G$  is a  $p$ -torus  $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ , where  $p$  a prime number.

**Definition 3.5** (Volovikov index). Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and let  $X$  be a Hausdorff paracompact  $G$ -space. The definition of the *Volovikov index of  $X$* , denoted by  $i(X)$ , uses the spectral sequence of the bundle  $p_X : X_G \rightarrow BG$ , with fibre  $X$  (the Borel construction), given in Theorem 3.1. This spectral sequence converges to the equivariant cohomology  $H^*(X_G; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Let  $\Lambda^*$  be the equivariant cohomology algebra of a point  $H^*(pt_G; \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Suppose that  $X$  is path connected. Then  $E_2^{*,0} = \Lambda^*$ . Assume that  $E_2^{*,0} = \dots = E_s^{*,0} \neq E_{s+1}^{*,0}$ . Then, by definition,  $i(X) = s$ . If  $E_2^{*,0} = \dots = E_\infty^{*,0}$  then, by definition,  $i(X) = \infty$ . Let  $i'(X)$  be the least number  $r$  such that the kernel of the natural homomorphism  $\Lambda^* \rightarrow E_{r+1}^{*,0}$  contains an element which is not a zero divisor in  $\Lambda^*$ .

The following theorem describes some of the most important properties of the Volovikov index.

**Theorem 3.2** ([16]). (1) *If there exists an equivariant map of  $G$ -spaces  $X \rightarrow Y$ , then  $i(X) \leq i(Y)$  and  $i'(X) \leq i'(Y)$ .*

(2) *If  $X$  is a compact or finite-dimensional cohomological sphere (over the the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ), i.e.,  $H^*(X) = H^*(S^n)$ , and if  $G$  acts with no fixed points on  $X$ , then  $i(X) = i'(X) = n + 1$ .*

(3) *If  $\tilde{H}^j(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ , for all  $j < n$ , then  $i(X) \geq n + 1$ .*

(4) *If  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are closed (or open)  $G$ -invariant subspaces,  $i(X) \leq i'(A) + i(B)$ . In particular,  $i(X * Y) \leq i'(X) + i(Y)$ .*

**3.3. Connectedness.** Here we review the definition and some basic properties of the *connectedness* of topological spaces, including a key result which relates the connectedness to the Volovikov index.

**Definition 3.6** ([10], Definition 4.3.2). Let  $n \geq -1$  be an integer. A topological space  $X$  is  $n$ -connected if any continuous map  $f : S^k \rightarrow X$ , where  $-1 \leq k \leq n$ , can be continuously extended to a continuous map  $g : B^{k+1} \rightarrow X$ , that is  $g|_{\partial B^{k+1}=S^k} = f$  (here  $B^{k+1}$  denotes a  $(k + 1)$ -dimensional closed ball whose boundary is the sphere  $S^k$ ). A topological space is  $(-1)$ -connected if it is non-empty. If the space  $X$  is  $n$ -connected, but not  $(n + 1)$ -connected, we write  $\text{conn}(X) = n$ .

**Theorem 3.3** ([8], p. 332). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. Then,*

$$\text{conn}(X * Y) \geq \text{conn}(X) + \text{conn}(Y) + 2.$$

**Theorem 3.4** ([10], Theorem 4.4.1). *Let  $X$  be a nonempty topological space and let  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $X$  is  $k$ -connected if and only if it is simply connected (i.e., the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X)$  is trivial) and  $\tilde{H}_i(X) = 0$ , for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ .*

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  topological space. Then,  $i(X) \geq \text{conn}(X) + 2$ .*

*Proof.* It is a consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.2 (3). □

**Theorem 3.6** ([6]). *Let  $m, n \geq 1$  be integers. Then,*

$$\text{conn}(\Delta_{m,n}) = \min \left\{ m, n, \left\lfloor \frac{m + n + 1}{3} \right\rfloor \right\} - 2.$$

#### 4. COLORED TVERBERG THEOREM WITH $p^n - 1$ FACES

In this section we prove the main result of the paper (Theorem 4.2). First, we state and prove two lemmas that are needed for the proof.

**Definition 4.1** ([17]). Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -space, where  $G$  is a finite group, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. For  $2 \leq y \leq |G|$ , we set

$$A(f, y) = \{x \in X \mid f(g_1x) = \dots = f(g_yx), \text{ for some distinct } g_i \in G\}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $r = p^n \geq 2$  be a prime power and let  $d \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq d, 2 \leq q \leq r$  be integers. For every continuous map  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and every coloring  $(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})$  of the vertex set  $V(\Delta)$  by  $(k + 1)$  colors, define the continuous map as follows:*

$$h : (R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})}_{\Delta(2)})^{\times p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \text{where } h(x_1, \dots, x_{p^n}) = f(x_1).$$

*If  $A(h, q) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $q$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_q) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $(x_1, \dots, x_{p^n}) \in A(h, q) \neq \emptyset$ .

Then, there exist distincts elements  $g_1, \dots, g_q \in (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$  such that

$$h(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{p^n})) = \dots = h(g_q(x_1, \dots, x_{p^n})).$$

Therefore, there exist  $q$  elements  $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_q} \in \{x_1, \dots, x_{p^n}\}$  such that  $f(x_{i_1}) = \dots = f(x_{i_q})$ , where  $x_{i_1} \in \sigma_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_q} \in \sigma_{i_q}$  ( $\sigma_{i_m}$  is a support of  $x_{i_m}$ , for every  $m \in [q]$ ).

By the definition of the configuration space  $(R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}$ , there exist  $q$  pairwise disjoint, non-empty rainbow faces  $\sigma_{i_1}, \dots, \sigma_{i_q}$  such that  $f(\sigma_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_{i_q}) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $d \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq d, 0 \leq m \leq k + 1$  be integers and let  $r = p^n \geq 2$  be a prime power. Let  $(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})$  be a coloring of the vertex set  $V(\Delta)$  by  $(k + 1)$  colors, where we have  $|C_i| \geq 2r - 1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, m, |C_i| \geq 2r - 4$ , for all  $i = m + 1, \dots, k + 1$  and  $m \geq (d - k)(r - 1)$ . Then,*

$$i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}) \geq d(p^n - 1).$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$(R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n} = A \cup B,$$

where

$$A = \left\{ \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{p^n} x_{p^n} \in (R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n} \mid (\exists i \in [p^n]) \lambda_i \neq \frac{1}{p^n} \right\},$$

$$B = \left\{ \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{p^n} x_{p^n} \in (R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n} \mid \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{p^n} = \frac{1}{p^n} \right\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $B$  is isomorphic to  $(R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}$ . It follows from Theorem 3.2 (4) that

$$i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}) \leq i'(A) + i(B) = i'(A) + i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}).$$

We want to estimate the indices  $i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n})$  and  $i'(A)$ . In light of the isomorphism

$$(R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times r} \cong \Delta_{|C_1|, r} * \dots * \Delta_{|C_m|, r} * \Delta_{|C_{m+1}|, r} * \dots * \Delta_{|C_{k+1}|, r},$$

we obtain, as a consequence of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.6,

$$\text{conn}((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times r}) \geq m(r - 2) + (k + 1 - m)(r - 3) + 2k.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times r}) \geq [m(r - 2) + (k + 1 - m)(r - 3) + 2k] + 2 = (k + 1)(r - 1) + m.$$

Since  $m \geq (d - k)(r - 1)$ , we have

$$i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times r}) \geq (d + 1)(r - 1).$$

In order to find a bound for  $i'(A)$  let us consider the following  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ -equivariant map

$$\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p^n} \setminus \Delta(\mathbb{R}^{p^n}), \quad \phi(\lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{p^n} x_{p^n}) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{p^n}),$$

and

$$\Pi : \mathbb{R}^{p^n} \setminus \Delta(\mathbb{R}^{p^n}) \rightarrow (\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{p^n}))^\perp \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S((\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{p^n}))^\perp),$$

where  $\Pi$  is a composition of the projection and deformation retraction.

Here  $\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{p^n}) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{p^n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{p^n} \mid x_1 = \dots = x_{p^n}\}$  is the diagonal subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{p^n}$ , while  $S(V)$  is the unit sphere in the real vector space  $V$ .

It follows that the composition

$$\Pi \circ \phi : A \rightarrow S((\Delta(\mathbb{R}^{p^n}))^\perp) \cong S^{p^n-2},$$

is a  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$ -equivariant map. By Theorem 3.2 ((1) and (2)) we conclude that

$$i'(A) \leq i'(S^{p^n-2}) = p^n - 1,$$

and as an immediate consequence,  $i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}) \geq d(p^n - 1)$ . □

**Theorem 4.1** ([17], Theorem 4). *Let  $X$  be a connected  $G$ -space, where  $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$  is a  $p$ -torus and  $2 \leq y \leq p^n$ ,  $y \neq 3$ . Assume the inequality  $i(X) \geq (m - 1)(p^n - 1) + y$ . Then,  $A(f, y) \neq \emptyset$  for any continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ .*

*Remark 4.1.* Theorem 4.1 is also true for  $y = 3$  and  $r = 3, 4, 5$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $d \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq k + 1$  be integers, and let  $r = p^n \geq 2$  be a prime power. For every continuous map  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , and every coloring  $(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})$  of the vertex set  $V(\Delta)$  by  $(k + 1)$  colors, such that  $|C_i| \geq 2r - 1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $|C_i| \geq 2r - 4$ , for all  $i = m + 1, \dots, k + 1$  and  $m \geq (d - k)(r - 1)$ , there exist  $q = r - 1 = p^n - 1$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_q) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.1 that if  $A(h, q)$  is non-empty then there exist  $q$  pairwise disjoint, rainbow, non-empty faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_q) \neq \emptyset$ .

Therefore, it remains to be shown that  $A(h, q) \neq \emptyset$ .

On the other hand this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1, applied to the  $G$ -space  $X = (R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}$  and the map  $h : (R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  (as in Lemma 4.1), where  $y = q$ . Indeed,  $(R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}$  is connected and  $i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{\times p^n}) \geq d(p^n - 1) = (m - 1)(p^n - 1) + y$  (by Lemma 4.2). This observation completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $d \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $r = p^n \geq 2$  be a prime power. For every continuous map  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , and every coloring  $(C_1, \dots, C_{d+1})$  of the vertex set  $V(\Delta)$  by  $(d+1)$  colors, with each color of size at least  $2r - 4$ , there exist  $q = r - 1 = p^n - 1$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_q) \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 4.2 to the case  $k = d$  and  $m = 0$ . □

*Remark 4.2.* Note that if  $m > (d - k)(r - 1)$ . Then,

$$i((R_{(C_1, \dots, C_{k+1})})_{\Delta(2)}^{*r}) \geq (d + 1)(r - 1) + 1,$$

and there exist  $r$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap \dots \cap f(\sigma_r) \neq \emptyset$ . This means that the interesting case of Theorem 4.2 is when  $m = (d - k)(r - 1)$ .

*Example 4.1.* Let  $r = 7$ ,  $d = 8$ ,  $k = 7$  and  $m = 6$ . Then we have  $k + 1 = 8$  colors  $C_1, \dots, C_8$  where  $|C_i| \geq 2r - 1 = 13$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 6$  and  $|C_7|, |C_8| \geq 2r - 4 = 10$ . Note that the condition  $m \geq (d - k)(r - 1)$  follows (more specifically we have an equality). Then, there exist  $q = r - 1 = 6$  pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5$  and  $\sigma_6$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap f(\sigma_2) \cap f(\sigma_3) \cap f(\sigma_4) \cap f(\sigma_5) \cap f(\sigma_6) \neq \emptyset$ .

The following example illustrates the Corollary 4.1.

*Example 4.2.* Let  $d = 2$ ,  $r = 7$  and  $\mathcal{C} = (C_1, C_2, C_3)$  be a coloring of vertex set  $V(\Delta)$ , with  $|C_1| = |C_2| = |C_3| = 2r - 4 = 10$ . Let  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a continuous map.

By Corollary 4.1, there exist 6 pairwise disjoint rainbow faces  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_5$  and  $\sigma_6$  such that  $f(\sigma_1) \cap f(\sigma_2) \cap f(\sigma_3) \cap f(\sigma_4) \cap f(\sigma_5) \cap f(\sigma_6) \neq \emptyset$ .

### 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We used throughout the paper versions of Volovikov’s index (Section 3.2). A more elementary and less technical alternative is to use “elementary equivariant index theory” ( $G$ -genus), as presented in [9].

The methods used in the paper are cohomological. Typically, they allow us to conclude that the zero-set of some (equivariant) test map is non-empty, which is sufficient for many applications.

However, the cohomological approach may sometimes lead to a conclusion that the zero-set is “big” in some stronger sense, for example it may support a non-trivial (co)homology class, it may have a high genus (Lusternik-Schnirelmann category), etc.

This point of view is vividly illustrated by “parameterized index theory”, see [11] for examples and a guide to the literature.

We believe that cohomological methods have a great potential for new applications in discrete geometry and combinatorics, including the Tverberg type problems and their relatives.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors are thankful to the Editor and anonymous referees.

Leandro V. Mauri was supported by FAPESP of Brazil Grant number 2018/23928-2.

Rade T. Živaljević was supported by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia, Grant No. 7744592, Integrability and Extremal Problems in Mechanics, Geometry and Combinatorics - MEGIC.

Denise de Mattos was supported by FAPESP of Brazil Grant number 2016/24707-4.

Edivaldo L. dos Santos was supported by FAPESP of Brazil Grant number 2016/24707-4 and by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Avvakumov, R. Karasev and A. Skopenkov, *Stronger counterexamples to the topological Tverberg conjecture*, *Combinatorica* **43** (2023), 717–727. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00493-023-00031-w>
- [2] I. Bárány, P. V. M. Blagojević and G. M. Ziegler, *Tverberg’s theorem at 50: Extensions and counterexamples*, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **63**(7) (2016), 732–739.
- [3] I. Bárány and D. G. Larman, *A colored version of Tverberg’s theorem*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **45** (1992), 314–320. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-45.2.314>
- [4] I. Bárány, S. B. Shlosman and A. Szücs, *On a topological generalization of a theorem of Tverberg*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **23** (1981), 158–164. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-23.1.158>
- [5] I. Bárány and P. Soberón, *Tverberg’s theorem is 50 years old: A survey*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **55**(4) (2018), 459–492. <https://doi.org/10.1090/bull/1634>
- [6] A. Björner, L. Lovász, S. Vrećica and R. T. Živaljević, *Chessboard complexes and matching complexes*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **49** (1994), 25–39. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/49.1.25>
- [7] P. V. M. Blagojević, F. Frick and G. M. Ziegler, *Barycenters of Polytope Skeleta and counterexamples to the topological Tverberg conjecture, via constraints*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **21**(7) (2019), 2107–2116. <https://doi.org/10.4171/JEMS/881>
- [8] P. V. M. Blagojević and G. M. Ziegler, *Beyond the Borsuk–Ulam theorem: the topological Tverberg story*, in: M. Loeb, J. Nešetřil and R. Thomas (Eds.), *A Journey Through Discrete Mathematics: A Tribute to Jiří Matoušek*, Springer International Publishing AG, Cham, 2017, 273–341.
- [9] D. Jojić, W. Marzantowicz, S. Vrećica and R. T. Živaljević, *Unavoidable complexes, via an elementary equivariant index theory*, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **22** (2020), 31 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-020-0763-2>
- [10] J. Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem: Lectures on Topological Methods in Combinatorics and Geometry*, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [11] B. Matschke, *A parametrized version of the Borsuk-Ulam-Bourgin-Yang-Volovikov theorem*, *J. Topol. Anal.* **6**(2) (2014), 263–280. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793525314500101>
- [12] J. McCleary, *A User’s Guide to Spectral Sequences*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2001.
- [13] S. Shlosman, *Topological Tverberg theorem: the proofs and the counterexamples*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **73**(2) (2018), 355–362. <https://doi.org/10.1070/RM9820>
- [14] A. Skopenkov, *A user’s guide to the topological Tverberg conjecture*, *Russian Math. Surveys* **73**(2) (2018), 323–353. <https://doi.org/10.1070/RM9774>
- [15] A. Y. Volovikov, *On a topological generalization of the Tverberg theorem*, *Math. Notes* **59**(3) (1996), 324–326. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02308547>
- [16] A. Y. Volovikov, *On the index of  $G$ -spaces*, *Sb. Math.* **191**(9) (2000), 1259–1277. <https://doi.org/10.1070/SM2000v191n09ABEH000504>
- [17] A. Y. Volovikov, *On the Cohen-Lusk theorem*, *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **159** (2009), 790–793. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10958-009-9470-7>
- [18] S. Vrećica and R. T. Živaljević, *New cases of the colored Tverberg theorem*, in: H. Barcelo and G. Kalai (Eds.), *Jerusalem Combinatorics ’93*, *Contemp. Math.*, Jerusalem, 1994, 325–334.
- [19] R. T. Živaljević, *Topological methods in discrete geometry*, in: J. E. Goodman, J. O’Rourke and C. D. Tóth (Eds.), *Handbook of Discrete Computational Geometry*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2017, 551–580.
- [20] R. T. Živaljević and S. Vrećica, *The colored Tverberg’s problem and complexes of injective functions*, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **61**(2) (1992), 309–318. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165\(92\)90028-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(92)90028-S)

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO (USP),  
SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL  
*Email address:* leandro.mauri@hotmail.com  
*Email address:* deniseml@icmc.usp.br

<sup>2</sup>MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE,  
SERBIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES AND ARTS (SASA),  
BELGRADE, SERBIA  
*Email address:* rade@turing.mi.sanu.ac.rs

<sup>3</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
UNIVERSITY OF SÃO CARLOS (UFSCAR),  
SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL  
*Email address:* edivaldo@ufscar.br